arXiv:math/0412168v1 [math.RT] 8 Dec 2004

CHARACTER SHEAVES ON DISCONNECTED GROUPS, VII

G. Lusztig

Introduction

Throughout this paper, G denotes a fixed, not necessarily connected, reductive algebraic group over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$. This paper is a part of a series [L9] which attempts to develop a theory of character sheaves on G.

The usual convolution of class functions on a connected reductive group over a finite field makes sense also for complexes in $\mathcal{D}(G^0)$ and then it preserves (see [Gi]) in the derived sense the class of character sheaves on G^0. In §32 we define, more generally, a natural convolution operation for parabolic character sheaves (see 32.21(a)). A key role in our study of convolution is played by Theorem 32.6 which describes explicitly the convolution of two basic complexes of the form $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ in terms of multiplication in some Hecke algebra. Using this we define a map which to each parabolic character sheaf associates an orbit of a subgroup of the Weyl group on the set of isomorphism classes of "tame" local systems of rank 1 on the torus $\mathbf{T}$, see 32.25(b); in fact we define a refinement of this map in 32.25(a). The main result of §33 is Proposition 33.3 (a generalization of [L3, III, 14.2(b)]). It asserts that (under a cleanness assumption), the cohomology sheaves of a character sheaf restricted to an open subset of the support of a different character sheaf are disjoint from the local system given by the second character sheaf on that open subset. (This plays a key role in the argument in 35.22.) In §34 we study the algebra H_n of 31.2 (or rather an extension H_n^D of it) in the spirit of our earlier study [L12] of a usual Iwahori-Hecke algebra by means of the asymptotic Hecke algebra. This allows us to construct representations of H_n^D starting from representations of $H_n^{D,1}$, the specialization of H_n^D at $v = 1$. In 34.19 we define some invariants $b^v_{A,u}$ of a character sheaf A which depend also on an irreducible representation E_u of $H_n^{D,1}$. These generalize the invariants $c_{A,E}$ of [L3, III,12.10]. From the definition, $b^v_{A,u}$ is a rational function in the indeterminate v and one of our goals is to show that $b^v_{A,u}$ is in fact a constant. This goal is achieved in §35 under a cleanness assumption and a quasi-rationality assumption on E_u. (See Theorem 35.23 which is a generalization of [L3, III, 14.9].) In §35 we prove an orthogonality

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G99.

Supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

formula (35.15) for the characteristic functions of complexes of the form $\bar{K}_{J,D}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}$ (over a finite field) in the spirit of [L3, III, 13.5]. A variant of this formula (see 32.23) can be obtained in an entirely different way as an application of the results on convolution in §32. As an application we associate a sign ±1 to any character sheaf on a connected component of G (see 35.17), under a cleanness assumption. This generalizes [L3, III, 13.10].

Erratum to Part V. In 25.6 replace $R_1^* = R^* \cap R$ by $R_1^* = R^* \cap R_1$.

Erratum to Part VI. In 28.19 replace $\mathcal{L}' = (\underline{D}^{-1})^*\mathcal{L}$ by $\mathcal{L}' = (\underline{D}^{-1})^*\check{\mathcal{L}}$. In 31.4 replace ι_D by $\underline{D}$.

CONTENTS

32. Convolution.
33. Disjointness.
34. The structure of H_n^D.
35. Functions on G^{0F}/U.

32. CONVOLUTION

32.1. In this section we define and study the convolution of parabolic character sheaves.

32.2. Let Δ be a connected component of G. Let $J \subset \mathbf{I}$. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$, $\mathbf{s}' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'})$ be two sequences in $\mathbf{I}$. Let $a, a' \in \mathbf{W}$. Let

$$\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r, a, s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'}, a'), \quad [\mathbf{w}] = s_1 s_2 \dots s_r a s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_{r'} a'.$$

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$ be such that $[\mathbf{w}]\underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\bullet}$. Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{i \in [1, r]; s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_i s_{i-1} \dots s_1 \in \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{L}}\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}' = \{j \in [1, r']; a'^{-1} s'_r \dots s'_{j+1} s'_j s'_{j+1} \dots s'_{r'} a' \in \epsilon_\Delta(\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{L}})\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\underline{Z}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}^{\mathbf{w}} = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, B, xU_{J,B_0}); B_i \in \mathcal{B}(i \in [0, r]),\\ &B'_j \in \mathcal{B}(j \in [0, r']), B \in \mathcal{B}, x \in \Delta, \mathrm{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \{s_i, 1\}(i \in \mathcal{T}),\\ &\mathrm{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = s_i(i \in [1, r] - \mathcal{T}), \mathrm{pos}(B'_{j-1}, B'_j) \in \{s'_j, 1\}(j \in \mathcal{T}'),\\ &\mathrm{pos}(B'_{j-1}, B'_j) = s'_j(j \in [1, r'] - \mathcal{T}'), \mathrm{pos}(B_r, B'_0) = a, \mathrm{pos}(B'_{r'}, B) = a',\\ &xB_0x^{-1} = B\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $Z_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}^{\mathbf{w}}$ (see 28.8) is naturally an open subset of $\underline{Z}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}^{\mathbf{w}}$. By 28.8, $\mathcal{L}$ gives rise to a local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $Z_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}^{\mathbf{w}}$.

(a) *$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ extends uniquely to a local system $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$.*
Indeed, let $a = t_1 t_2 \dots t_m, a' = t'_1 t'_2 \dots t'_{m'}$ be reduced expressions for a, a' in $\mathbf{W}$ and let

$\mathbf{t} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m, s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'}, t'_1, t'_2, \dots, t'_{m'})$.

We identify in an obvious way $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ with an open subset of $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{t}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ (see 28.9) contained in $\cup_{\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{t}}} Z^{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ (notation of 28.9) and we use the fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, regarded as a local system on $Z^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = Z^{\mathbf{t}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ extends to a local system on $\cup_{\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{t}}} Z^{\mathbf{t}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$, see 28.10. This extension is unique up to isomorphism since $Z^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ is open dense in the smooth irreducible variety $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ (which is itself open dense in the smooth irreducible variety $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{t}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$).

32.3. For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r+r'}) \in \mathbf{W}^{r+r'+1}$ let

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = \{&(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, B, xU_{J,B_0}) \in \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta};\\ &\mathrm{pos}(B_k, B'_{r'}) = a_k (k \in [0,r]), \mathrm{pos}(B_r, B'_{r+r'-k}) = a_k (k \in [r, r+r'])\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}} : \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} \longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$ by

$$(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'r', B, xU_{J,B_0}) \mapsto (Q_{J,B_0}, Q_{\epsilon_\Delta(J),B}, xU_{J,B_0})$$

(notation of 28.7). Now $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ is empty unless

(i) $a_{r+r'} = a$,

(ii) $a_k \in \{a_{k-1}, s_k a_{k-1}\}$ for $k \in [1, r]$,

(iii) $a_k \in \{a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} s'_{r+r'+1-k}\}$ for $k \in [r+1, r+r']$.

Indeed, let $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, B, xU_{J,B_0}) \in \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$. Clearly, (i) holds. Let $k \in [1, r]$. From $\mathrm{pos}(B_k, B_{k-1}) \in \{1, s_k\}$, $\mathrm{pos}(B_{k-1}, B'_{r'}) = a_{k-1}$, we deduce $\mathrm{pos}(B_k, B'_{r'}) \in \{a_{k-1}, s_k a_{k-1}\}$ and (ii) holds. Let $k \in [r+1, r+r']$. From

$\mathrm{pos}(B_r, B'_{r+r'+1-k}) = a_{k-1}$, $\mathrm{pos}(B'_{r+r'+1-k}, B'_{r+r'-k}) \in \{1, s'_{r+r'+1-k}\}$,

we deduce $\mathrm{pos}(B_r, B'_{r+r'-k}) \in \{a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} s'_{r+r'+1-k}\}$ and (iii) holds.

Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the restriction of the local system $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ from $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ to $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$. We have a partition $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = \sqcup_{\mathbf{a}} \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ with $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{W}^{r+r'+1}$ subject to (i),(ii),(iii). We set

$$N_{\mathbf{a}} = |\{k \in [1, r], a_k > s_k a_k\}| + |\{k \in [r+1, r+r'], a_k > a_k s'_{r+r'+1-k}\}|,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}} &= \{i \in \mathcal{T}; a_{i-1} = a_i < s_i a_i\},\\ \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}} &= \{j \in \mathcal{T}'; a_{r+r'-j} = a_{r+r'-j+1} < a_{r+r'-j+1} s'_j\}.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 32.4. *Assume that* $\mathbf{a}$ *satisfies 32.3(i),(ii),(iii).*

(a) $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *is non-empty if and only if* $i \in [1, r], a_{i-1} = a_i < s_i a_i \implies i \in \mathcal{T}$, *and* $j \in [1, r'], a_{-j+r+r'} = a_{-j+1+r+r'} < a_{-j+1+r+r'} s'_j \implies j \in \mathcal{T}'$.

(b) If $(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_0,B'_1,\dots,B'_{r'},B,xU_{J,B_0})\in\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *then* $B_{i-1}=B_i$ *for any* $i\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$ *and* $B'_{j-1}=B'_j$ *for any* $j\in\mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}$*.*

(c) Let ${}^0\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *be the subset of* $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *defined by the following conditions: for* $i\in[1,r]$ *we have* $B_{i-1}=B_i$ *if and only if* $i\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$*; for* $j\in[1,r']$ *we have* $B'_{j-1}=B'_j$ *if and only if* $j\in\mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}$*. If* $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}\neq\emptyset$ *then it is smooth, irreducible and* ${}^0\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *is open dense in* $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$*.*

(d) If $a_k=a_{k-1}$ *for some* $k\in[1,r]$ *with* $k\notin\mathcal{T}$ *or for some* $k\in[r+1,r+r']$ *with* $r+r'+1-k\notin\mathcal{T}'$*, then* $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}=0$*.*

(e) If $a_k\neq a_{k-1}$ *for any* $k\in[1,r]$ *with* $k\notin\mathcal{T}$ *and for any* $k\in[r+1,r+r']$ *with* $r+r'+1-k\notin\mathcal{T}'$*, then* $a_0a'\underline{\Delta}\in\mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}$*; moreover,* $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *is an iterated affine space bundle over* $Z^{(a_0,b)}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ *with fibres of dimension* $N_{\mathbf{a}}$ *and* $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}=K^{(a_0,a'),\mathcal{L}}_{J,\Delta}[[-N_{\mathbf{a}}]]$*.*

We prove (d),(e) by induction on $r+r'$. If $r+r'=0$ then $\mathbf{w}=(a_0,a')$, $\mathbf{a}=\{a_0\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}=Z^{\mathbf{w}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}=\{&(B_0,B'_0,B,xU_{J,B_0});B_0\in\mathcal{B},B'_0\in\mathcal{B},B\in\mathcal{B},x\in\Delta,\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B_0,B'_0)=a_0,\operatorname{pos}(B'_0,B)=a',xB_0x^{-1}=B\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}=K^{\mathbf{w},\mathcal{L}}_{J,\Delta}$. Now assume that $r+r'\geq 1$. Assume first that $r'\geq 1$. Let

$\mathbf{w}'=(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_r,a_{r+r'-1},s'_{r'-1},s'_{r'-2},\dots,s'_1,a')$,
$[\mathbf{w}']=s_1s_2\dots s_ra_{r+r'-1}s'_{r'-1}s'_{r'-2}\dots s'_1a'$,
$\mathbf{a}'=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_r,a_{r+1},\dots,a_{r+r'-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}&Y=\{(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_1,B'_2,\dots,B'_{r'},B,xU_{J,B_0});B_i\in\mathcal{B}(i\in[0,r]),\\ &B'_j\in\mathcal{B}(j\in[1,r']),B\in\mathcal{B},x\in\Delta,\operatorname{pos}(B_{i-1},B_i)\in\{s_i,1\}(i\in\mathcal{T}),\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B_{i-1},B_i)=s_i(i\in[1,r]-\mathcal{T}),\operatorname{pos}(B'_{j-1},B'_j)\in\{s'_j,1\}(j\in\mathcal{T}'\cap[2,r']),\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B'_{j-1},B'_j)=s'_j(j\in[2,r']-\mathcal{T}'),\operatorname{pos}(B_k,B'_{r'})=a_k(k\in[0,r]),\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B_r,B'_{r+r'-k})=a_k(k\in[r,r+r'-1]),\operatorname{pos}(B'_{r'},B)=a',xB_0x^{-1}=B'\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $\pi^Y:Y\longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$, $f:\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}\longrightarrow Y$ by

$$\pi^Y:(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_1,B'_2,\dots,B'_{r'},B,xU_{J,B_0})\mapsto(Q_{J,B_0},Q_{\epsilon_\Delta(J),B},xU_{J,B_0}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}&f:(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_0,B'_1,\dots,B'_{r'},B,xU_{J,B_0})\\ &\mapsto(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_1,B'_2,\dots,B'_{r'},xU_{J,B_0}).\end{aligned}$$

The fibre of f at $(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_1,B'_2,\dots,B'_{r'},xU_{J,B_0})\in Y$ may be identified with

$$\begin{aligned}\{B'_0\in\mathcal{B};&\operatorname{pos}(B'_0,B'_1)\in\{s'_1,1\}\text{ if }1\in\mathcal{T}',\operatorname{pos}(B'_0,B'_1)=s'_1\text{ if }1\notin\mathcal{T}',\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B_r,B'_0)=a_{r+r'}\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $a_{r+r'}s'_1 = a_{r+r'-1}$ then $[\mathbf{w}']\underline{\Delta} = [\mathbf{w}]\underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the sets analogous to $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ (for $\mathbf{w}'$ instead of $\mathbf{w}$) are $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \cap [2, r']$. Moreover, $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = Y$ and f is an isomorphism if $a_{r+r'} < a_{r+r'}s'_1$ and an affine line bundle if $a_{r+r'} > a_{r+r'}s'_1$. In both cases, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = f^*(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'})$. Hence

$$f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'}, \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'} \text{ if } a_{r+r'} < a_{r+r'}s'_1,$$

$$f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'}[[-1]], \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'}[[-1]] \text{ if } a_{r+r'} > a_{r+r'}s'_1;$$

the desired result follows from the induction hypothesis.

If $a_{r+r'-1} = a_{r+r'} < a_{r+r'}s'_1$ and $1 \notin \mathcal{T}'$ then $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = \emptyset$. If $a_{r+r'-1} = a_{r+r'} > a_{r+r'}s'_1$ and $1 \notin \mathcal{T}'$ then f is a $\mathbf{k}^*$-bundle and $f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ (this can be deduced from 28.11) hence $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi^Y_! f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$.

Assume now that $a_{r+r'-1} = a_{r+r'}$ and $1 \in \mathcal{T}'$. Then $[\mathbf{w}']\underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the sets analogous to $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ (for $\mathbf{w}'$ instead of $\mathbf{w}$) are $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \cap [2, r']$. Moreover, $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = Y$; also, f is an isomorphism if $a_{r+r'} < a_{r+r'}s'_1$ and an affine line bundle if $a_{r+r'} > a_{r+r'}s'_1$. In both cases, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = f^*(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'})$. Hence

$$f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'}, \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'} \text{ if } a_{r+r'} < a_{r+r'}s'_1,$$

$$f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'}[[-1]], \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}f_!\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}',\mathbf{a}'!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}'}[[-1]] \text{ if } a_{r+r'} > a_{r+r'}s'_1;$$

the desired result follows from the induction hypothesis.

Assume next that $r' = 0$. Then $r \ge 1$. Let
$\mathbf{w}'' = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r-1}, a_{r-1}, a'), [\mathbf{w}''] = s_1 s_2 \dots s_{r-1} a_{r-1} a'$,
$\mathbf{a}'' = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}&Y_1 = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{r-1}, B'_0, B, xU_{J,B_0}); B_i \in \mathcal{B}(i \in [0, r-1]), B'_0 \in \mathcal{B},\\ &B \in \mathcal{B}, x \in \Delta, \operatorname{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \{s_i, 1\}(i \in \mathcal{T} \cap [1, r-1]),\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = s_i(i \in [1, r-1] - \mathcal{T}), \operatorname{pos}(B_k, B'_0) = a_k(k \in [0, r-1]),\\ &\operatorname{pos}(B'_0, B) = a', xB_0x^{-1} = B\}.\end{aligned}$$

Define $\pi^{Y_1} : Y_1 \to Z_{J,\Delta}$, $f_1 : \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} \to Y_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\pi^{Y_1} : (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{r-1}, B'_0, B, xU_{J,B_0}) \mapsto (Q_{J,B_0}, Q_{\epsilon_\Delta(J),B}, xU_{J,B_0}),\\ &f_1 : (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B, xU_{J,B_0}) \mapsto (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{r-1}, B'_0, B, xU_{J,B_0}).\end{aligned}$$

The fibre of f_1 at $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{r-1}, B'_0, B, xU_{J,B_0}) \in Y_1$ may be identified with

$$\{B_r \in \mathcal{B}; \operatorname{pos}(B_{r-1}, B_r) \in \{s_r, 1\} \text{ if } r \in \mathcal{T}, \operatorname{pos}(B_{r-1}, B_r) = s_r \text{ if } r \notin \mathcal{T},\\ \operatorname{pos}(B_r, B'_0) = a_r\}.$$

If $s_ra_r = a_{r-1}$ then $[\mathbf{w}'']\underline{\Delta} = [\mathbf{w}]\underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set analogous to $\mathcal{T}$ (for $\mathbf{w}''$ instead of $\mathbf{w}$) is $\mathcal{T} \cap [1, r-1]$. Moreover, $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = Y_1$ and f_1 is an isomorphism if $a_r < s_ra_r$ and an affine line bundle if $a_r > s_ra_r$. In both cases, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = f_1^*(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''})$. Hence

$$f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''}, \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''} \text{ if } a_r < s_ra_r,$$

$$f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''}[[-1]], \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''}[[-1]] \text{ if } a_r > s_ra_r;$$

the desired result follows from the induction hypothesis.

If $a_{r-1} = a_r < s_ra_r$ and $r \notin \mathcal{T}$ then $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = \emptyset$. If $a_{r-1} = a_r > s_ra_r$ and $r \notin \mathcal{T}$ then f_1 is a $\mathbf{k}^*$-bundle and $f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ (this can be deduced from 28.11) hence $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_!^{Y_1}f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$.

Assume now that $a_{r-1} = a_r$ and $r \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $[\mathbf{w}'']\underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the set analogous to $\mathcal{T}$ (for $\mathbf{w}''$ instead of $\mathbf{w}$) is $\mathcal{T} \cap [1, r-1]$. Moreover, $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} = Y_1$; also, f_1 is an isomorphism if $a_r < s_ra_r$ and an affine line bundle if $a_r > s_ra_r$. In both cases, $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = f_1^*(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''})$. Hence

$$f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''}, \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''} \text{ if } a_r < s_ra_r,$$

$$f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''}[[-1]], \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}f_{1!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w}'',\mathbf{a}''!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}''}[[-1]] \text{ if } a_r > s_ra_r;$$

the desired result follows from the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof of (d),(e). The previous inductive proof also yields (a),(b),(c). The lemma is proved.

32.5. Let $J \subset \mathbf{I}$. Let D, D', Δ be three connected components of G with $\Delta = D'D$. We write ϵ, ϵ' instead of $\epsilon_D, \epsilon_{D'} : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{W}$. We have a diagram

$$Z_{J,D} \times Z_{\epsilon(J),D'} \xleftarrow{b_1} Z_0 \xrightarrow{b_2} Z_{J,\Delta}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 = \{&(Q, Q', Q'', gU_Q, g'U_{Q'}); Q \in \mathcal{P}_J, Q' \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon(J)}, Q'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J)}, \\ &g \in D, g' \in D', gQg^{-1} = Q', g'Q'g'^{-1} = Q''\}, \end{aligned}$$

$b_1(Q, Q', Q'', gU_Q, g'U_{Q'}) = ((Q, Q', gU_Q), (Q', Q'', g'U_{Q'}))$,
$b_2(Q, Q', Q'', gU_Q, g'U_{Q'}) = (Q, Q'', g'gU_Q)$.
Define a functor (*convolution*) $\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D}) \times \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}) \to \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\Delta})$ by

$$K, K' \mapsto K * K' = b_{2!}b_1^*(K \boxtimes K').$$

Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r), \mathbf{s}' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'})$ be two sequences in $\mathbf{I}$ and let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$ be such that $s_1s_2\dots s_r\underline{D} \in \mathbf{W}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $s'_1s'_2\dots s'_{r'}\underline{D'} \in \mathbf{W}^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{L}'}$. Then $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$, $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$ are defined (see 28.12) hence $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} * \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\Delta})$ is defined.

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}^*_{\mathbf{k}}$ be such that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}_n, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathfrak{s}_n$. Let $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ (resp. $\lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$) be the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}'$).

Theorem 32.6. *(a) If* $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} * \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'} \ne 0$ *then* $y\lambda' = \underline{D}\lambda$ *for some* $y \in \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}$.

(b) Let A *be a simple perverse sheaf on* $Z_{J,\Delta}$. *If* $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} * \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}$, *then* $A \in \hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}_{J,\Delta}$.

(c) Assume that $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{F}_q, G, F$ *are as in 31.7(b), that* $A \in \hat{Z}_{J,\Delta}$ *and that* $\zeta^A : H_n[\Delta] \to \mathcal{A}$ *is as in 31.7. Then*

$$\chi^A_v(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} * \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}) = (v^2-1)^{\dim \mathbf{T}} v^{\dim G - l(w^0_{\mathbf{I}} w^0_J)}$$
$$\times \zeta^A\Big(\sum_{\substack{y' \in \mathbf{W}_J \\ y'\underline{D}^{-1}\lambda' = \lambda}} v^{2l(w^0_J y')} C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]T_{y'}[D^{-1}]C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D'}\lambda'}[D'][D]T_{y'^{-1}}\Big).$$

(Notation of 31.5, 31.6. We regard $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ *as pure of weight* 0 *and then* $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$, $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}$ *and their convolution naturally as mixed complexes.)*

The proof is given in 32.7-32.19.

32.7. With notation of 28.7, let

$$V = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, gU_{J,B_0}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}); B_i \in \mathcal{B}(i \in [0,r]),$$
$$B'_j \in \mathcal{B}(j \in [0,r']), g \in D, g' \in D', gB_0g^{-1} = B_r, g'B'_0g'^{-1} = B'_{r'},$$
$$\mathrm{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) \in \{1, s_i\}(i \in [1,r]), \mathrm{pos}(B'_{j-1}, B'_j) \in \{1, s'_j\}(j \in [1,r']),$$
$$\mathrm{pos}(B_r, B'_0) \in \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}\}.$$

Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ be the constructible sheaf on $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ in 28.10 and let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}'$ be the analogous constructible sheaf on $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\emptyset,\epsilon(J),D'}$. The inverse image of $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}'$ under the imbedding $f : V \to \bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D} \times \bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\emptyset,\epsilon(J),D'}$,

$$(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, gU_{J,B_0}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0})$$
$$\mapsto ((B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, gU_{J,B_0}), (B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}))$$

is a constructible sheaf on V denoted again by $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}'$. Define $\rho : V \to Z_{J,\Delta}$ by

$$(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, gU_{J,B_0}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}) \mapsto (Q_{J,B_0}, Q_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J),B'_{r'}}, xU_{J,B_0})$$

where $x = g'g \in \Delta$; this is meaningful since $g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}g = g'U_{\epsilon(J),B_r}g = g'gU_{J,B_0}$. We have a commutative diagram in which the left square is cartesian

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D} \times \bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\emptyset,\epsilon(J),D'} \arrow[d, "h"'] & V \arrow[l, "f"'] \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "h_0"'] & Z_{J,\Delta} \arrow[d, "1"'] \\
Z_{J,D} \times Z_{\epsilon(J),D'} & Z_0 \arrow[l, "b_1"'] \arrow[r, "b_2"] & Z_{J,\Delta}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&h:((B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,gU_{J,B_0}),(B'_0,B'_1,\dots,B'_{r'},g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}))\\&\mapsto((Q_{J,B_0},Q_{\epsilon(J),B_r},gU_{J,B_0}),(Q_{\epsilon(J),B'_0},Q_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J),B'_{r'}},g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0})),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&h_0:(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_0,B'_1,\dots,B'_{r'},gU_{J,B_0},g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0})\\&\mapsto((Q_{J,B_0},Q_{\epsilon(J),B_r},Q_{\epsilon'\epsilon(J),B'_{r'}},gU_{J,B_0})),g'U_{\epsilon(J),B_r})).\end{aligned}$$

Using this commutative diagram and the definitions we have

$$\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}*\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}=\rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}').$$

Let

$$\mathcal{T}=\{i\in[1,r];s_1s_2\dots s_i\dots s_2s_1\in\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{L}}\},$$

$$\mathcal{T}'=\{j\in[1,r'];s'_{r'}\dots s'_{j+1}s'_js'_{j+1}\dots s'_{r'}\in\epsilon'(\mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{L}'})\}.$$

(Thus, $\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$, $\mathcal{T}'=\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}'}$ with the notation of 28.9.) Let

$$\begin{aligned}&V'=\{(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_0,B'_1,\dots,B'_{r'},gU_{J,B_0},g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0});B_i\in\mathcal{B}(i\in[0,r]),\\&B'_j\in\mathcal{B}(j\in[0,r']),g\in D,g'\in D',gB_0g^{-1}=B_r,g'B'_0g'^{-1}=B'_{r'},\\&\text{pos}(B_{i-1},B_i)\in\{1,s_i\}(i\in\mathcal{T}),\text{pos}(B_{i-1},B_i)=s_i(i\in[1,r]-\mathcal{T}),\\&\text{pos}(B'_{j-1},B'_j)\in\{1,s'_j\}(j\in\mathcal{T}'),\text{pos}(B'_{j-1},B'_j)=s'_j(j\in[1,r']-\mathcal{T}'),\\&\text{pos}(B_r,B'_0)\in\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}\},\end{aligned}$$

an open subset of V. Let $\rho':V'\longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$ be the restriction of $\rho:V\longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$. From 28.10 we see that $(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{V'}$ is a local system and $(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{V-V'}=0$. Hence

$$\rho_!(V,\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}')=\rho'_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}').$$

For any

$$\text{(a)}\qquad\mathbf{a}=(a_0,a_1,\dots,a_{r+r'})\in\mathbf{W}^{r+r'}\times\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)},$$

let

$$\begin{aligned}&V'_{\mathbf{a}}=\{(B_0,B_1,\dots,B_r,B'_0,B'_1,\dots,B'_{r'},gU_{J,B_0},g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0})\in V';\\&\text{pos}(B_k,B'_{r'})=a_k(k\in[0,r]),\text{pos}(B_r,B'_{r+r'-k})=a_k(k\in[r,r+r'])\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}}:V'_{\mathbf{a}}\longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$ be the restriction of $\rho':V'\longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$. Then $V'=\cup_{\mathbf{a}}V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a partition of V' with $V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ locally closed in V' for all $\mathbf{a}$.

Lemma 32.8. *Let* $\mathbf{a}$ *as in 32.7(a) be such that* $(\underline{D}^{-1})^*\mathcal{L} \not\cong (a_{r+r'}^{-1})^*\mathcal{L}'$. *Then* $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$.

Define R, $\tilde\rho : R \longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$, $\pi : V'_{\mathbf{a}} \longrightarrow R$ by

$$R = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, xU_{J,B_0}); B_i \in \mathcal{B}(i \in [0,r]),$$
$$B'_j \in \mathcal{B}(j \in [0,r']), x \in \Delta, \mathrm{pos}(B_r, B'_0) = a_{r+r'}, \mathrm{pos}(B'_{r'}, xB_0x^{-1}) = \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1})\},$$
$$\tilde\rho : (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, xU_{J,B_0}) \mapsto (Q_{J,B_0}, xQ_{J,B_0}, xU_{J,B_0}),$$

$$\pi : (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, gU_{J,B_0}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0})$$
$$\mapsto (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, g'gU_{J,B_0}); \tag{a}$$

this is meaningful since $g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}g = g'U_{\epsilon(J),B_r}g = g'gU_{J,B_0}$ and

$$\mathrm{pos}(B'_{r'}, g'gB_0g^{-1}g'^{-1}) = \epsilon'(\mathrm{pos}(g'^{-1}B'_{r'}g', gB_0g^{-1})) = \epsilon'(\mathrm{pos}(B'_0, B_r))$$
$$= \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1}).$$

Since $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde\rho\pi$, it suffices to show that $\pi_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$. Hence it suffices to show that, for any $\xi = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, xU_{J,B_0}) \in R$, we have $H^e_c(\pi^{-1}(\xi), \bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$ for all e. We may assume that $\pi^{-1}(\xi) \ne \emptyset$. We may identify

$$\pi^{-1}(\xi) = \{gU_{J,B_0}; g \in D, gB_0g^{-1} = B_r, xg^{-1}B'_0gx^{-1} = B'_{r'}\}$$

in an obvious way. We may assume that $B_r = B^*, B'_0 = \dot a_{r+r'}B^*\dot a_{r+r'}^{-1}$ (notation of 28.5). Write $B_i = h_iB^*h_i^{-1}, B'_j = h'_jB^*h'_j{}^{-1}$ with $h_i, h'_j \in G^0, h_r = 1, h'_0 = \dot a_{r+r'}$. Let

$w = \mathrm{pos}(B_0, B_1)\mathrm{pos}(B_1, B_2) \dots \mathrm{pos}(B_{r-1}, B_r) \in \mathbf{W}$,
$w' = \mathrm{pos}(B'_0, B'_1)\mathrm{pos}(B'_1, B'_2) \dots \mathrm{pos}(B'_{r'-1}, B'_{r'}) \in \mathbf{W}$.

Let T, U^* be as in 28.5. Let $d \in N_DB^* \cap N_DT, d' \in N_{D'}B^* \cap N_{D'}T$. Then T (a maximal torus of $B_r \cap B'_0$) acts freely on $\pi_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}(\xi)$ by left multiplication and it suffices to show that for any T-orbit θ in $\pi_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1}(\xi)$, we have $H^e_c(\theta, \bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$ for all e. Let $g_0U_{J,B_0} \in \theta$. It suffices to show that the inverse image of $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}'$ under $t \mapsto tg_0U_{J,B_0}$ (a local system in $\mathfrak{s}(T)$) is $\not\cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Using 28.10 and the definitions in 28.5, 28.8, we see that this inverse image is just $b^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes c^*(\mathcal{L}')$ where $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$ are regarded as local systems on T and $b : T \longrightarrow T, c : T \longrightarrow T$ are given by

$$b(t) = d^{-1}\dot w^{-1}n_1n_2 \dots n_rn_0(n_0^{-1}tn_0),$$
$$c(t) = d'^{-1}\dot w'n'_1n'_2 \dots n'_{r'}n'_0\dot a_{r+r'}^{-1}t^{-1}\dot a_{r+r'}$$

where $n_i \in N_{G^0}T(i \in [1,r]), n'_j \in N_{G^0}T(j \in [1,r'])$ are given by $h_{i-1}^{-1}h_i \in U^*n_iU^*$, $h'_{j-1}{}^{-1}h'_j \in U^*n'_jU^*$, and $n_0 \in N_DB^* \cap N_DT$, $n'_0 \in N_{D'}B^* \cap N_{D'}T$ are given by $g_0h_0 \in U^*n_0$, $h'_{r'}{}^{-1}g_0^{-1}x\dot a_{r+r'} \in U^*n'_0$. Since $d^{-1}\dot w^{-1}n_1n_2 \dots n_rn_0 \in T$, $d'^{-1}\dot w'^{-1}n'_1n'_2 \dots n'_{r'}n'_0 \in T$, $n_0 \in dT$, we see using 28.1(a) that $b^*\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1})^*\mathcal{L}$, $c^*\mathcal{L}' = \mathrm{Ad}(\dot a_{r+r'}^{-1})^*\check{\mathcal{L}}'$. It then suffices to show that $\mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1})^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{Ad}(\dot a_{r+r'}^{-1})^*\check{\mathcal{L}}' \not\cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. This follows from our assumption. The lemma is proved.

32.9. Until the end of 32.12 we assume that $\mathbf{a}$ (as in 32.7(a)) is such that

(a) $$(\underline{D}^{-1})^*\mathcal{L} \cong (a_{r+r'}^{-1})^*\mathcal{L}'.$$

We set $\underline{a} = a_{r+r'}, \underline{a}' = \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1})$. We show that

$$s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{a} s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_{r'} \underline{a}' \underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Since $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}$, it suffices to show that $\underline{D}^{-1} \underline{a} s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_{r'} \underline{D}' \underline{a}^{-1} \underline{D} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_{r'} \underline{D}' \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}'} = \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\check{\mathcal{L}}'}$, it suffices to show that $\underline{a}^*(\underline{D}^{-1})^*\mathcal{L} \cong \check{\mathcal{L}}'$. This holds by our assumption. Let

$$\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r, \underline{a}, s'_1, s'_2 \dots, s'_{r'}, \underline{a}').$$

Then $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ (see 32.3) is well defined in terms of $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}'$ as in 32.7 (or equivalently as in 32.2). As in 32.3, $\mathcal{L}$ gives rise to a local system $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}$ on $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$.

32.10. We preserve the setup of 32.9. Let $V''_{\mathbf{a}}$ be the set of all
$(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, (U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})g, xU_{J,B_0})$
where $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, xB_0x^{-1}, xU_{J,B_0}) \in \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ and $g \in D$ satisfies $g^{-1}B_rg = B_0, g^{-1}B'_0g = x^{-1}B'_{r'}x$. (The last equation is meaningful. It suffices to show that if $u \in U_{J,B_0}$ then $ug^{-1}B'_0gu^{-1} = g^{-1}B'_0g$ that is, $gug^{-1} \in N_GB'_0$. We have $gug^{-1} \in U_{\epsilon_D(J),gB_0g^{-1}} = U_{\epsilon_D(J),B_r} = U_{\epsilon_D(J),B'_0} \subset U_{B'_0} \subset N_GB'_0$.) Define $\eta : V''_{\mathbf{a}} \longrightarrow \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$, $\kappa : V'_{\mathbf{a}} \longrightarrow V''_{\mathbf{a}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta : (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, (U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})g, xU_{J,B_0})\\ &\quad\mapsto (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, xB_0x^{-1}, xU_{J,B_0}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\kappa : (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, gU_{J,B_0}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0})\\ &\quad\mapsto (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, (U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})g, g'gU_{J,B_0});\end{aligned}$$

κ is well defined, by the argument following 32.8(a). Let $f_{\mathbf{a}} = \eta\kappa : V'_{\mathbf{a}} \longrightarrow \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$. Clearly,

(a) *κ is an affine space bundle with fibres of dimension $l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)}\underline{a})$.*

Now $\mathbf{T}$ acts on $V''_{\mathbf{a}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}t :&(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, (U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})g, xU_{J,B_0}) \mapsto\\ &(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, (U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})y_tg, xU_{J,B_0})\end{aligned}$$

where $y_t \in (B_r \cap B'_0)/(U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})$ is defined by the condition that its image in B_r/U_{B_r} is the image of t under $\mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_r/U_{B_r}$. Then

(b) *η is a principal $\mathbf{T}$-bundle.*

Let $\xi = (B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, B, xU_{J,B_0}) \in \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$. Then $\eta^{-1}(\xi)$ may be identified with

$$\{(U_{B_r} \cap U_{B'_0})g; g \in D; g^{-1}B_rg = B_0, g^{-1}B'_0g = x^{-1}B'_{r'}x\}.$$

We show only that $\eta^{-1}(\xi) \cong \mathbf{T}$. It suffices to show that $\eta^{-1}(\xi) \neq \emptyset$. For this it suffices to show that $\mathrm{pos}(B'_0, B_r) = \epsilon(\mathrm{pos}(x^{-1}B'_rx, B_0))$ or that $\mathrm{pos}(B'_0, B_r) = \epsilon\epsilon_{\Delta}^{-1}(\mathrm{pos}(B'_r, B))$ or that $\underline{a}^{-1} = \epsilon'^{-1}(\underline{a}')$ which is clear.

32.11. We preserve the setup of 32.9. Let w be the product of the sequence $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r$ in which the factors s_i with $i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}$ are replaced by 1. Let w' be the product of the sequence $s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'}$ in which the factors s'_j with $j \in \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}$ are replaced by 1, ($\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}$ as in 32.3). Let B^*, U^*, T be as in 28.5. Let $d \in N_D B^* \cap N_D T, d' \in N_{D'} B^* \cap N_{D'} T$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}} \arrow[d, "f_3"'] & \tilde{V}' \arrow[l, "f_1"'] \arrow[r, "f_2"] \arrow[d, "f_4"'] & T \times T \arrow[d, "f_5"'] \\
{}^0\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta} & \tilde{Z} \arrow[l, "f_6"'] \arrow[r, "f_7"] & T
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}} = \{(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_r, B'_0, B'_1, \dots, B'_{r'}, gU_{J,B_0}, g'U_{\epsilon(J),B'_0}) \in V'_{\mathbf{a}};\\
&B_{i-1} = B_i (i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}), \mathrm{pos}(B_{i-1}, B_i) = s_i (i \in [1,r] - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}), B'_{j-1} = B'_j (j \in \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}),\\
&\mathrm{pos}(B'_{j-1}, B'_j) = s'_j (i \in [1,r'] - \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}})\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{V}' = \{(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, h'_0, h'_1, \dots, h'_{r'}, g, g') \in (G^0)^{r+r'+2} \times D \times D';\\
&h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in B^* (i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}), h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in B^* \dot{s}_i B^* (i \in [1,r] - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}), {h'_{j-1}}^{-1} h'_j \in B^* (j \in \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}),\\
&{h'_{j-1}}^{-1} h'_j \in B^* \dot{s}'_j B^* (j \in [1,r'] - \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}), h_r^{-1} g h_0 = d, {h'_{r'}}^{-1} g' h'_0 = d',\\
&h_k^{-1} h'_{r'} \in B^* \dot{a}_k B^* (k \in [0, r-1]), h_r^{-1} h'_{r+r'-k} \in B^* \dot{a}_k B^* (k \in [r, r+r'-1]),\\
&h_r^{-1} h'_0 = \underline{\dot{a}}\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{Z} = \{(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, h'_0, h'_1, \dots, h'_{r'}, x) \in (G^0)^{r+r'+2} \times D'D;\\
&h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in B^* (i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}), h_{i-1}^{-1} h_i \in B^* \dot{s}_i B^* (i \in [1,r] - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{a}}), {h'_{j-1}}^{-1} h'_j \in B^* (j \in \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}),\\
&{h'_{j-1}}^{-1} h'_j \in B^* \dot{s}'_j B^* (j \in [1,r'] - \mathcal{T}'_{\mathbf{a}}), h_k^{-1} h'_{r'} \in B^* \dot{a}_k B^* (k \in [0, r-1]),\\
&h_r^{-1} h'_{r+r'-k} \in B^* \dot{a}_k B^* (k \in [r, r+r'-1]), h_r^{-1} h'_0 = \underline{\dot{a}},\\
&{h'_{r'}}^{-1} x h_0 \in B^* d' \underline{\dot{a}}^{-1} {d'}^{-1} B^*\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_1(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, h'_0, h'_1, \dots, h'_{r'}, g, g') = (h_0 B^* h_0^{-1}, \dots, h_r B^* h_r^{-1},\\
&h'_0 B^* {h'_0}^{-1}, \dots, h'_{r'} B^* {h'_{r'}}^{-1}, gU_{J, h_0 B^* h_0^{-1}}, g' U_{\epsilon_D(J), h'_0 B^* {h'_0}^{-1}}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_2(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, h'_0, h'_1, \dots, h'_{r'}, g, g')\\
&= (d^{-1} \dot{w}^{-1} n_1 n_2 \dots n_r d, {d'}^{-1} {\dot{w}'}^{-1} n'_1 n'_2 \dots n'_{r'} d')
\end{aligned}$$

with $n_i, n'_j \in N_{G^0}T$ given by $h_{i-1}^{-1}h_i \in U^*n_iU^*(i \in [1,r])$, ${h'_{j-1}}^{-1}h'_j \in U^*n'_jU^*(j \in [1,r'])$,

f_3 is the restriction of $f_{\mathbf{a}} : V'_{\mathbf{a}} \to \underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$, see 32.10,

$$f_4(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_r,h'_0,h'_1,\dots,h'_{r'},g,g') = (h_0,h_1,\dots,h_r,h'_0,h'_1,\dots,h'_{r'},g'g),$$

$$f_5(t,\tilde{t}) = d^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}d'^{-1}\dot{w}'^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}^{-1}dtd^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}\dot{w}'d'\tilde{t}\underline{\dot{a}}^{-1}d = \mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}})(\mathrm{Ad}(d'^{-1}\dot{w}'^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}^{-1}d)(t)\tilde{t}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f_6(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_r,h'_0,h'_1,\dots,h'_{r'},x)\\ &= (h_0B^*h_0^{-1},\dots,h_rB^*h_r^{-1},h'_0B^*{h'_0}^{-1},\dots,h'_{r'}B^*{h'_{r'}}^{-1},xU_{J,h_0B^*h_0^{-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f_7(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_r,h'_0,h'_1,\dots,h'_{r'},x)\\ &= (d'd)^{-1}d'\underline{\dot{a}}d'^{-1}\dot{w}'^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1}n_1n_2\dots n_r\underline{\dot{a}}n'_1n'_2\dots n'_{r'}md'd,\end{aligned}$$

where n_i, n'_j are as in the definition of f_2, $m \in N_{G^0}T$ is given by ${h'_{r'}}^{-1}xh_0d^{-1}d'^{-1} \in U^*mU^*$.

Lemma 32.12. *We preserve the setup of 32.11. Let* ${}^0\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}|_{{}^0\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}}$.

(a) We have $f_3^*({}^0\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}) = (\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{{}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}}}$.

(b) We have $f_{\mathbf{a}}^*\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = (\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{V'_{\mathbf{a}}}$.

From the definitions we have $f_6^*({}^0\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}) = f_7^*\mathcal{L}$,
$f_1^*((\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{{}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}}}) = f_2^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathrm{Ad}(\underline{\dot{a}})^*\mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1})^*\check{\mathcal{L}})$.
Since f_1^* is a smooth morphism with connected fibres, it suffices to show that $f_1^*f_3^*({}^0\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}) = f_1^*((\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{{}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}}})$, or that $f_4^*f_6^*({}^0\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}) = f_2^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}')$, or that $f_4^*f_7^*\mathcal{L} = f_2^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}')$, or that $f_2^*f_5^*\mathcal{L} = f_2^*(\mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}')$. It suffices to show that $f_5^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'$.

Define $f'_5 : T \times T \to T$ by $f'_5(t,\tilde{t}) = t\tilde{t}$. Setting $E = \mathrm{Ad}(d^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}) : T \to T$, $E' = \mathrm{Ad}(d'^{-1}\dot{w}'^{-1}\underline{\dot{a}}^{-1}d) : T \to T$, we have $f_5 = Ef'_5(E' \times 1)$ hence

$$f_5^*\mathcal{L} = (E' \times 1)^*{f'_5}^*E^*\mathcal{L} = (E' \times 1)^*(E^*\mathcal{L} \boxtimes E^*\mathcal{L}) = (EE')^*\mathcal{L} \boxtimes E^*\mathcal{L}.$$

From our assumption we have $\mathcal{L}' \cong E^*\mathcal{L}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}' \cong \mathrm{Ad}(d'^{-1}\dot{w}'^{-1})^*\mathcal{L}' = (E'E)^*\mathcal{L}'$. Hence $E^*\mathcal{L} \cong (E'E)^*E^*\mathcal{L} = (EE'E)^*\mathcal{L} = E^*(EE')^*\mathcal{L}$. Since E^* is faithful, it follows that $(EE')^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$. Thus, $f_5^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}'$. This proves (a).

We prove (b). We may assume that $V'_{\mathbf{a}} \neq \emptyset$. From (a) we see that $f_{\mathbf{a}}^*\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}$, $(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')|_{V'_{\mathbf{a}}}$ are two local systems on $V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ with the same restriction to the subset ${}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}}$. It then suffices to show that $V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ is smooth, irreducible and ${}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ is open dense in $V'_{\mathbf{a}}$. By 32.10(a),(b), $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ is a fibration with connected smooth fibres and ${}^0V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the inverse image under $f_{\mathbf{a}}$ of ${}^0\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$. Hence it suffices to show that $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ is smooth, irreducible and ${}^0\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$ is open dense in $\underline{Z}^{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}}_{\emptyset,J,\Delta}$. This follows from 32.4(c). The lemma is proved.

32.13. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the set of all $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r+r'}) \in \mathbf{W}^{r+r'} \times \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}$ such that

(a) $a_k \in \{a_{k-1}, s_k a_{k-1}\}$ for $k \in [1, r]$,
(b) $a_k \in \{a_{k-1}, a_{k-1} s'_{r+r'+1-k}\}$ for $k \in [r+1, r+r']$,
(c) $(\underline{D}^{-1})^* \mathcal{L} \cong (a_{r+r'}^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}'$,
(d) $i \in [1, r], a_{i-1} = a_i \implies i \in \mathcal{T}$,
(e) $j \in [1, r'], a_{-j+r+r'} = a_{-j+1+r+r'} \implies j \in \mathcal{T}'$.

Lemma 32.14. *If* $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbf{W}^{r+r'} \times \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}) - \mathbf{S}$ *then* $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = 0$.

If $\mathbf{a}$ does not satisfy 32.13(a) or 32.13(b), then $V'_{\mathbf{a}} = \emptyset$ and the result is trivial. If $\mathbf{a}$ does not satisfy 32.13(c), the desired result follows from Lemma 32.8. Assume now that $\mathbf{a}$ satisfies 32.13(a)-(c) but it does not satisfy 32.13(d) or (e). Using $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}} = \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}} f_{\mathbf{a}}$ and 32.12(b), we see that it suffices to show that $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!} f_{\mathbf{a}!}(f_{\mathbf{a}}^* \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}}) = 0$, or that $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes f_{\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = 0$. It suffices to show that $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^e(f_{\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)) = 0$ for any e. By 32.10 we have $f_{\mathbf{a}} = \eta\kappa$ and $\kappa_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[[-c]]$ where $c = l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)} a_{r+r'})$. Hence $f_{\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[[-c]]$. Let $\mathbf{r} = \dim \mathbf{T}$. Since η is a principal $\mathbf{T}$-bundle (see 32.10), the local system $\mathcal{H}^e(\eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ admits a filtration whose associated graded is a direct sum of $\binom{\mathbf{r}}{2\mathbf{r}-e}$ copies of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l(\mathbf{r} - e)$. Since $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ (see 32.4(d)), we see that $\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^e(f_{\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)) = 0$ for any e. The lemma is proved.

32.15. We now make a short digression. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbf{k}$. Let $C \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and let $\{C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ be a sequence of objects in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ such that $C_n = 0$ for all but finitely many n. We shall write

$$C \Bumpeq \{C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

if the following condition is satisfied: there exists a sequence $\{C'_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ of objects in $\mathcal{D}(X)$ such that $C'_n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$, $C'_n = C$ for $n \gg 0$ and distinguished triangles (C'_{n-1}, C'_n, C_n) for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.

If X, C, C_n are as above, $C \Bumpeq \{C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ and $X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} X \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1$ are morphisms of algebraic varieties, we see from definitions that:

$f_{1!} C \Bumpeq \{f_{1!} C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$,
$f_2^* C \Bumpeq \{f_2^* C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

Assume now that $C \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ and that $\{C^u; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ are objects of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ indexed by a finite set $\mathcal{U}$. We shall write

$$C \Bumpeq \{C_u; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

if the following condition is satisfied: there exists a bijection $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow [0, m]$ such that, setting $C_n = C^u$ if $u \leftrightarrow n \in [0, m]$ and $C_n = 0$ for $n \notin [0, m]$, we have $C \Bumpeq \{C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

For example, if $C \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, we have $C \Bumpeq \{{}^p H^n C[-n]; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$; in this case we can take $C'_n = {}^p\tau_{\le n}(C)$ (truncation, as in [BBD]).

Similarly, if $C \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, we have $C \Bumpeq \{\mathcal{H}^n(C)[-n]; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

As another example, assume that we are given a partition $X = \sqcup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} X^u$ with $\mathcal{U}$ finite, where X^u are locally closed subvarieties of X such that for some bijection $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow [0, m]$, the union $X'_n = X_n \cup X_{n-1} \cup \dots \cup X_0$ is open in X for any $n \in [0, m]$ (we set $X_n = X^u$ for $u \leftrightarrow n \in [0, m]$). For any $u \in \mathcal{U}$ let $j_u : X^u \to X$ be the inclusion and let $C_u = j_{u!} j_u^* C$. We have $C \Bumpeq \{C_u; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Indeed, setting $C_n = C_u$ if $u \leftrightarrow n \in [0, m]$ and $C_n = 0$ for $n \notin [0, m]$, we have $C \Bumpeq \{C_n; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. (We can take $C'_n = 0$ for $n < 0$, $C'_n = C$ for $n > m$, $C'_n = j'_{n!} {j'_n}^* C$ for $n \in [0, m]$, where $j'_n = X'_n \to X$ is the inclusion.)

32.16. Assume that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}$. As in the proof of 32.14 we have $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes f_{\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ and $f_{\mathbf{a}!} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[[-c]]$. Moreover, $\eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l \Bumpeq \{\mathcal{H}^e(\eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[-e]; e \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ and for any e we have $\mathcal{H}^e(\eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) \Bumpeq \{C^e_{e'}; 1 \le e' \le \binom{\mathbf{r}}{2\mathbf{r}-e}\}$ where $C^e_{e'} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l(\mathbf{r} - e)$. It follows that

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') \Bumpeq \{\pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^e(\eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l))[-e][[-c]]; e \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathcal{H}^e(\eta_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)[-e][[-c]] \Bumpeq \{{C'}^e_{e'}; 1 \le e' \le \binom{\mathbf{r}}{2\mathbf{r} - e}\}$$

where

$${C'}^e_{e'} = \pi_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{a}})(\mathbf{r} - e)[-e][[-c]] = K_{J,\Delta}^{(a_0, \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1})), \mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{r} - e)[-e][[-c]][[-N_{\mathbf{a}}]]$$

(see 32.4(e)). By 32.4(e) we have

$$\text{(c)} \qquad a_0 \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1}) \underline{\Delta} \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

From (a),(b) we see that, if A is a simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{J,\Delta}$ such that $A \dashv \rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$ then $A \dashv K_{J,\Delta}^{(a_0, \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1})), \mathcal{L}}$.

32.17. From the partition $V' = \sqcup_{\mathbf{a}} V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ we get as in 32.15

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') = \rho'_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') \Bumpeq \{\rho'_{\mathbf{a}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}'); \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}\}$$

(by 32.14 we can omit the $\mathbf{a} \notin \mathbf{S}$). Thus, if A is a simple perverse sheaf on $Z_{J,D}$ such that $A \dashv \rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$, then for some $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}$ we have $A \dashv \rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$ hence, by 32.16, $A \dashv K_{J,\Delta}^{(a_0, \epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1})), \mathcal{L}}$, so that $A \in \hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}_{J,\Delta}$. We also see that $\mathbf{S} \ne \emptyset$; in particular, $(\underline{D}^{-1})^* \mathcal{L} \cong y^* \mathcal{L}'$ for some $\underline{a} \in \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}$ (see 32.13(c)). Since $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} * \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'} = \rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$ (see 32.7) we see that 32.6(b) holds. We also see that 32.6(a) holds since, under the assumption of 32.6(a), we can find an A as above.

32.18. In this and the next subsection we place ourselves in the setup of 32.6(c). Then $V, V', V'_{\mathbf{a}}$ are defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and we can regard $\rho'_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$ and $\rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$ (for any $\mathbf{a}$) as mixed complexes on $Z_{J,\Delta}$. Using 32.17(a), 32.16(a),(b) (or rather their variant in the mixed category) and 31.7(c),(e) we see that, with the notation of 31.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi_v^A(\rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S}} \chi_v^A(\rho'_{\mathbf{a}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}'))\\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S}} \sum_{e\in\mathbf{Z}} (-1)^e v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}+2l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)}a_{r+r'})-2\mathbf{r}+2e} \binom{\mathbf{r}}{2\mathbf{r}-e} \chi_v^A(K_{J,\Delta}^{(a_0,\epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'})),\mathcal{L}})\\
&= (v^2-1)^{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S}} v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}-2l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)}a_{r+r'})} \chi_v^A(K_{J,\Delta}^{(a_0,\epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'})),\mathcal{L}})\\
\text{(a)}\quad&\\
&= (v^2-1)^{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S}} v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}-2l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)}a_{r+r'})} v^{\dim G - l(w^0_{\mathbf{I}} w^0_J)} \zeta^A(T_{a_0} T_{\epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'}} 1_{\underline{\Delta}\lambda}[\Delta]).
\end{aligned}$$

32.19. Let $h \mapsto h^\flat$ be the antiautomorphism of the algebra H_n defined by $T_u \mapsto T_{u^{-1}}$ for $u \in \mathbf{W}$, $1_\lambda \mapsto 1_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We have $(C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_\lambda)^\flat = C^{\mathbf{s}}_{s_r \dots s_2 s_1 \lambda}$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (s_r, s_{r-1}, \dots, s_1)$. The following identity in the algebra H_n (see 31.2) is a special case of one in 31.11:

$$\text{(a)}\qquad T_y C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\lambda_1} = \sum_{\mathbf{y}'} v^{2\delta'(\mathbf{y}')} T_{y'_{r'}} 1_{\lambda_1};$$

here $y \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda_1 \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, the sum is taken over all sequences $\mathbf{y}' = (y'_0, y'_1, \dots, y'_{r'})$ in $\mathbf{W}$ such that

$y = y'_0$,
$y'_i \in \{y'_{i-1}, y'_{i-1}s'_i\}$ for $i \in [1, r']$,
$i \in [1, r'], y'_{i-1} = y'_i \implies s'_i \in \mathbf{W}_{s'_{i+1} \dots s'_{r'} \lambda_1}$;
moreover, $\delta'(\mathbf{y}') = |\{i \in [1, r']; y'_{i-1}s'_i < y'_{i-1}\}|$. Similarly, we have

$$\text{(b)}\qquad C^{\mathbf{s}}_{s_r \dots s_2 s_1 \lambda_2} T_y = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} v^{2\delta(\mathbf{y})} 1_{\lambda_2} T_{y_0};$$

here $\lambda_2 \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, the sum is taken over all sequences $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_r)$ in $\mathbf{W}$ such that

$y = y_r$,
$y_i \in \{y_{i-1}, s_i y_{i-1}\}$ for $i \in [1, r]$,
$i \in [1, r], y_{i-1} = y_i \implies s_i \in \mathbf{W}_{s_{i-1} \dots s_1 \lambda_2}$;
moreover, $\delta(\mathbf{y}) = |\{i \in [1, r]; s_i y_i < y_i\}|$. This can be deduced from (a) using the involution $h \mapsto h^\flat$.

Combining (a),(b) we obtain (for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$) the identity

$$C^{\mathbf{s}}_{s_r \dots s_2 s_1 \lambda_2} T_y C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\lambda_1} = \sum_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}'} v^{2\delta(\mathbf{y}) + 2\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{y}')} 1_{\lambda_2} T_{y_0} 1_{\lambda_1};$$

the sum is taken over the pairs $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_r)$, $\mathbf{y}' = (y'_0, y'_1, \dots, y'_{r'})$ of sequences in $\mathbf{W}$ such that
$y = y'_0, y'_{r'} = y_r$,
$y_i \in \{y_{i-1}, s_i y_{i-1}\}$ for $i \in [1, r]$,
$y'_i \in \{y'_{i-1}, y'_{i-1} s'_i\}$ for $i \in [1, r']$,
$i \in [1, r], y_{i-1} = y_i \implies s_i \in \mathbf{W}_{s_{i-1} \dots s_1 \lambda_2}$,
$i \in [1, r'], y'_{i-1} = y'_i \implies s'_i \in \mathbf{W}_{s'_{i+1} \dots s'_{r'} \lambda_1}$.
We have $s_r \dots s_2 s_1 \lambda = \underline{D} \lambda$. Take $\lambda_1 = \underline{D}' \lambda', \lambda_2 = \lambda$. Take $y \in \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}$ such that $y \lambda' = \underline{D} \lambda$. We replace $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}')$ by $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r+r'})$ where $a_k = y_k$ for $k \in [0, r]$, $a_k = y'_{r+r'-k}$ for $k \in [r, r+r']$. Then $\delta(\mathbf{y}) + \delta'(\mathbf{y}') = N_{\mathbf{a}}$. We obtain

$$C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D} \lambda} T_y C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}' \lambda'} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}} v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}} 1_{\lambda} T_{a_0} 1_{\underline{D}' \lambda'};$$

the sum is over all $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r+r'}) \in \mathbf{W}^{r+r'} \times \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}$ such that
$y = a_{r+r'}$,
$a_i \in \{a_{i-1}, s_i a_{i-1}\}$ for $i \in [1, r]$,
$a_{r+r'-i} \in \{a_{r+r'-i+1}, a_{r+r'-i+1} s'_i\}$ for $i \in [1, r']$,
$i \in [1, r], a_{i-1} = a_i \implies s_i \in \mathbf{W}_{s_{i-1} \dots s_1 \lambda}$,
$i \in [1, r'], a_{r+r'-i+1} = a_{r+r'-i} \implies s'_i \in \mathbf{W}_{s'_{i+1} \dots s'_{r'} \underline{D}' \lambda'}$.
Equivalently,

$$\text{(c)} \qquad C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D} \lambda} T_y C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}' \lambda'} = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}; a_{r+r'} = y} v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}} 1_{\lambda} T_{a_0} 1_{\underline{D}' \lambda'}.$$

For each $\mathbf{a}$ in the sum we have $a_0 \epsilon'(y^{-1}) \underline{\Delta} \lambda = \lambda$ (see 32.16(a)); combining this with $y \lambda' = \underline{D} \lambda$ we see that $a_0 \underline{D}' \lambda' = \lambda$, hence $1_{\lambda} T_{a_0} 1_{\underline{D}' \lambda'} = T_{a_0} 1_{\underline{D}' \lambda'}$. We introduce this in (c), then multiply both sides of (c) on the right by

$$(v^2 - 1)^{\mathbf{r}} v^{\dim G - l(w^0_{\mathbf{I}} w^0_J)} v^{-2l(y)} T_{\epsilon'(y^{-1})} 1_{\underline{\Delta} \lambda} [\Delta]$$

and sum over y. We obtain

$$(v^2 - 1)^{\mathbf{r}} v^{\dim G - l(w^0_{\mathbf{I}} w^0_J)} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}; y \lambda' = \underline{D} \lambda} v^{-2l(y)} C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D} \lambda} T_y C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}' \lambda'} T_{\epsilon'(y^{-1})} [\Delta]$$
$$= (v^2 - 1)^{\mathbf{r}} v^{\dim G - l(w^0_{\mathbf{I}} w^0_J)} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}} v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}} - 2l(a_{r+r'}} T_{a_0} T_{\epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'})} 1_{\underline{\Delta} \lambda} [\Delta].$$

We apply ζ_v^A (see 31.7) to both sides and use 32.18(a). We obtain

$$\chi_v^A(\rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}'))=$$
$$(v^2-1)^{\mathbf{r}}v^{\dim G-l(w_{\mathbf{I}}^0w_J^0)}\zeta^A(\sum_{\substack{y\in\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}\\ y\lambda'=\underline{D}\lambda}}v^{2l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)}y)}C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}T_yC^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}'\lambda'}T_{\epsilon'(y^{-1})}[\Delta]).$$

We substitute $y=\epsilon(y'),y'\in\mathbf{W}_J$ that is, $T_y=[D]T_{y'}[D]^{-1}$. Since $\chi_v^A(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}*\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'})=\chi_v^A(\rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}}\boxtimes\bar{\mathcal{L}}'))$, 32.6(c) follows. This completes the proof of Theorem 32.6.

32.20. Let $\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D})$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{L}}(Z_{J,D})$ with $\mathcal{L}\in\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$) be the subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$ whose objects are those $K\in\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$ such that for any j, any simple subquotient of ${}^pH^jK$ is in $\hat{Z}_{J,D}$ (resp. in $\hat{Z}^{\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$). We have the following result.

Corollary 32.21. *(a) If* $K\in\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,D}),K'\in\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$, *then* $K*K'\in\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{J,\Delta})$.

(b) If $\mathcal{L}\in\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$, $K\in\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{L}}(Z_{J,D})$, $K'\in\mathcal{D}^{cs}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$, *then* $K*K'\in\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{L}}(Z_{J,\Delta})$.

We prove (a). We may assume that $K\in\hat{Z}_{J,D},K'\in\hat{Z}_{\epsilon(J),D'}$. We can find $\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}'$ as in 32.5 and $u,u'\in\mathbf{Z}$ such that $K[u]$ is a direct summand of $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ and $K'[u']$ is a direct summand of $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}$. Then $K*K'[u+u']$ is a direct summand of $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}*\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}$ which, by 32.6(b), is in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{L}}(Z_{J,\Delta})$. Hence $K*K'[u+u']$ is in $\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{L}}(Z_{J,\Delta})$. This proves (a). The same argument proves (b).

32.22. If E is a mixed $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-vector space (that is, a $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-vector space which, when regarded as a complex over a point, is a mixed complex) we set
$\chi_v(E)=\sum_j\dim(E_j)v^j\in\mathcal{A}$
where E_j is the pure subquotient of weight j of E. We preserve the setup in 32.5. Assume that $D'=D^{-1}$ hence $\Delta=G^0$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be as in 32.13.

Define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\Phi:H_n\to H_n$ by $\xi\mapsto\mathfrak{a}_D(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\xi C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}'\lambda'})$ with $\mathfrak{a}_D$ as in 31.4. For any $y\in\mathbf{W}_{\epsilon(J)}$ such that $y\lambda'=\underline{D}\lambda$ we have

$$\Phi(T_y1_{\lambda'})=\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S};a_{r+r'}=y}v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda}T_{\epsilon(a_0)}1_{\lambda'}.$$

(See 32.19(c).) Define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\Theta^J:H_n\to H_n$ by $\Theta^J(T_w1_{\lambda_1})=T_w1_{\lambda_1}$ if $w\in\mathbf{W}_J,\lambda_1\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, $\Theta^J(T_w1_{\lambda_1})=0$ if $w\in\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}_J,\lambda_1\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. Replacing J by $\epsilon(J)$ we obtain an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\Theta^{\epsilon(J)}:H_n\to H_n$. Define $\Phi':H_n\to H_n$ by $\Phi'(\xi')=\Theta^{\epsilon(J)}\Phi(\xi')$. Since H_n is a free $\mathcal{A}$-module and Φ' is $\mathcal{A}$-linear, $\mathrm{tr}(\Phi',H_n)\in\mathcal{A}$ is well defined. From the definitions we have

$$\text{(a)}\qquad \mathrm{tr}(\Phi',H_n)=\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S};a_{r+r'}=\epsilon(a_0)}v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}}=\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{S}_0}v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}},$$

where $\mathbf{S}_0 = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}; a_0 = \epsilon'(a_{r+r'})\}$.

Define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\Phi'' : H_n \longrightarrow H_n$ by $\xi \mapsto \Theta^J(C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}_{\lambda'^{-1}} \mathfrak{a}_D(\xi) C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}})$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (s_r, \dots, s_2, s_1)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}' = (s'_{r'}, \dots, s'_2, s'_1)$. Let $\partial : Z_{J,D} \longrightarrow Z_{\epsilon(J),D'}$ be as in 28.19. Then $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} \otimes \partial^* \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'} \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$ is well defined. Let

$$\mu(G^0) = (v^2 - 1)^{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}} v^{2l(w)} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The following result is an application of (the proof of) Theorem 32.6.

Corollary 32.23. *Assume that* $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{F}_q, G, F$ *are as in 31.7(b). Then*

$$\sum_z (-1)^z \chi_v(H^z_c(Z_{J,D}, \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} \otimes \partial^* \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D^{-1}}) = v^{2l(w^0_J)} \mu(G^0) \mathrm{tr}(\Phi', H_n)$$

$$\text{(a)} \qquad = v^{2l(w^0_J)} \mu(G^0) \mathrm{tr}(\Phi'', H_n).$$

Let $\mathfrak{Z} = \{(Q, Q', xU_Q) \in Z_{J,\Delta}; Q = Q', x \in U_Q\}$, let $\iota : \mathfrak{Z} \longrightarrow Z_{J,\Delta}$ be the inclusion and let $p : \mathfrak{Z} \longrightarrow$ point be the obvious map. From the definitions, for any $A \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{Z}_{J,D})$, $A' \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{\epsilon(J),D'})$ we have

$$\text{(b)} \qquad H^z_c(\text{point}, p_! \iota^* (A * A')) = H^z_c(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes \partial^*(A'))$$

for any $z \in \mathbf{Z}$. In particular,

$$H^z_c(Z_{J,D}, \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D} \otimes \partial^* \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon(J),D'}) = H^z_c(\text{point}, p_! \iota^* \rho_! (\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')).$$

Applying $p_! \iota^*$ to 32.17(a) gives

$$\text{(c)} \qquad p_! \iota^* \rho_! (\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') \Bumpeq \{p_! \iota^* \rho'_{\mathbf{a}!} (\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}'); \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}$. Applying $p_! \iota^*$ to 32.16(a), 32.16(b) gives

$$\text{(d)} \qquad p_! \iota^* \rho'_{\mathbf{a}!} (\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}') \Bumpeq \{K_e; e \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

$$\text{(e)} \qquad K_e \Bumpeq \{K'^e_{e'}; 1 \le e' \le \binom{\mathbf{r}}{2\mathbf{r} - e}\}$$

where $K_e, K'^e_{e'} \in \mathcal{D}(\text{point})$ and $K'^e_{e'} = p_! \iota^* K^{(a_0, \epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'})), \mathcal{L}}_{J,\Delta} (\mathbf{r} - e)[-e][[-c - N_{\mathbf{a}}]]$ (notation of 32.16). Let

$$X_{\mathbf{a}} = \{(B_0, B'_0) \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; \mathrm{pos}(B_0, B'_0) = a_0, \mathrm{pos}(B'_0, B_0) = \epsilon'(a^{-1}_{r+r'})\}$$

and let $\omega : X_{\mathbf{a}} \longrightarrow$ point be the obvious map. From the definitions we see that $p_!\iota^* K_{J,\Delta}^{(a_0,\epsilon'(a_{r+r'}^{-1})),\mathcal{L}} = \omega_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. If $a_0 \ne \epsilon'(a_{r+r'})$ then $X_{\mathbf{a}} = \emptyset$ hence $\omega_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = 0$; if $a_0 = \epsilon'(a_{r+r'})$ that is, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}_0$, then

$$H^z(\text{point}, \omega_! \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l) = \oplus_{w \in \mathbf{W}; 2l(w)=z} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l(-z - l(a_0)). \tag{f}$$

Using (c),(d),(e),(f) (or rather their variant in the mixed category) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_z (-1)^z \chi_v(H^z(\text{point}, p_!\iota^*\rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')))\\
&= \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}_0, e \in \mathbf{Z}} (-1)^e v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}} + 2l(w^0_{\epsilon(J)} a_{r+r'}) - 2\mathbf{r} + 2e} \binom{\mathbf{r}}{2\mathbf{r} - e} \sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}} v^{2l(w) + 2l(a_0)}\\
&= v^{2l(w^0_J)} (v^2 - 1)^{\mathbf{r}} \sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}} v^{2l(w)} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}_0} v^{2N_{\mathbf{a}}} = v^{2l(w^0_J)} \mu(G^0) \mathrm{tr}(\Phi', H_n).
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that $\mathrm{tr}(\Phi', H_n) = \mathrm{tr}(\Phi'', H_n)$. Define $\mathcal{A}$-linear maps $\Psi', \Psi'', \Omega : H_n \longrightarrow H_n$ by

$$\Psi'(\xi) = C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda} \xi C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}'\lambda'}, \Psi''(\xi) = C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}'}_{\lambda'^{-1}} \xi C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}}, \Omega(T_w 1_{\lambda_1}) = 1_{\lambda_1^{-1}} T_{w^{-1}}.$$

One checks that
$\Omega\Psi' = \Psi''\Omega, \mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J = \Theta^{\epsilon(J)} \mathfrak{a}_D, \mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J \Omega = \Omega \mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J$, $\Phi' = \Theta^{\epsilon(J)} \mathfrak{a}_D \Psi'$,
$\Phi'' = \Theta^J \Psi'' \mathfrak{a}_D$.
Hence $\Phi' = \mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J \Omega^{-1} \Psi'' \Omega = \Omega^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J \Psi'' \Omega$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{tr}(\Phi', H_n) = \mathrm{tr}(\Omega^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J \Psi'' \Omega, H_n) = \mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{a}_D \Theta^J \Psi'', H_n)\\
&= \mathrm{tr}(\Theta^J \Psi'' \mathfrak{a}_D, H_n) = \mathrm{tr}(\Phi'', H_n),
\end{aligned}$$

as required. The corollary is proved.

For $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ we set $\mathbf{W}_\lambda = \mathbf{W}_{\mathcal{L}}$ where $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$ is in the isomorphism class λ; this agrees with the definition in 31.2 when $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$.

Corollary 32.24. *Let $A \in \hat{Z}_{J,D}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'' \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$. Let λ (resp. λ'') be the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}''$). Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$, $\mathbf{s}'' = (s''_1, s''_2, \dots, s''_{r'})$ be sequences in $\mathbf{I}$ such that $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D} \lambda = \lambda$, $s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D} \lambda' = \lambda''$, $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ and $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}'',\lambda''}_{J,D}$. Then there exist $b \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$, $a_0 \in \mathbf{W}_J$ such that*

$$a_0(\lambda'') = \lambda, \quad s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D} = a_0 s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D} b a_0^{-1}. \tag{a}$$

Let $A' = \partial_!(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ with ∂ as in 28.19. Then $A' \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{\epsilon_D(J),D'}$ where $\mathbf{s}' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'})$ is given by $s'_k = s''_{r'+1-k}$ and $D' = D^{-1}$, $\mathcal{L}' = (\underline{D}')^*(\mathcal{L}'')$, see

28.17, 28.19; hence $A * A' \in \mathcal{D}(Z_{J,\Delta})$ is well defined with $\Delta = D'D = G^0$. By 32.23(b) we have

$$H_c^0(\text{point}, p_! \iota^*(A * A')) = H_c^0(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes \partial^*(A')) = H_c^0(Z_{J,D}, A \otimes \mathfrak{D}(A)).$$

The last vector space is one dimensional, see [L3, II,7.4]. It follows that
$H_c^0(\text{point}, p_! \iota^*(A * A')) \ne 0$.
Now some shift of $A * A'$ is a direct summand of $\bar{K}_{J,D}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}} * \bar{K}_{\epsilon_D(J),D'}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'} = \rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')$ (see 32.6). Hence $H_c^z(\text{point}, p_! \iota^*(\rho_!(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')) \ne 0$ for some $z \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using this and 32.23(c) we see that there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $H_c^z(\text{point}, p_! \iota^* \rho'_{\mathbf{a}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \boxtimes \bar{\mathcal{L}}')) \ne 0$ for some $z \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using this and 32.23(d) we see that there exists $e \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $H_c^z(\text{point}, K_e) \ne 0$ for some $z, e \in \mathbf{Z}$. Using this and 32.23(e) we see that there exists $e' \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $H_c^z(\text{point}, K'^{e}_{e'}) \ne 0$ for some $z, e' \in \mathbf{Z}$. As in 32.23 we see that we must have $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}_0$. Thus, there exists a sequence $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r+r'}$ in $\mathbf{W}^{r+r'}$ such that
$a_k \in \{a_{k-1}, s_k a_{k-1}\}$ for $k \in [1, r]$,
$a_k \in \{a_{k-1}, a_{k-1}(s''_{k-r})$ for $k \in [r+1, r+r']$,
$a_0 \in \mathbf{W}_J$, $a_0(\lambda'') = \lambda$, $a_{r+r'} = \epsilon(a_0)$,
$i \in [1, r], a_{i-1} = a_i \implies s_1 s_2 \dots s_i \dots s_2 s_1 \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$
$j \in [1, r'], a_{j+r-1} = a_{j+r} \implies s''_1 \dots s''_{j-1} s''_j s''_{j-1} \dots s''_1 \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$.
For $i \in [1, r]$ we set $t_i = s_1 s_2 \dots s_i \dots s_2 s_1$ if $a_{i-1} = a_i$ and $t_i = 1$ if $a_{i-1} \notin a_i$. Then $t_i \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$ and
$s_1 s_2 \dots s_i = t_i s_1 s_2 \dots s_{i-1} a_{i-1} a_i^{-1}$.
It follows that $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r = t_r t_{r-1} \dots t_1 a_0 a_r^{-1}$. Similarly for $j \in [1, r']$ we set $t''_j = s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_j \dots s''_2 s''_1$ if $a_{j+r-1} = a_{j+r}$ and $t''_j = 1$ if $a_{j+r-1} \ne a_{j+r}$. Then $t''_j \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$ and $s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_j = t''_j s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{j-1} a_{j+r-1}^{-1} a_{j+r}$. It follows that $s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} = t''_{r'} \dots t''_2 t''_1 a_r^{-1} a_{r+r'}$. Setting
$\tau = t_r t_{r-1} \dots t_1, \tau'' = t''_{r'} \dots t''_2 t''_1$,
we have $\tau \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$, $\tau'' \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$, $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r = \tau a_0 a_r^{-1}, s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} = \tau'' a_r^{-1} \epsilon(a_0)$. Let $b' = (a_0^{-1} \tau a_0) \tau''^{-1}$. Then $b' \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$ and $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D} = a_0 b' s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D} a_0^{-1}$. We set $b = (s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D})^{-1} b' s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D}$. Since $s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D} \lambda'' = \lambda''$ we have $b \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$. Moreover, $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D} = a_0 s''_1 s''_2 \dots s''_{r'} \underline{D} b a_0^{-1}$. The lemma is proved.

32.25. Given $(w, \lambda), (w', \lambda')$ in $\mathbf{W}^\bullet \times \underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ we say that $(w, \lambda) \asymp_J (w', \lambda')$ if there exist $a \in \mathbf{W}_J, b \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda'}$ such that $w = a w' b a^{-1}, \lambda = a(\lambda')$. We then have $w' = a^{-1} w (a b^{-1} a^{-1}) a$ where $a^{-1} \in \mathbf{W}_J$, $a^{-1}(\lambda) = \lambda'$, $a b^{-1} a^{-1} \in \mathbf{W}_{a\lambda'} = \mathbf{W}_\lambda$ hence $(w', \lambda') \asymp_J (w, \lambda)$. If, in addition, we have $(w', \lambda') \asymp_J (w'', \lambda'')$ that is, $w' = \tilde{a} w'' \tilde{b} \tilde{a}^{-1}, \lambda' = \tilde{a}(\lambda'')$ with $\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{W}_J, \lambda' = \tilde{a}(\lambda''), \tilde{b} \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$, then $w = a \tilde{a} w'' (\tilde{b} \tilde{a}^{-1} b \tilde{a}) \tilde{a}^{-1} a^{-1}$ where $a\tilde{a} \in \mathbf{W}_J$, $\lambda = a\tilde{a}(\lambda'')$, $\tilde{b} \tilde{a}^{-1} b \tilde{a} \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''} \mathbf{W}_{\tilde{a}^{-1}(\lambda')} = \mathbf{W}_{\lambda''}$, hence $(w, \lambda) \asymp_J (w'', \lambda'')$. We see that $\asymp_J$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathbf{W}^\bullet \times \underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$.

We can now reformulate 32.24 as follows.

(a) *To* $A \in \hat{Z}_{J,D}$ *we can associate an equivalence class* $\mathfrak{E}_A$ *under* $\asymp_J$ *so that the following holds. If* $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$, λ *is the isomorphism class of* $\mathcal{L}$ *and* $\mathbf{s} =$

$(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ *is a sequence in* $\mathbf{I}$ *such that* $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda = \lambda$ *and* $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ *then* $(s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{E}_A$.

In particular:

(b) *To* $A \in \hat{\mathrm{Z}}_{J,D}$ *we can associate a* $\mathbf{W}_J$*-orbit* $\mathcal{O}_A$ *on* $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ *so that the following holds. If* $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$, λ *is the isomorphism class of* $\mathcal{L}$ *and* $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ *is a sequence in* $\mathbf{I}$ *such that* $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda = \lambda$ *and* $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}$ *then* $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_A$.

32.26. Assume now that $J = \mathbf{I}$. We write $\asymp$ instead of $\asymp_{\mathbf{I}}$. Thus, $(w, \lambda) \asymp (w', \lambda')$ if there exist $a \in \mathbf{W}, b \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda'}$ such that $w = aw'ba^{-1}, \lambda = a(\lambda')$. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}^*_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Let A be a character sheaf on D. Let $\mathfrak{E}_A$ be the equivalence class in $\mathbf{W}^\bullet \times \underline{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{T})$ under $\asymp$ defined by A (see 32.25(a)). Let $\zeta^A : H_n[D] \to \mathcal{A}$ be as in 31.7. We show:

(a) *If* $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ *is a sequence in* $\mathbf{I}$, $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ *and* $\zeta^A(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) \neq 0$ *then* $(s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{E}_A$.

Indeed, choose $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T})$ in the isomorphism class λ. Our assumption implies that $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda = \lambda$ hence $\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D$ is defined. Moreover our assumption implies $\sum_j (-v)^j v^{-\dim G}(A : {}^pH^j(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D)) \neq 0$. In particular, $A \dashv \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D$. Hence (a) follows from 32.25(a).

We show:

(b) *Let* $(x, \lambda) \in \mathbf{W} \times \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ *be such that* $\zeta^A(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) \neq 0$. *Then* $(x\underline{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{E}_A$.

We argue by induction on $l(x)$. If $x = 1$ we have $\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} = C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}$ where $\mathbf{s}$ is the empty sequence and the result follows from (a). Assume now that $l(x) \geq 1$. From our assumption we have $x\underline{D}\lambda = \lambda$. We can find a sequence $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ in $\mathbf{I}$ with $x = s_1 s_2 \dots s_r$, $r = l(x)$. From the definitions we have $C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda} = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}_{\underline{D}\lambda}, xy \leq x} c_y \tilde{T}_{xy} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}$ with $c_y \in \mathcal{A}, c_1 = v^r$. Hence

$\zeta^A(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) = \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}_{\underline{D}\lambda}, xy \leq x} c_y \zeta^A(\tilde{T}_{xy} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])$.

If $\zeta^A(\tilde{T}_{xy} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) \neq 0$ for some $y \in \mathbf{W}_{\underline{D}\lambda}, xy < x$ then, by the induction hypothesis, we have $(xy\underline{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{E}_A$; we have $(xy\underline{D}, \lambda) \asymp (x\underline{D}, \lambda)$ so that $(x\underline{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{E}_A$, as required.

We may therefore assume that $\zeta^A(\tilde{T}_{xy} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbf{W}_{\underline{D}\lambda}$ such that $xy < x$. Then we have $\zeta^A(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) = v^r \zeta^A(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])$. Hence $\zeta^A(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) \neq 0$. Using (a) we see that $(x\underline{D}, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{E}_A$, as required. This proves (b).

33. Disjointness

33.1. We fix an irreducible component D of G. For $(L, S) \in \mathbf{A}$ with $S \subset D$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ we define $\mathfrak{K}$ as in 5.6; we regard $\mathfrak{K}$ as a complex on D, zero outside $\bar{Y}_{L,S}$ and we write $(L, S, \mathcal{E}) \blacktriangleright_G \mathfrak{K}$.

Lemma 33.2. *Let* $(L, S) \in \mathbf{A}, (L', S') \in \mathbf{A}$ *with* $S \subset D, S' \subset D$. *Let* $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S), \mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{S}(S')$. *Let* $(L, S, \mathcal{E}) \blacktriangleright_G \mathfrak{K}$, $(L', S', \mathcal{E}') \blacktriangleright_G \mathfrak{K}'$. *Assume that* $\mathcal{E}$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{E}'$*) is strongly cuspidal and clean (see 23.3) relative to* $N_G L$ *(resp.* $N_G L'$*), that* $L = G^0$ *hence* $Y_{L,S} = S$ *and that* $Y_{L',S'} \neq S$. *Then for any* i, *the local systems* $\check{\mathcal{E}}$, $\mathcal{H}^i \mathfrak{K}'|_S$ *have no common irreducible direct summand.*

If $L' = G^0$ then, since $\mathcal{E}'$ is clean, we have $\mathcal{H}^i\mathfrak{K}'|_S = 0$. Assume now that $L' \ne G^0$. By 23.7 we have $H^j_c(G, \mathfrak{K} \otimes \mathfrak{K}') = 0$ for all j. Since $\mathfrak{K} = IC(\bar{S}, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is clean, we have $H^j_c(S, \mathfrak{K} \otimes \mathfrak{K}') = 0$ for all j hence $H^i_c(S, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathfrak{K}') = 0$ for all j. We must show that the local system $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S))$ on S has no direct summand $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}^{i_0}(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S))$ has a direct summand $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ and that i_0 is maximum possible with this property. If $a = \dim S'$, we have $H^{2a}_c(S, \mathcal{H}^{i_0}(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S))) \ne 0$. Hence $E_2^{2a,i_0} \ne 0$ in the standard spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p_c(S, \mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S))) \implies H^{p+q}_c(S, \mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S)).$$

By the proof of 23.5 we have $H^p_c(S, \mathcal{E}_1) = 0$ for any $\mathcal{E}_1 \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ which has no direct summand $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$; in particular, taking $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S))$ with $i > i_0$ we see that $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ if $q > i_0$. Clearly, $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ if $p > 2a$, hence $E_2^{2a,i_0} = E_3^{2a,i_0} = \dots = E_\infty^{2a,i_0}$. Since $E_2^{2a,i_0} \ne 0$, it follows that $H^{2a+i_0}_c(S, \mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathfrak{K}'|_S)) \ne 0$, a contradiction. The lemma is proved.

Proposition 33.3. *Let $(L, S) \in \mathbf{A}, (L', S') \in \mathbf{A}$ with $S \subset D, S' \subset D$. Let $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S), \mathcal{E}' \in \mathcal{S}(S')$. Let $(L, S, \mathcal{E}) \blacktriangleright_G \mathfrak{K}$, $(L', S', \mathcal{E}') \blacktriangleright_G \mathfrak{K}'$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}'$) are strongly cuspidal and clean relative to N_GL (resp. N_GL'). Let A (resp. A') be an admissible complex on D (see 6.7) which is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{K}$ (resp. of $\mathfrak{K}'$). Assume that $A \not\cong A'$. Let $Y = Y_{L,S}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the local system $A|_Y$. Then for any i, $\mathcal{F}$ is not a direct summand of $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y$ (which is a local system by 25.2).*

Since $\bar{Y}_{L',S'}$ is a union of strata of D, we have either $Y \cap \bar{Y}_{L',S'} = 0$ or $Y = Y_{L',S'}$ or $Y \subset \bar{Y}_{L',S'} - Y_{L',S'}$. In the first case we have $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y = 0$ and the result is obvious. In the second case we have $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y = 0$ unless $i = 0$ and since $A \not\cong A'$, the local system $\mathcal{H}^0(A)|_Y$ is irreducible, non-isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$. Thus, we may assume that $Y \subset \bar{Y}_{L',S'} - Y_{L',S'}$. It is enough to show that for any i, $\mathcal{F}$ is not a direct summand of $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{K}')|_Y$ (a local system, by 25.2). Let δ be the connected component of N_GL such that $S \subset \delta$. Let $su = us \in S^*$ with s semisimple, u unipotent. Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the connected component of $Z_G(s)$ such that $u \in \tilde{\delta}$. Since su is isolated in N_GL, we have ${}^\delta\mathcal{Z}^0_L = {}^{\tilde{\delta}}\mathcal{Z}_{Z_L(s)^0}$; we denote this torus by $\mathcal{T}$. Let R_1 be the subvariety of S consisting of all elements of the form $yzsuy^{-1}$ with $y \in Z_L(s)^0, z \in \mathcal{T}$. Since R_1 is an orbit of a connected group, it is smooth, irreducible. Let $R_1^* = R_1 \cap S^*$, an open dense subset of R_1 (see 25.4, 25.6). Let $\pi_1 : \pi^{-1}(R_1^*) \to R_1^*$ be the restriction of $\pi : \tilde{Y}_{L,S} \to Y$ (as in 3.13). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be the local system on $\tilde{Y}_{L,S}$ defined in 5.6; its restriction to $\pi^{-1}(R_1^*)$ is denoted again by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. From the definitions, we have $\mathfrak{K}|_{R_1^*} = \pi_{1!}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. By the proof of 3.13(a) we have

$$\pi^{-1}(R_1^*) = \sqcup_{xL \in N(L,S)/L}\{(g, xL); g \in R_1^*\}$$

where $N(L, S) = \{x \in N_{G^0}L, xSx^{-1} = S\}$. Define $\epsilon : s^{-1}R_1 \to R_1$ by $g \mapsto sg$. We see that $\epsilon^*\mathfrak{K}|_{R_1^*} = \oplus_{xL \in N(L,S)/L}\mathcal{E}^x|_{s^{-1}R_1^*}$ where $\mathcal{E}^x$ is the local system on $s^{-1}R_1$ obtained by taking inverse image of $\mathcal{E}$ under $s^{-1}R_1 \to S, g \mapsto xsgx^{-1}$. Now $s^{-1}R_1$ is an isolated stratum of $Z_G(s)$ contained in the connected component $\tilde{\delta}$ (it is the stratum containing u). From 23.4 we see that $\mathcal{E}^x$ and $\check{\mathcal{E}}^x$ are strongly cuspidal and clean with respect to $Z_G(s)$. By 16.12 we can find complexes $\mathfrak{K}'_j (j \in [1,m])$ on $\tilde{\delta}$ of the same type as $\mathfrak{K}'$ and an open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\tilde{\delta}$ containing all unipotents in $\tilde{\delta}$ such that

(a) $\epsilon'^*(\mathfrak{K}'|_{s\mathcal{U}}) \cong \oplus_j \mathfrak{K}'_j|_{\mathcal{U}}$,

where $\epsilon' : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow s\mathcal{U}$ is $g \mapsto sg$. Note that $R_1^* \cap s\mathcal{U}$ contains su hence is non-empty. Since $s\mathcal{U}$ is open in $s\tilde{\delta}$, and R_1 is an irreducible subset of $s\tilde{\delta}$, we see that $R_1 \cap s\mathcal{U}$ is an open dense subset of R_1. Since R_1^* is another open dense subset of R_1 we see that

(b) $R_1^* \cap s\mathcal{U} = (R_1 \cap s\mathcal{U}) \cap R_1^*$ *is open dense in* R_1.

It suffices to show that the local systems $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{K}')|_{R_1^* \cap s\mathcal{U}}$, $\mathfrak{K}|_{R_1^* \cap s\mathcal{U}}$ have no common irreducible direct summand. Using (a) we see that it suffices to show that for any $j \in [1,m], x \in N(L,S)$,

(c) *the local systems* $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{K}'_j)|_{s^{-1}R_1^* \cap \mathcal{U}}$, $\mathcal{E}^x_{s^{-1}R_1^* \cap \mathcal{U}}$ *have no common irreducible direct summand.*

Since $s^{-1}R_1$ is an isolated stratum of $Z_G(s)$, $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{K}'_j)|_{s^{-1}R_1}$ is a local system. Using (b) we see that (c) would follow from the following statement:

(d) *the local systems* $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathfrak{K}'_j)|_{s^{-1}R_1}$, $\mathcal{E}^x$ *have no common irreducible direct summand.*

By 16.12(b) we may assume that there exists $x' \in G^0$ such that $x'^{-1}sx' \in S'_s$ and the following holds. Let $L'' = x'L'x'^{-1}$, $S'' = x'S'x'^{-1}$, $L'_0 = L'' \cap Z_G(s)^0$,

S'_0 is a stratum of $N_G L'_0$ contained in $\tilde{\delta}$, containing unipotent elements and such that $S'_0 \subset s^{-1}S''$,

$\mathcal{E}'_0$ is the local system on S'_0, inverse image of $\mathcal{E}'$ under $S'_0 \longrightarrow S'$, $g \mapsto x'^{-1}sgx'$, $(L'_0, S'_0, \mathcal{E}'_0) \blacktriangleright_{Z_G(s)} \mathfrak{K}'_j$.

From 23.4 we see that $\mathcal{E}'_0$ is strongly cuspidal and clean with respect to $N_{Z_G(s)}(L'_0)$. We see that (d) follows from 33.2 (applied to $Z_G(s), \mathfrak{K}'_j, \check{\mathcal{E}}^x$ instead of $G, \mathfrak{K}', \mathcal{E}$) provided we can show that

(e) $Y_{L'_0,S'_0}$ *(defined in terms of* $Z_G(s)$*) is not equal to* $s^{-1}R_1$.

Assume that $Y_{L'_0,S'_0} = s^{-1}R_1$. Since $s^{-1}R_1$ is an isolated stratum of $Z_G(s)$, it follows that $L'_0 = Z_G(s)^0$ and $S'_0 = s^{-1}R_1$ hence $Z_G(s)^0 \subset L''$ and $u \in S'_0$. Since $sS'_0 \subset S''$, we have $su \in S''$. We can find a parabolic P' of G^0 with Levi L' such that $S' \subset N_G P' \cap N_G L'$ hence $S'' \subset N_G(x'P'x'^{-1}) \cap N_G(x'L'x'^{-1})$. We see that $su \in N_G(x'P'x'^{-1}) \cap N_G(x'L'x'^{-1})$. Using 2.1(c) with $g = su, Q = x'P'x'^{-1}$, we see that $L(su) \subset x'L'x'^{-1} = L''$ where $L(su)$ is defined as in 2.1. We can find a parabolic P of G^0 with Levi L such that $S \subset N_G P \cap N_G L$ hence $su \in N_G P \cap N_G L$. Moreover, su is isolated in $N_G P \cap N_G L$. From 3.8(a) we see that $L \subset L(su)$. Combining with $L(su) \subset L''$, we see that $L \subset L''$. Since $Y \subset \bar{Y}_{L',S'} - Y_{L',S'}$, we have $\bar{Y} \subset \bar{Y}_{L',S'}$. Taking images under the map $\sigma : D \longrightarrow D//G^0$ (see 7.1) we obtain $\dim \sigma(\bar{Y}) \le \dim \sigma(\bar{Y}_{L',S'})$. Using 7.3(b) we can rewrite the last inequality in the form $\dim({}^{\delta}\mathcal{Z}^0_L) \le \dim({}^{\delta'}\mathcal{Z}^0_{L'})$ where δ' is the connected component of $N_G L'$ that contains S'. Equivalently,

(f) $\dim({}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0) \le \dim({}^{\delta''}\mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0)$
where δ'' is the connected component of $N_G L''$ that contains S''. From $L \subset L''$ we deduce $\mathcal{Z}_{L''} \subset \mathcal{Z}_L$. Intersecting both sides with $Z_G(su)$ and noting that $su \in \delta, su \in \delta''$ we see that ${}^{\delta''}\mathcal{Z}_{L''} \subset {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L$. Taking identity components we have ${}^{\delta''}\mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 \subset {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0$. Using (f) we deduce ${}^{\delta''}\mathcal{Z}_{L''}^0 = {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0$. Taking the centralizer of both sides in G^0 and using 1.10(a) we obtain $L = L''$. Now S and S'' are strata of $N_G L = N_G L''$ which contain a common point, su. Hence $S = S''$. Since $(L, S) = (L'', S'')$ we have $Y_{L,S} = Y_{L'',S''}$ hence $Y = Y_{L',S'}$. This contradicts $Y \subset \bar{Y}_{L',S'} - Y_{L',S'}$ and proves (e). The proposition is proved.

33.4. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a finite collection of mutually non-isomorphic character sheaves on D and let $A \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $Y = Y_{L,S}$ be the stratum of D such that $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \bar{Y}$. Let $\tilde{Y} = \{(g, xL) \in D \times G^0/L; x^{-1}gx \in S^*\}$ (see 3.13). Define $\pi_1 : \tilde{Y} \to Y$ by $\pi_1(g, xL) = g$. By 25.2, for any $A' \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ there exists a local system $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y$ is a local system isomorphic to a direct summand of $\pi_{1!}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ as in 5.6. Replacing $\mathcal{E}$ by the direct sum of the local systems $\mathcal{E}$ (for various j, i as above) we see that we may assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is the same for all A', i. We can find $n' \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ such that $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}_{n'}(S)$. Let δ be the connected component of $N_G L$ that contains S. Let $g_1 \in S$. Let $H = \{(z_1, l_1) \in {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0 \times L; l_1 z_1^{n'} g_1 l_1^{-1} = g_1\}$. Let

$V = \{(g, x, z, l) \in D \times G^0 \times {}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0 \times L; x^{-1}gx = lz^{n'}g_1 l^{-1} \in S^*\}$.

Now V is irreducible; it is isomorphic to the product of G^0 with an open dense subset of ${}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0 \times L$. We have a commutative diagram with cartesian squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \tilde{Y}' \arrow[d, "\pi_2"'] & Z' \arrow[l, "a'"'] \arrow[r, "b'"] \arrow[d, "\pi_3"'] & S' \arrow[d, "\pi_4"'] \\
Y & \tilde{Y} \arrow[l, "\pi_1"'] & Z \arrow[l, "a"'] \arrow[r, "b"] & S
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

S' is the space of H^0-orbits on ${}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0 \times L$ for the free H^0-action by right translation,

$Z = \{(g, x) \in D \times G^0; x^{-1}gx \in S^*\}$,

$\tilde{Y}'$ is the space of $(L \times H^0)$-orbits on V for the free $L \times H^0$-action $(l_0, (z_1, l_1)) : (g, x, z, l) \mapsto (g, xl_0^{-1}, zz_1^{-1}, l_0 l l_1^{-1})$,

Z' is the space of H^0-orbits on V for the free H^0-action $(z_1, l_1)) : (g, x, z, l) \mapsto (g, x, zz_1^{-1}, ll_1^{-1})$,

$a(g, x) = (g, xL), b(g, x) = x^{-1}gx$, a' is the obvious map, $b'(g, x, z, l) \mapsto (z, l)$, $\pi_2(g, x, z, l) = (g, xL), \pi_3(g, x, z, l) = (g, x)$, $\pi_4(z_1, l_1) = l_1^{-1} z_1^{n'} g_1 l_1$.

Now $\tilde{Y}'$ is irreducible since V is irreducible; $\tilde{Y}$ is irreducible since it equals $\pi_2(\tilde{Y}')$. Since $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{S}_{n'}(S)$, the local system $\pi_4^*\mathcal{E}$ on S' is $({}^\delta\mathcal{Z}_L^0 \times L)$-equivariant (for the action by left translation). Since this action is transitive with connected isotropy groups, we see that $\pi_4^*\mathcal{E} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$ for some integer $e \ge 1$. Hence $\pi_3^* b^*\mathcal{E} = b'^*\pi_4^*\mathcal{E} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$.

By definition, $a^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = b^*\mathcal{E}$. Hence $a'^*\pi_2^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_3^*a^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = \pi_3^*b^*\mathcal{E} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$. Since a' is a principal L-bundle, it follows that $\pi_2^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$. Now $\pi_0 := \pi_1\pi_2 : \tilde{Y}' \longrightarrow Y$ is a composition of two (finite) principal coverings (π_1 is a principal H/H^0-covering since π_4 is a principal H/H^0-covering; π_1 is a principal covering by 3.13(a)) hence it is a not necessarily principal, finite unramified covering. Let $N = |\pi_0^{-1}(y)|$ for some/any $y \in Y$. Let Y'' be the set of all pairs (y, f) where $y \in Y$ and $f : \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \longrightarrow \pi_0^{-1}(y)$ is a bijection. Then Y'' is an algebraic variety and $\pi'_0 : Y'' \longrightarrow Y, (y, f) \mapsto y$ is a principal covering whose group is the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_N$. Moreover, π'_0 factors as $Y'' \xrightarrow{\tau} \tilde{Y}' \xrightarrow{\pi_0} Y$ where $\tau(y, f) = f(1)$. Let $\hat{Y}$ be a connected component of Y''. Then $\tau_0 : \hat{Y} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}'$ (restriction of τ) is a finite unramified covering. Let $\pi : \hat{Y} \longrightarrow Y$ be the restriction of π'_0. Then π is a (finite) principal bundle whose group is the group Γ consisting of all elements of $\mathfrak{S}_N$ which map $\hat{Y}$ into itself. Moreover, π factors as $\hat{Y} \xrightarrow{\tau_1} \tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Y$ where $\tau_1 = \pi_1\tau_0$ is a finite unramified covering. Since $\pi_2^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$, we have $\tau_1^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^e$. Hence any irreducible direct summand of the local system $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is a direct summand of $\tau_{1!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Now let $\mathcal{E}_1$ be an irreducible local system on Y which is a direct summand of $\pi_{1!}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. We can find an irreducible direct summand $\mathcal{E}_2$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $\mathcal{E}_1$ is a direct summand of $\pi_{1!}\mathcal{E}_2$. Then $\mathcal{E}_2$ is a direct summand of $\tau_{1!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, hence $\pi_{1!}\mathcal{E}_2$ is a direct summand of $\pi_{1!}\tau_{1!}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l = \pi_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Since $\mathcal{E}_1$ is a direct summand of $\pi_{1!}\mathcal{E}_2$ it follows that

(a) *$\mathcal{E}_1$ is a direct summand of $\pi_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$.*

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category whose objects are local systems on Y which are direct sums of irreducible direct summands of $\pi_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Let $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ be the category of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[\Gamma]$-modules of finite dimension over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. We have an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$: it attaches to an object M of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$ the local system $[M] = (M^* \otimes \pi_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l)^\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$; here $\pi_!\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is regarded naturally as a local system with Γ-action, M^* is the dual of M and the superscript denotes Γ-invariants. Using (a) and the definition of $\mathcal{E}$, we see that, for any $A' \in \mathcal{I}, i \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y \cong [M_{A',i}]$ for some $M_{A',i} \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma$, well defined up to isomorphism. Let $e = \dim Y$. Then $M_{A,-e}$ is an irreducible object of $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$.

In the remainder of this section we assume that:

(b) *D is clean in the sense that, for any parabolic subgroup P of G^0 such that $N_DP \neq \emptyset$, any cuspidal character sheaf of N_DP/U_P is* 0 *on the complement of some isolated stratum of N_DP/U_P.*

We show:

(c) *if $A' \in \mathcal{I}, i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $A' \neq A$ then $M_{A',i}$ contains no direct summand isomorphic to $M_{A,-e}$.*

This follows from 33.3 which is applicable in view of (b), the admissibility of character sheaves (30.6), the strong cuspidality of cuspidal character sheaves (31.15) and the fact that $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is a character sheaf (28.18).

In the remainder of this section we assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ and that G has a fixed $\mathbf{F}_q$-rational structure whose Frobenius map F induces the identity map on G/G^0. Replacing $\mathbf{F}_q$ by a finite extension, we may assume that $F(Y)=Y$, that $\hat{Y}$ and $\pi:\hat{Y}\to Y$ are defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$, that the Frobenius map $F:\hat{Y}\to\hat{Y}$ satisfies $F(\gamma\hat{y})=\gamma F(\hat{y})$ for all $\gamma\in\Gamma,\hat{y}\in\hat{Y}$, that $F^*A'\cong A'$ for all $A'\in\mathcal{I}$ and that for any $\gamma\in\Gamma$ and any integer $m\ge 1$ there exists $\hat{y}_{\gamma,m}\in\hat{Y}$ such that $F^m(\hat{y}_{\gamma,m})=\gamma\hat{y}_{\gamma,m}$. (We then set $y_{\gamma,m}=\pi(\hat{y}_{\gamma,m})$.)

Let $M\in\mathcal{C}_\Gamma$. The stalk of $[M]$ at $y\in Y$ is the vector space

$$[M]_y=\{f:\pi^{-1}(y)\to M^*; f(\hat{y})=\gamma(f(\gamma^{-1}\hat{y}))\text{ for all }\gamma\in\Gamma,\hat{y}\in\hat{Y}\}.$$

Let $m\ge 1$. For any $R\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}_\Gamma}(M^*)$ there is a unique isomorphism of local systems $\tilde{R}:F^{m*}[M]\xrightarrow{\sim}[M]$ such that for any $y\in Y$, $\tilde{R}$ induces on stalks the linear map $\tilde{R}_y:[M]_{F^m(y)}\to[M]_y$ which to a function $f:\pi^{-1}(F^m(y))\to M^*$ associates the function $f':\pi^{-1}(y)\to M^*$ given by $f'(\hat{y})=R(f(F^{-m}(\hat{y})))$. Clearly, any isomorphism $F^{m*}[M]\xrightarrow{\sim}[M]$ is of the form $\tilde{R}$ for a unique R as above.

For $\gamma\in\Gamma$ we have an isomorphism

(d) $[M]_{y_{\gamma,m}}\xrightarrow{\sim}M^*, f\mapsto f(\hat{y}_{\gamma,m})$.

If R is as above then $\tilde{R}_{y_{\gamma,m}}$ maps $[M]_{y_{\gamma,m}}$ into itself (since $F^m(y_{\gamma,m})=y_{\gamma,m}$) and it corresponds under (d) to the automorphism $\gamma^{-1}R=R\gamma^{-1}:M^*\to M^*$. Hence

(e) $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{R}_{y_{\gamma,m}},[M]_{y_{\gamma,m}})=\mathrm{tr}(\gamma^{-1}R,M^*)=\mathrm{tr}({}^tR\gamma,M)$.

33.5. Let V be an algebraic variety defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ with Frobenius map $F:V\to V$. Let $K\in\mathcal{D}(V)$ and let $\phi:F^*K\xrightarrow{\sim}K$ be an isomorphism. For any integer $m\ge 1$ we denote by $\phi^{(m)}:F^{m*}K\xrightarrow{\sim}K$ the composition

$$(F^m)^*K\xrightarrow{(F^{m-1})^*\phi}(F^{m-1})^*K\xrightarrow{(F^{m-2})^*}\dots\xrightarrow{F^*\phi}F^*K\xrightarrow{\phi}K.$$

33.6. For each $A'\in\mathcal{I}$ we choose an isomorphism $\kappa_{A'}:F^*A'\xrightarrow{\sim}A'$. Let $\kappa'_A:F^*\mathfrak{D}(A)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathfrak{D}(A)$ be the isomorphism such that for any $y\in Y$ the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{-e}\mathfrak{D}(A)_{F(y)}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}^{-e}\mathfrak{D}(A)_y$ (that is, $\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)\check{}_{F(y)}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)\check{}_y$) induced by κ'_A is $q^{\dim D-e}$ times the transpose inverse of the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_{F(y)}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_y$ induced by κ_A.

Proposition 33.7. *Let $A'\in\mathcal{I}$. For any integer $m\ge 1$ we have*

$$\text{(a)}\qquad q^{-(\dim D-e)m}|\Gamma|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi_{A',\kappa_{A'}^{(m)}}(y_{\gamma,m})\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A),\kappa'_A{}^{(m)}}(y_{\gamma,m})=\delta_{A,A'}.$$

Under an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^i(A')|_Y\cong[M_{A',i}]$, the isomorphism $F^{*m}\mathcal{H}^i(A')\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}^i(A')$ induced by $\kappa_{A'}^{(m)}:F^{*m}A'\xrightarrow{\sim}A'$ corresponds to an isomorphism $F^{*m}[M_{A',i}]\xrightarrow{\sim}[M_{A',i}]$ which must be of the form $\tilde{R}$ for some $R=R_{m,A',i}\in\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{C}_\Gamma}(M^*_{A',i})$ hence

$$\mathrm{tr}(\kappa_{A'}^{(m)},\mathcal{H}^i(A')_{y_{\gamma,m}})=\mathrm{tr}({}^tR_{m,A',i}\gamma,M_{A',i}).$$

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{tr}({\kappa'_A}^{(m)}, \mathcal{H}^{-e}(\mathfrak{D}(A))_{y_{\gamma,m}}) = q^{(\dim D-e)m}\mathrm{tr}((\kappa_A^{(m)})^{-1}, \mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_{y_{\gamma,m}})\\ &= q^{(\dim D-e)m}\mathrm{tr}({}^tR_{m,A,-e}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}, M_{A,-e}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence the left hand side of (a) equals

$$\text{(b)}\qquad \sum_i (-1)^{i+e}|\Gamma|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathrm{tr}({}^tR_{m,A',i}\gamma, M_{A',i})\mathrm{tr}({}^tR_{m,A,-e}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}, M_{A,-e})$$

that is,

$$\sum_i (-1)^{i+e}|\Gamma|^{-1}\mathrm{tr}(({}^tR_{m,A',i}\otimes {}^tR_{m,A,-e})\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(\gamma\otimes\gamma^{-1}), M_{A',i}\otimes M_{A,-e}).$$

Assume first that $A'\ne A$. To show that (b) is zero it is enough to show that for any i, $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(\gamma\otimes\gamma^{-1})$ acts as 0 on $M_{A',i}\otimes M_{A,-e}$. This follows from the fact that the Γ-invariant part of the Γ-module $M_{A',i}\otimes M^*_{A,-e}$ is zero (see 33.4(c)).

Assume next that $A'=A$. Then we have $M_{A',i}=0$ unless $i=-e$. We must show that

$$|\Gamma|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\mathrm{tr}({}^tR_{m,A,-e}\gamma, M_{A,-e})\mathrm{tr}({}^tR_{m,A,-e}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}, M_{A,-e}) = 1.$$

Since $M_{A,-e}$ is an irreducible Γ-module, ${}^tR_{m,A,-e}$ acts as on it as a scalar, hence the desired equality follows from the Schur orthogonality relations for irreducible characters of Γ.

34. THE STRUCTURE OF H_n^D

34.1. We give (a variant of) some definitions in [L13, 1]. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a commutative ring with 1. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an associative $\mathcal{R}$-algebra with 1 with a given finite basis B as an $\mathcal{R}$-module. We assume that 1 is compatible with B in the following sense: $1=\sum_\lambda 1_\lambda$ where $1_\lambda\in B$ are distinct, $1_\lambda 1_{\lambda'}=\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}1_\lambda$ and any $b\in B$ satisfies $1_\lambda b 1_{\lambda'}=b$ for some (uniquely determined) λ,λ'. For $b,b'\in B$ we have $bb'=\sum_{b''\in B} r_{b,b'}^{b''}b''$ where $r_{b,b'}^{b''}\in\mathcal{R}$. We say that $b'\preceq b$ if $b'\in\cap_{K\in\mathcal{F};b\in K}K$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is the collection of all subsets K of B such that $\sum_{b_1\in K}\mathcal{R}b_1$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{A}$; we say that $b\sim b'$ if $b'\preceq b$ and $b\preceq b'$. This is an equivalence relation on B and the equivalence classes are the *two-sided cells.* (Replacing two-sided ideals by left ideals in the definition of $\preceq$ and of two-sided cells we obtain the notion of left cells. The left cells form a partition of B finer than that given by two-sided cells.) We say that $b'\prec b$ if $b'\preceq b$ and $b'\not\sim b$. For any $b\in B$ let $\mathfrak{A}_{\prec b}=\oplus_{b'\in B;b'\prec b}\mathcal{R}b$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{A}=\mathbf{Z}[v,v^{-1}]$. Let $b\in B$. We can find an integer $m\ge 0$ such that $v^{-m}r_{b,b'}^{b''}\in\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$ for any b',b'' in the two-sided cell of b. The smallest such m is denoted by $a(b)$. We say that B satisfies P_1 if $a(b)=a(b')$ whenever b,b' are in the same two-sided cell. Assume that B satisfies P_1. For $b\in B$ we set $\hat{b}=v^{-a(b)}b\in\mathfrak{A}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}^-=\sum_{b\in B}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]\hat{b}\subset\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}^-$ is an associative $\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$-algebra for the multiplication $\hat{b}*\hat{b}'=\sum_{b''\in B;b''\sim b}v^{-a(b)}r_{b,b'}^{b''}\hat{b}''$ if $b\sim b'$, $\hat{b}*\hat{b}'=0$ if $b\not\sim b'$. Let $\mathfrak{A}^\infty=\mathfrak{A}^-/v^{-1}\mathfrak{A}^-$ and let $t_b=\hat{b}+v^{-1}\mathfrak{A}^-\in\mathfrak{A}^\infty$. Then $\mathfrak{A}^\infty$ is a ring with $\mathbf{Z}$-basis $\{t_b;b\in B\}$ and with multiplication defined by $t_bt_{b'}=\sum_{b''\in B}\gamma_{b,b'}^{b''}t_{b''}$ where $\gamma_{b,b'}^{b''}\in\mathbf{Z}$ is given by $v^{-a(b)}r_{b,b'}^{b''}=\gamma_{b,b'}^{b''}\mod v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]$ if b,b',b'' are in the same two-sided cell and $\gamma_{b,b'}^{b''}=0$, otherwise. We say that B satisfies P_2 if $\mathfrak{A}^\infty$ has a unit element compatible with the basis $\{t_b;b\in B\}$. We say that B satisfies P_3 if for any $b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4\in B$ such that $b_2\sim b_4$ we have

$$\sum_{\beta\in B;\beta\sim b_2}r_{b_1,b_2}^{\beta}(v)r_{\beta,b_3}^{b_4}(v')=\sum_{\beta\in B;\beta\sim b_2}r_{b_1,\beta}^{\beta_4}(v)r_{\beta_2,b_3}^{\beta}(v')$$

where v' is an indeterminate independent of v. In this case, assuming also that $b_2\sim b_3\sim b_4$, we pick the coefficient of $v'^{a(b_2)}=v'^{a(b_4)}$ in both sides and we obtain

(a) $$\sum_{\beta\in B;\beta\sim b_2}r_{b_1,b_2}^{\beta}\gamma_{\beta,b_3}^{b_4}=\sum_{\beta\in B;\beta\sim b_2}r_{b_1,\beta}^{\beta_4}\gamma_{\beta_2,b_3}^{\beta}.$$

Assume that B satisfies P_1,P_2,P_3. The unit element of $\mathfrak{A}^\infty$ is of the form $\sum_{b\in\mathcal{D}}t_b$ where $\mathcal{D}\subset B$. We say that $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of *distinguished* elements of B.

Let $\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathfrak{A}^\infty$. We define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\Phi:\mathfrak{A}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$\Phi(b)=\sum_{b_1\in\mathcal{D},b_2\in B;b_1\sim b_2}r_{b,b_1}^{b_2}t_{b_2}$$

for $b\in B$. Then Φ is an $\mathcal{A}$-algebra homomorphism taking 1 to 1. If we identify $\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ as $\mathcal{A}$-modules via $b\leftrightarrow t_b$, the obvious left $\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$-module structure on $\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$ becomes the left $\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$-module structure on $\mathfrak{A}$ given by $t_b*b'=\sum_{b''\in B}\gamma_{b,b'}^{b''}b''$. For $x\in\mathfrak{A},b\in B$ we have

(b) $xb=\Phi(x)*b\mod\mathfrak{A}_{\prec b}$.

Indeed, we may assume that $x\in B$. Using (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x)*b&=\sum_{b_1\in\mathcal{D},b_2\in B;b_1\sim b_2}r_{x,b_1}^{b_2}t_{b_2}*b=\sum_{b_1\in\mathcal{D},b_2,b''\in B;b_1\sim b_2}r_{x,b_1}^{b_2}\gamma_{b_2,b}^{b''}b''\\&=\sum_{b_1\in\mathcal{D},b_2,b''\in B;b_1\sim b\sim b''}r_{x,b_1}^{b_2}\gamma_{b_2,b}^{b''}b''=\sum_{b_1\in\mathcal{D},b_1',b''\in B;b_1\sim b\sim b''}r_{x,b_1'}^{b''}\gamma_{b_1,b}^{b_1'}b''\\&=\sum_{b_1\in\mathcal{D},b''\in B;b_1\sim b\sim b''}r_{x,b}^{b''}\gamma_{b_1,b}^{b}b''=\sum_{b''\in B;b\sim b''}r_{x,b}^{b''}b''=xb\mod\mathfrak{A}_{\prec b},\end{aligned}$$

as required.

Let K be a field and let $\mathcal{A} \to K$ be a homomorphism of rings with 1. Let $\mathfrak{A}_K = K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^\infty_K = K \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathfrak{A}^\infty, \mathfrak{A}_{K,\prec b} = K \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathfrak{A}_{\prec b}$ $(b \in B)$. Then Φ induces a K-algebra homomorphism $\Phi_K : \mathfrak{A}_K \to \mathfrak{A}^\infty_K$. We show:

(c) *If* $\mathfrak{A}_K$ *is a semisimple algebra then* Φ_K *is an (algebra) isomorphism.*

Since $\mathfrak{A}_K, \mathfrak{A}^\infty_K$ have the same (finite) dimension, it suffices to show that Φ_K is injective. The $\mathfrak{A}^\infty_{\mathcal{A}}$-module structure on $\mathfrak{A}$ extends to an $\mathfrak{A}^\infty_K$-module structure on $\mathfrak{A}_K$ denoted again by $*$. From (b) we deduce that $xb = \Phi_K(x) * b \mod \mathfrak{A}_{K,\prec b}$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{A}_K, b \in B$. In particular, if $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\Phi_K, b \in B$ then $xb \in \mathfrak{A}_{K,\prec b}$. Applying this repeatedly, we see that for any $m \geq 1$, any $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ in $\mathrm{Ker}\Phi_K$ and any $b \in B$, $x_1 x_2 \dots x_m b$ is a K-linear combination of elements $b' \in B$ such that $b' = b_m \prec b_{m-1} \prec \dots \prec b_0 = b$ (with $b_i \in B$). If m is large enough, no such b' exists. Thus for large enough m we have $x_1 x_2 \dots x_m b = 0$ for all $b \in B$ hence $x_1 x_2 \dots x_m = 0$. We see that $\mathrm{Ker}\Phi_K$ is a nilpotent two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{A}_K$. Hence it is 0. Thus Φ_K is injective and (c) is proved.

34.2. Let D be a connected component of G^0. Let $\mathbf{W}^D$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{W}^\bullet \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbf{T})$ generated by $\mathbf{W}$ and by $\underline{D}$. Now $\mathbf{W}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathbf{W}^D$ and $\mathbf{W}^D/\mathbf{W}$ is a finite cyclic group.

We fix $n \in \mathbf{N}^*_{\mathbf{k}}$. Let $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We write R_λ instead of $R_{\mathcal{L}}$ (see 28.3) where λ is the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}_n$. Then R_λ is a root system and $R^+_\lambda = R_\lambda \cap R^+$ is a set of positive roots for R_λ. Let Π_λ be the unique set of simple roots for R_λ such that $\Pi_\lambda \subset R^+_\lambda$. Recall that $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$, the subgroup of $\mathbf{W}$ generated by $\{s_\alpha; \alpha \in R_\lambda\}$ is the Weyl group of the root system R_λ. Let $\mathbf{I}_\lambda = \{s_\alpha; \alpha \in \Pi_\lambda\} \subset \mathbf{W}_\lambda$. Then $(\mathbf{W}_\lambda, \mathbf{I}_\lambda)$ is a Coxeter group. Let $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda = \{w \in \mathbf{W}^D; w\lambda = \lambda\}$. Let $\Omega^D_\lambda = \{w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda; w(R^+_\lambda) = R^+_\lambda\}$. (Here $\mathbf{W}^D$ acts on R by $w : \alpha \mapsto w\alpha, (w\alpha)(t) = \alpha(w^{-1}t)$ for $t \in \mathbf{T}$.) Then $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$, Ω^D_λ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ is the semidirect product of $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ and Ω^D_λ. Define $l : \mathbf{W}^D \to \mathbf{N}$ by $l(w) = |\{\alpha \in R^+; w(\alpha) \in R^-\}|$. This extends the length function $\mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{N}$. Define $l_\lambda : \mathbf{W}^D \to \mathbf{N}$ by $l_\lambda(w) = |\{\alpha \in R^+_\lambda; w(\alpha) \in R^-\}|$. Then $\Omega^D_\lambda = \{w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda; l_\lambda(w) = 0\}$, $\mathbf{I}_\lambda = \{w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda; l_\lambda(w) = 1\}$. The standard partial order $\leq_\lambda$ of the Coxeter group $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ is extended to a partial order $\leq_\lambda$ on $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ as follows: if $w_1, w'_1 \in \Omega^D_\lambda, w_2, w'_2 \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$, we say that $w_1 w_2 \leq_\lambda w'_1 w'_2$ if $w_1 = w'_1$ and $w_2 \leq_\lambda w'_2$.

Let H^D_λ be the $\mathcal{A}$-algebra defined by the generators $\tilde{T}^\lambda_w (w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda)$ and relations

(a) $\tilde{T}^\lambda_w \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w'} = \tilde{T}^\lambda_{ww'}$ if $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$, $l_\lambda(ww') = l_\lambda(w) + l_\lambda(w')$,

(b) $(\tilde{T}^\lambda_\sigma)^2 = \tilde{T}^\lambda_1 + (v - v^{-1})\tilde{T}^\lambda_\sigma$ for $\sigma \in \mathbf{I}_\lambda$.

Then $\{\tilde{T}^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of H^D_λ. Let H_λ be the $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of H^D_λ with $\mathcal{A}$-basis $\{\tilde{T}^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda\}$. This is an $\mathcal{A}$-subalgebra of H^D_λ. Let $^- : H^D_\lambda \to H^D_\lambda$ be the unique ring homomorphism such that $\overline{v^m \tilde{T}^\lambda_w} = v^{-m}(\tilde{T}^\lambda_{w^{-1}})^{-1}$ for all $w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. From the definitions, for any $w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ we have $\overline{\tilde{T}^\lambda_w} - \tilde{T}^\lambda_w \in \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda; y \leq_\lambda w, y \neq w} \mathcal{A}\tilde{T}^\lambda_y$. By an argument similar to one in [L12, 5.2] we see that for any $w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ there is a unique element $c^\lambda_w \in H^D_\lambda$ such that $\overline{c^\lambda_w} = c^\lambda_w$ and $c^\lambda_w - \tilde{T}^\lambda_w \in \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda; y \neq w} v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]\tilde{T}^\lambda_y$. Also, $\{c^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of H^D_λ

and $\{c^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of H_λ (as in [KL]).

Lemma 34.3. *The $\mathcal{A}$-algebra H^D_λ with its basis $(c^\lambda_w)_{w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda}$ satisfies P_1, P_2, P_3 in 34.1.*

The analogous statement where $H^D_\lambda, \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ are replaced by $H_\lambda, \mathbf{W}_\lambda$ holds by [L12, §15]. The proof of the lemma is entirely similar; alternatively, it can be reduced to the case of H_λ using the identities

(a) $c^\lambda_{w_1 w_2} = \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1} c^\lambda_{w_2}$, $c^\lambda_{w_2 w_1} = c^\lambda_{w_2} \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1}$ for $w_1 \in \Omega^D_\lambda, w_2 \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$,

(b) $\tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1} \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w'_1} = \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1 w'_1}$ for $w_1 \in \Omega^D_\lambda, w'_1 \in \Omega^D_\lambda$.

The function $a : \{c^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ (see 34.1) is determined by the analogous function $a : \{c^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ (defined in terms of H_λ) by $a(c^\lambda_{w_1 w_2}) = a(c^\lambda_{w_2 w_1}) = a(c^\lambda_{w_2})$ for $w_1 \in \Omega^D_\lambda, w_2 \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$. The two-sided cells of $\{c^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda\}$ are the sets of the form $\tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1} \mathbf{c} \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w'_1}$ where w_1, w'_1 run through Ω^D_λ and $\mathbf{c}$ is a two-sided cell of $\{c^\lambda_w; w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda\}$. We show:

(c) *If $c^\lambda_w (w \in \mathbf{W}^D_\lambda)$ is a distinguished basis element of H^D_λ (see 34.1) then $w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$ and $w^2 = 1$.*

By [L12] any left cell of $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ contains a unique distinguished basis element. By the same argument, any left cell of $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ contains a unique distinguished basis element. Let Γ be the left cell of $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ that contains c^λ_w. (See 3.1.) Write $w = w_1 w_2$ with $w_1 \in \Omega^D_\lambda, w_2 \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$. From (a) we have $c^\lambda_w = \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1} c^\lambda_{w_2}, c^\lambda_{w_2} = \tilde{T}^\lambda_{w_1^{-1}} c_{w^\lambda}$. Hence $c^\lambda_{w_2} \in \Gamma$. We see that, if Γ' is the left cell of $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ that contains $c^\lambda_{w_2}$, then $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$. Let $c^\lambda_{w_3}$ be the unique distinguished basis element of $\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ that is contained in Γ'. Then $c^\lambda_{w_3}$ is also a distinguished basis element of $\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ contained in Γ hence, by uniqueness, we have $c^\lambda_{w_3} = c^\lambda_w$. We see that $w = w_3 \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$. The fact that $w^2 = 1$ also follows from [L12].

34.4. Let H^D_n be the $\mathcal{A}$-algebra with 1 defined by the generators $\tilde{T}_w (w \in \mathbf{W}^D)$, $1_\lambda (\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n)$ and the relations

$1_\lambda 1_\lambda = 1_\lambda$ for $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, $1_\lambda 1_{\lambda'} = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ in $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$,

$\tilde{T}_w \tilde{T}_{w'} = \tilde{T}_{ww'}$ for $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}^D$ with $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$,

$\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda = 1_{w\lambda} \tilde{T}_w$ for $w \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$,

$\tilde{T}_s^2 = \tilde{T}_1 + (v - v^{-1}) \sum_{\lambda; s \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda} \tilde{T}_s 1_\lambda$ for $s \in \mathbf{I}$,

$\tilde{T}_1 = \sum_\lambda 1_\lambda$.

We identify H_n (see 31.2) with the subalgebra of H^D_n generated by $\tilde{T}_w (w \in \mathbf{W}), 1_\lambda (\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n)$ by $T_w \mapsto v^{l(w)} \tilde{T}_w (w \in \mathbf{W})$, $1_\lambda \mapsto 1_\lambda (\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n)$. There is a unique ring homomorphism $^-\colon H^D_n \longrightarrow H^D_n$ such that $\overline{\tilde{T}_w} = \tilde{T}^{-1}_{w^{-1}}$ for all $w \in \mathbf{W}^D$, $\overline{v^m 1_\lambda} = v^{-m} 1_\lambda$ for all λ and all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. It has square 1. Its restriction to H_n is the involution $^-\colon H_n \longrightarrow H_n$ described in 31.3. From the definitions, for any $w \in \mathbf{W}^D$, $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ we have $\overline{\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda} - \tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \in \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}^D; y \le w, y \neq w} \mathcal{A} \tilde{T}_y 1_\lambda$. By an argument similar to one in [L12, 5.2] we see that for any $w \in \mathbf{W}^D$, $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, there is a unique element

$c_{w,\lambda}\in H_n^D$ such that $\overline{c_{w,\lambda}}=c_{w,\lambda}$ and $c_{w,\lambda}-\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda\in\sum_{y\in\mathbf{W}^D;y\ne w} v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]\tilde{T}_y 1_\lambda$. We have $c_{w,\lambda}\in 1_{w\lambda}H_n^D 1_\lambda$. Also, $\{c_{w,\lambda}; w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of H_n^D.

Proposition 34.5. *The $\mathcal{A}$-algebra H_n^D with its basis $(c_{w,\lambda})_{(w,\lambda)\in\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}$ satisfies P_1,P_2,P_3 in 34.1.*

The proof is given in 34.10.

34.6. In the setup of 34.2, the $\mathcal{A}$-algebra $1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda$ (a subalgebra of H_n^D) has a unit element 1_λ, an $\mathcal{A}$-basis $\{\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda; w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D\}$ and an $\mathcal{A}$-basis $\{c_{w,\lambda}; w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D\}$.

Lemma 34.7. *The $\mathcal{A}$-algebra $1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda$ with its basis $\{c_{w,\lambda}; w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D\}$ satisfies P_1,P_2,P_3 in 34.1.*

Define $\vartheta_\lambda : H_\lambda^D\to 1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda$ by $\tilde{T}_w^\lambda\mapsto\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda$ (an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$-modules). Using 34.3, we see that it suffices to show that ϑ_λ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$-algebras carrying c_w^λ to $c_{w,\lambda}$ for any $w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D$. We use the notation in 34.2. We show:

(a) *Let $w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\alpha\in\Pi_\lambda,\sigma=s_\alpha\in\mathbf{I}_\lambda$. Then $\tilde{T}_w\tilde{T}_\sigma 1_\lambda=\tilde{T}_{w\sigma}1_\lambda+\delta(v-v^{-1})\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda$ (in H_n^D) with $\delta\in\{0,1\}$. If in addition $w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D$ then $\delta=0$ if $l_\lambda(w\sigma)>l_\lambda(w)$ and $\delta=1$, otherwise.*

The proof has some common features with one in [MS, 3.3.5]. We have $\sigma=s_1s_2\dots s_r$ with $s_i\in\mathbf{I},r=l(\sigma)$. By [L3, I, 5.3], there exists $j\in[1,r]$ such that $s_r\dots s_{j+1}s_js_{j+1}\dots s_r\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ and $s_r\dots s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\dots s_r\notin\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ for $i\in[1,r]-\{j\}$; by [L3, I, 5.6], we have $\sigma=s_r\dots s_{j+1}s_js_{j+1}\dots s_r$. Hence $s_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}s_{j+1}\dots s_r=1$. From the relations of H_n^D we have:

$$\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_j}1_{s_{j+1}\dots s_r\lambda}=\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_j}1_{s_{j+1}\dots s_r\lambda}+\delta'(v-v^{-1})\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}}1_{s_{j+1}\dots s_r\lambda}$$

where $\delta'=0$ if $l(ws_1s_2\dots s_j)>l(ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1})$ and $\delta'=1$ otherwise,

$$\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{i-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_i}1_{s_{i+1}\dots s_r\lambda}=\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_i}1_{s_{i+1}\dots s_r\lambda}$$

for $i\in[1,r]-\{j\}$,

$$\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}s_{j+1}\dots s_{i-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_i}1_{s_{i+1}\dots s_r\lambda}=\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}s_{j+1}\dots s_i}1_{s_{i+1}\dots s_r\lambda}$$

for $i\in[j+1,r]$. From these identities we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{T}_w\tilde{T}_\sigma 1_\lambda=\tilde{T}_w\tilde{T}_{s_1}\tilde{T}_{s_2}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_r}1_\lambda=\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_j}\tilde{T}_{s_{j+1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_r}1_\lambda\\
&=\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_j}\tilde{T}_{s_{j+1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_r}1_\lambda+\delta'(v-v^{-1})\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_{j+1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_r}1_\lambda\\
&=\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_js_{j+1}\dots s_r}1_\lambda+\delta'(v-v^{-1})\tilde{T}_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}s_{j+1}\dots s_r}1_\lambda\\
&=\tilde{T}_{w\sigma}1_\lambda+\delta'(v-v^{-1})\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D$. We show that $\delta=\delta'$. The condition that $\delta=0$ is equivalent to the condition that $w(\alpha)\in\mathbf{R}_\lambda^+$. The condition that $\delta'=0$ is equivalent to the condition that $ws_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}(\alpha_j)\in R^+$ where $\alpha_j\in R^+$ is defined by $s_j=s_{\alpha_j}$. Since $\alpha=s_1s_2\dots s_{j-1}(\alpha_j)$, this completes the proof of (a).

We show:

(b) *Let* $w\in\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda, w'\in\Omega^D_\lambda$. *Then* $\tilde T_w\tilde T_{w'}1_\lambda=\tilde T_{ww'}1_\lambda\in H^D_n$.

We write $w'=s_1s_2\dots s_r$ with $s_i\in\mathbf{I}, r=l(w')$. Using [L3, I, 5.3] we see that $s_r\dots s_{i+1}s_is_{i+1}\dots s_r\notin\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ for all $i\in[1,r]$. From the relations of H^D_n we have

$$\tilde T_{ws_1s_2\dots s_{i-1}}\tilde T_{s_i}1_{s_{i+1}\dots s_r\lambda}=\tilde T_{ws_1s_2\dots s_i}1_{s_{i+1}\dots s_r\lambda}$$

for $i\in[1,r]$. Using these identities we see that $\tilde T_w\tilde T_{w'}1_\lambda=\tilde T_w\tilde T_{s_1}\tilde T_{s_2}\dots\tilde T_{s_r}1_\lambda=\tilde T_{ws_1s_2\dots s_r}1_\lambda$ and (b) follows.

We show that ϑ^λ is an algebra homomorphism. We must check that $(\tilde T_\sigma 1_\lambda)^2=1_\lambda+(v-v^{-1})\tilde T_\sigma 1_\lambda$ for $s\in\mathbf{I}_\lambda$. This is a special case of (a) (take $w=\sigma$). We must also check that $(\tilde T_w1_\lambda)(\tilde T_{w'}1_\lambda)=\tilde T_{ww'}1_\lambda$ if $w,w'\in\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$, $l_\lambda(ww')=l_\lambda(w)+l_\lambda(w')$. If $w,w'\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$, this is proved by induction on $l_\lambda(w')$, the induction step being provided by (a). The general case can be reduced to this special case using (b). We see that ϑ_λ is an $\mathcal{A}$-algebra isomorphism. We show that

$\overline{\vartheta_\lambda(h)}=\vartheta_\lambda(\overline{h})$ for $h\in H^D_\lambda$.

Assume first that $h=\tilde T^\lambda_w$ where $w\in\Omega^D_\lambda$. Then $\overline{h}=(\tilde T^\lambda_{w^{-1}})^{-1}=\tilde T^\lambda_w$. Hence

$$\overline{\vartheta_\lambda(h)}=\overline{\tilde T_w1_\lambda}=\tilde T^{-1}_{w^{-1}}1_\lambda=\tilde T^{-1}_w1_\lambda=\vartheta_\lambda(\tilde T^l_w)=\vartheta_\lambda(\overline{h}),$$

as required. Assume next that $h=\tilde T^\lambda_\sigma$ where $\sigma\in\mathbf{I}_\lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\vartheta_\lambda(\overline{h})=\vartheta_\lambda((\tilde T^\lambda_\sigma)^{-1})=\vartheta_\lambda(\tilde T^\lambda_\sigma+(v^{-1}-v)\tilde T^\lambda_1)=\tilde T_\sigma1_\lambda+(v^{-1}-v)\tilde T_11_\lambda=\tilde T^{-1}_\sigma1_\lambda\\&=\overline{\tilde T_\sigma1_\lambda}=\overline{\vartheta_\lambda(h)},\end{aligned}$$

as required.

We see that for $w\in\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda$ we have $\overline{\vartheta_\lambda(c^\lambda_w)}=\vartheta_\lambda(c^\lambda_w)$. Hence $\vartheta_\lambda(c^\lambda_w)$ satisfies the defining properties of $c_{w,\lambda}$ so that $\vartheta_\lambda(c^\lambda_w)=c_{w,\lambda}$. The lemma is proved.

Using now 34.3(c) we see that

(c) *If* $c_{w,\lambda}(w\in\mathbf{W}^D_\lambda)$ *is a distinguished basis element of* $1_\lambda H^D_n1_\lambda$ *(see 34.1) then* $w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ *and* $w^2=1$.

34.8. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}'_n$ be a set of representatives for the $\mathbf{W}^D$-orbits in $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. For $\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ define $\lambda^0\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}'_n$ by $\lambda^0\in\mathbf{W}^D\lambda$ (the $\mathbf{W}^D$-orbit of λ). Let

$\Gamma=\{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n;\mathbf{W}^D\lambda_1=\mathbf{W}^D\lambda_2\}$.

Let E^D_n be the set of all formal sums $x=\sum_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\in\Gamma}x_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}$ where $x_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}\in 1_{\lambda_1^0}H^D_n1_{\lambda_2^0}$. Then E^D_n is naturally an $\mathcal{A}$-module and an associative $\mathcal{A}$-algebra where the product xy of $x,y\in E^D_n$ is given by $(xy)_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}=\sum_{\tilde\lambda\in\mathbf{W}^D\lambda_1}x_{\lambda_1,\tilde\lambda}y_{\tilde\lambda,\lambda_2}$. This algebra has a unit element, namely the element 1 such that $1_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}=\delta_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}1_{\lambda_1}$

for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Gamma$. Define a ring involution $^- : E_n^D \longrightarrow E_n^D$ by $x \mapsto \overline{x}$ where $\overline{x}_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = \overline{x_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}}$. (Note that $^-$: $H_n^D \longrightarrow H_n^D$ maps $1_{\lambda_1^0} H_n^D 1_{\lambda_2^0}$ onto itself.)

Let $C = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n \times \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n \times \mathbf{W}^D; w\lambda_1^0 = \lambda_1^0 = \lambda_2^0\}$. For $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C$ define $x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w} \in E_n^D$ by

$x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}_{\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2} = \delta_{(\lambda'_1,\lambda'_2),(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} \tilde{T}_w 1_{\lambda_1^0}$.

Then $\{x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}; (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of H''_n. From the definitions, for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C$ we have

$\overline{x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}} - x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w} \in \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}^D; y \le w, y \ne w, y\lambda_1^0 = \lambda_1^0} \mathcal{A} x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,y}$

By an argument similar to one in [L12, 5.2] we see that for any $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C$ there is a unique element $c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w} \in E_n^D$ such that $\overline{c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}} = c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}$ and

$$c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w} - x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w} \in \sum_{y \in \mathbf{W}^D, y\lambda_1^0 = \lambda_1^0, y \ne w} v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}] x^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,y}.$$

Also, $\{c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}; (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C\}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of E_n^D.

Lemma 34.9. *The $\mathcal{A}$-algebra E_n^D with its basis $\{c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}; (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C\}$ satisfies P_1, P_2, P_3 in 34.1.*

For $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}'_n$ let $N_\lambda = |\mathbf{W}^D \lambda|$ and let $M_{N_\lambda}(1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda)$ be the algebra of $N_\lambda \times N_\lambda$ matrices with entries in $1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda$. From the definitions we have a decomposition

$E_n^D = \oplus_{\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}'_n} M_{N_\lambda}(1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda)$

compatible with the algebra structures and with the natural bases. Using this, the lemma is reduced to the similar statement for $1_\lambda H_n^D 1_\lambda$ where it is known by 34.7.

The function $a : \{c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}; (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C\}$ (see 34.1) is given by $a(c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}) = a(c_{w,\lambda_1^0})$ where $a(c_{w,\lambda_1^0})$ is defined as in 34.1 in terms of $1_{\lambda_1^0} H_n^D 1_{\lambda_1^0}$. The two-sided cells of $\{c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}; (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C\}$ are the sets of the form $\{c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}\}$ where λ_1, λ_2 run through $\mathbf{W}^D \lambda$ (with $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}'_n$ fixed) and w running through a subset X of $\mathbf{W}_\lambda^D$ such that $\{c_{w,\lambda}; w \in X\}$ is a two-sided cell of $\{c_{w,\lambda}; w \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda^D\}$ (see 34.7).

Using 34.7(c) we obtain:

(a) *If $c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w}, (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, w) \in C)$ is a distinguished basis element of E_n^D then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2, w \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda_1^0}, w^2 = 1$.*

34.10. We prove Proposition 34.5. It is enough to construct an algebra isomorphism $H_n^D \xrightarrow{\sim} E_n^D$ which carries the basis $(c_{w,\lambda})$ onto the basis $(c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w})$.

For each $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ we choose a sequence $\mathbf{s}_\lambda = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ where, for $i \in [1, r]$, s_i is either in $\mathbf{I}$ or is a power of $\underline{D}$ and $\lambda^0 = s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \lambda \ne s_2 \dots s_r \lambda \ne \dots \ne s_r \lambda \ne \lambda$ or, equivalently, $\lambda = s_r^{-1} \dots s_2^{-1} s_1^{-1} \lambda^0 \ne s_{r-1}^{-1} \dots s_1^{-1} \lambda^0 \ne \dots \ne s_1^{-1} \lambda^0 \ne \lambda^0$. Let $[\mathbf{s}_\lambda] = s_1 s_2 \dots s_r$. We set

$\tau_\lambda = \tilde{T}_{s_1} \tilde{T}_{s_2} \dots \tilde{T}_{s_r} \in H_n^D$, $\tau'_\lambda = \tilde{T}_{s_r^{-1}} \dots \tilde{T}_{s_2^{-1}} \tilde{T}_{s_1^{-1}} \in H_n^D$.

We show:

(a) $1_{\lambda^0}\tau_\lambda\tau'_\lambda = 1_{\lambda^0}, 1_\lambda\tau'_\lambda\tau_\lambda = 1_\lambda$.
We have

$$1_{\lambda^0}\tau_\lambda\tau'_\lambda = 1_{\lambda^0}\tilde{T}_{s_1}\tilde{T}_{s_2}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_r}\tilde{T}_{s_r^{-1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_2^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_1^{-1}} = \tilde{T}_{s_1}\tilde{T}_{s_2}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_r}1_\lambda\tilde{T}_{s_r^{-1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_2^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_1^{-1}}.$$

Since $s_r\lambda \ne \lambda$, we can replace $\tilde{T}_{s_r}1_\lambda\tilde{T}_{s_r^{-1}}$ by $1_{s_r\lambda}$ and we obtain
$\tilde{T}_{s_1}\tilde{T}_{s_2}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_{r-1}}1_{s_r\lambda}\tilde{T}_{s_{r-1}^{-1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_2^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_1^{-1}}$.
Since $s_{r-1}s_r\lambda \ne s_r\lambda$, we can replace $\tilde{T}_{s_{r-1}}1_{s_r\lambda}\tilde{T}_{s_{r-1}^{-1}}$ by $1_{s_{r-1}s_r\lambda}$ and we obtain
$\tilde{T}_{s_1}\tilde{T}_{s_2}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_{r-2}}1_{s_{r-1}s_r\lambda}\tilde{T}_{s_{r-2}^{-1}}\dots\tilde{T}_{s_2^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{s_1^{-1}}$.
Continuing in this way we find $1_{s_1\dots s_{r-1}s_r\lambda} = 1_{\lambda^0}$. This proves the first identity in (a). The second identity is proved in a similar way.

We have
(b) $\overline{\tau_\lambda 1_\lambda} = \tau_\lambda 1_\lambda, \overline{1_\lambda\tau'_\lambda} = 1_\lambda\tau'_\lambda$.
The first identity in (b) is equivalent to $\tilde{T}^{-1}_{s_1^{-1}}\tilde{T}^{-1}_{s_2^{-1}}\dots\tilde{T}^{-1}_{s_r^{-1}}1_\lambda = \tau_\lambda 1_\lambda$ or to $\tau'_\lambda{}^{-1}1_\lambda = \tau_\lambda 1_\lambda$, which follows from (a). Similarly, the second identity in (b) follows from (a).

We define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\Psi : H_n^D \to E_n^D$ by
$\Psi(h)_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = \tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}h1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2} \in 1_{\lambda_1^0}H_n^D1_{\lambda_2^0}$.
We show that Ψ is a ring homomorphism. Let $h, h' \in H_n^D$, $x = \Psi(h), y = \Psi(h')$, $z = \Psi(hh')$, $z' = \Psi(h)\Psi(h')$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\Psi(h)\Psi(h'))_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} &= \sum_{\tilde\lambda\in\mathbf{W}^D\lambda_1}\Psi(h)_{\lambda_1,\tilde\lambda}\Psi(h')_{\tilde\lambda,\lambda_2}\\ &= \sum_{\tilde\lambda\in\mathbf{W}^D\lambda_1}\tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}h1_{\tilde\lambda}\tau'_{\tilde\lambda}\tau_{\tilde\lambda}1_{\tilde\lambda}h'1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2} = \sum_{\tilde\lambda\in\mathbf{W}^D\lambda_1}\tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}h1_{\tilde\lambda}h'1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from (a). Since $1_{\lambda_1}h1_{\tilde\lambda} = 0$ if $\tilde\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n - \mathbf{W}^D\lambda_1$, we see that

$$(\Psi(h)\Psi(h'))_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = \tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}h\sum_{\tilde\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}1_{\tilde\lambda}h'1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2} = \tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}hh'1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2} = \Psi(hh')_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2}.$$

Thus $\Psi(h)\Psi(h') = \Psi(hh')$, as required.

We show that
(c) $\overline{\Psi(h)} = \Psi(\bar{h})$ for $h \in H_n^D$.
We have
$(\overline{\Psi(h)})_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = \overline{\tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}h1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}}$, $(\Psi(\bar{h}))_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = \tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}\bar{h}1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}$.
It suffices to show that $\overline{\tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}} = \tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}$, $\overline{1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}} = 1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}$. This follows from (b).

We show that
(d) $\Psi(\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda) = x^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}}$ for $w \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$.
Indeed, $(\Psi(\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda))_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} = \tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}$. This is 0 unless $\lambda_2 = \lambda, \lambda_1 = w\lambda$. If $\lambda_2 = \lambda, \lambda_1 = w\lambda$ we see as in the proof of (a) that

$\tau_{\lambda_1}1_{\lambda_1}\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda 1_{\lambda_2}\tau'_{\lambda_2}=\tau_{w\lambda}\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda\tau'_\lambda=\tilde{T}_{[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}}1_{\lambda^0}$.
This proves (d).

(d) shows that Ψ is induced by a map

$\Psi_0:\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\to C$, $(w,\lambda)\mapsto(w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1})$.
This is a bijection with inverse $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w)\mapsto([\mathbf{s}_{\lambda_1}]^{-1}w[\mathbf{s}_{\lambda_2}],\lambda_2))$. It follows that Ψ is an isomorphism.

Let $w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. Since Ψ^{-1} is compatible with $\bar{}$, we have

$\overline{\Psi^{-1}(c^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}})}=\Psi^{-1}(c^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}})$.
From (d) we see that

$\Psi^{-1}(c^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}})-\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda\in\sum_{y\in\mathbf{W}^D,y\lambda=w\lambda;y\ne w}v^{-1}\mathbf{Z}[v^{-1}]\tilde{T}_y1_\lambda$.
Since these properties characterize $c_{w,\lambda}$, we see that $\Psi^{-1}(c^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}})=c_{w,\lambda}$ that is, $\Psi(c_{w,\lambda})=c^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}}$. Thus, Ψ restricts to a bijection between the basis $(c_{w,\lambda})$ of H_n^D and the basis $(c^{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,w})$ of E_n^D, induced by the bijection $\Psi_0:\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\xrightarrow{\sim}C$. Proposition 34.5 is proved.

We show:

(e) *If* $c_{w,\lambda}(w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n)$ *is a distinguished basis element of* H_n^D *then* $w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda,w^2=1$.
Note that, with the notation above, $c^{w\lambda,\lambda,[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}}$ is a distinguished basis element of E_n^D hence, by 34.9(a), we have $w\lambda=\lambda$ and $[\mathbf{s}_{w\lambda}]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}\in\mathbf{W}_{\lambda^0}$ has square 1. Thus, $[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]w[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}\in\mathbf{W}_{\lambda^0}$ has square 1. It follows that $w\in\mathbf{W}_{[\mathbf{s}_\lambda]^{-1}\lambda^0}=\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ has square 1. This proves (e).

34.11. The algebra $H_n^{G^0}$ defined as in 34.4 with D replaced by G^0 is the same as H_n; we identify it in an obvious way with a subalgebra of H_n^D (see 34.4). The $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $H_n=H_n^{G^0}$ analogous to the $\mathcal{A}$-basis $\{c_{w,\lambda};w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\}$ of H_n^D is the subset of the last basis given by $\{c_{w,\lambda};w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\}$. The analogue of Proposition 34.5 holds: the $\mathcal{A}$-algebra H_n with its basis $(c_{w,\lambda})_{(w,\lambda)\in\mathbf{W}\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}$ satisfies P_1,P_2,P_3 in 34.1.

34.12. Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and let $\mathcal{A}\to K$ be a homomorphism of rings with 1 which carries $v\in\mathcal{A}$ to $v_0\in K^*$. We show:

(a) *If* $\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ *and* $\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}v_0^{2l_\lambda(w)}\ne0$, *then the* K*-algebra* $H_{\lambda,K}^D=K\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_\lambda^D$ *is semisimple.*
Let M be an $H_{\lambda,K}^D$-module of finite dimension over K and let M' be an $H_{\lambda,K}^D$-submodule of M. It is enough to show that there exists an $H_{\lambda,K}^D$-submodule of M complementary to M'. It is well known that under our assumption, the K-algebra $H_{\lambda,K}=K\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_\lambda$ is semisimple. Hence there exists an $H_{\lambda,K}$-submodule of M complementary to M' that is, there exists an $H_{\lambda,K}$-linear map $f:M\to M'$ such that $f(x)=x$ for all $x\in M'$. Define $\tilde{f}:M\to M'$ by $\tilde{f}(x)=$

$|\Omega_\lambda^D|^{-1}\sum_{z\in\Omega_\lambda^D}\tilde T_{z^{-1}}f(\tilde T_z x)$. For $w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda, x\in M$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\Omega_\lambda^D|\tilde f(\tilde T_w x)&=\sum_{z\in\Omega_\lambda^D}\tilde T_{z^{-1}}f(\tilde T_z\tilde T_w x)=\sum_{z\in\Omega_\lambda^D}\tilde T_{z^{-1}}f(\tilde T_{zwz^{-1}}\tilde T_z x)\\&=\sum_{z\in\Omega_\lambda^D}\tilde T_{z^{-1}}\tilde T_{zwz^{-1}}f(\tilde T_z x)=\sum_{z\in\Omega_\lambda^D}\tilde T_w\tilde T_{z^{-1}}f(\tilde T_z x)=|\Omega_\lambda^D|\tilde T_w\tilde f(x).\end{aligned}$$

(The third equality holds since f is $H_{\lambda,K}$-linear.) We see that $\tilde f$ is $H_{\lambda,K}$-linear. Since $\tilde f(\tilde T_y x)=\tilde T_y\tilde f(x)$ for $x\in M$, $y\in\Omega_\lambda^D$, we see that $\tilde f$ is $H_{\lambda,K}^D$-linear. If $x\in M'$ we have $\tilde T_z x\in M'$ for $z\in\Omega_\lambda^D$ hence $\tilde f(x)=|\Omega_\lambda^D|^{-1}\sum_{z\in\Omega_\lambda^D}\tilde T_{z^{-1}}\tilde T_z x=x$. It follows that the $\ker(\tilde f)$ is an $H_{\lambda,K}^D$-submodule of M complementary to M'. This proves (a).

Let $\mathfrak{U}$ *be the subfield of* $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ *generated by the roots of* 1.

For any $\kappa\in\mathfrak{U}^*,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, let

$H_n^{D,\kappa}=\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n^D$, $H_n^\kappa=\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n$, ${H_\lambda}^{D,\kappa}=\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_\lambda^D$,

where $\mathfrak{U}$ is regarded as an $\mathcal{A}$-algebra via the ring homomorphism $\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}, v\mapsto\kappa$. Let

$H_n^{D,v}=\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n^D$, $H_n^v=\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n$

where $\mathfrak{U}(v)$ (field of rational functions in v with coefficients in $\mathfrak{U}$) is regarded as an $\mathcal{A}$-algebra via the ring homomorphism $\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}(v), v\mapsto v$. Now $\Phi:H_n^D\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ (where $H_n^{D,\infty}=(H_n^D)^\infty$ is defined as in 34.1 in terms of the basis $(c_{w,\lambda})$ in 34.4) induces algebra homomorphisms $\Phi^\kappa:H_n^{D,\kappa}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ for $\kappa\in\mathfrak{U}^*$ and $\Phi^v:H_n^{D,v}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$.

Similarly, $\Phi:H_n\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^\infty$ (defined as in 34.1 in terms of the basis $(c_{w,\lambda})$ in 34.11) induces algebra homomorphisms $H_n^\kappa\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^\infty$ for $\kappa\in\mathfrak{U}^*$ and $H_n^v\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^\infty$, denoted again by Φ^κ,Φ^v. From the definitions we see that H_n^∞ may be identified with the subgroup of $(H_n^D)^\infty$ spanned by the basis elements of $(H_n^D)^\infty$ indexed by $\{(w,\lambda);w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\}$ and $\Phi:H_n\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^\infty$ becomes the restriction of $\Phi:H_n^D\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$. We show:

(b) *If* $\kappa\in\mathfrak{U}^*,\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W}}\kappa^{2l(w)}\ne0$ *then* $H_n^{D,\kappa}$ *is a semisimple* $\mathfrak{U}$*-algebra and* $\Phi^\kappa:H_n^{D,\kappa}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ *is an algebra isomorphism. Moreover,* H_n^κ *is a semisimple* $\mathfrak{U}$*-algebra and* $\Phi^\kappa:H_n^\kappa\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^\infty$ *is an algebra isomorphism.*

(c) $H_n^{D,v}$ *is a split semisimple* $\mathfrak{U}(v)$*-algebra and* $\Phi^v:H_n^{D,v}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ *is an algebra isomorphism. Moreover,* H_n^v *is a split semisimple* $\mathfrak{U}(v)$*-algebra and* $\Phi^v:H_n^v\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^\infty$ *is an algebra isomorphism.*

We prove (b). The following statement is easily verified:

If $\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ *then* $\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W}}v^{2l(w)}=Q\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}v^{2l_\lambda(w)}$ *for some* $Q\in\mathbf{Z}[v^2]$.

We see that, if κ is as in (b) and $\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ then $\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\kappa^{2l_\lambda(w)}\ne0$; hence, by (a), $H_\lambda^{D,\kappa}$ is a semisimple algebra. By the arguments in 34.7-34.10, $H_n^{D,\kappa}$ is a direct sum of matrix rings over rings of the form $H_\lambda^{D,\kappa}$. Hence $H_n^{D,\kappa}$ is a semisimple algebra. Using 34.1(c) we see that $\Phi^\kappa:H_n^{D,\kappa}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ is an algebra isomorphism.

It remains to show that $H_n^{D,\kappa}$ is split over $\mathfrak{U}$. Since Φ^κ is an isomorphism it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$ is split over $\mathfrak{U}$. Since Φ^1 is an isomorphism it is enough to show that $H_n^{D,1}$ is split over $\mathfrak{U}$. As above, $H_n^{D,1}$ is a direct sum of matrix rings over rings of the form $H_\lambda^{D,1}$. Since $H_\lambda^{D,1}$ is the group algebra of a finite group with coefficients in $\mathfrak{U}$, it is split over $\mathfrak{U}$ by Brauer's theorem. This proves the first sentence in (b). The second sentence in (b) is obtained from the first by replacing D by G^0.

Now the proof of (c) is just like that of (b) except for the splitness assertion. By (b), $\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$ is a split semisimple $\mathfrak{U}$-algebra hence $\mathfrak{U}(v) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$ is a split semisimple $\mathfrak{U}(v)$-algebra. Since Φ^v is an isomorphism, it follows that $H_n^{D,v}$ is split over $\mathfrak{U}(v)$. Similarly, H_n^v is split over $\mathfrak{U}(v)$. This proves (c).

34.13. Define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\tau : H_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $\tau(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda) = \delta_{w,1}$ for all $w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. Define a bilinear form $(,) : H_n \times H_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $(x, x') = \tau(xx')$. We show that

(a) $(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'}) = \delta_{w^{-1},w'} \delta_{\lambda, w'\lambda'}$

for $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. (This shows that $(,)$ is symmetric; indeed, we have $\delta_{w^{-1},w'}\delta_{\lambda,w'\lambda'} = \delta_{w'^{-1},w}\delta_{\lambda',w\lambda}$.) To prove (a) it suffices to show that, for $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, we have $\tau(\tilde{T}_w \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda) = \delta_{ww',1}$. We argue by induction on $l(w)$. If $l(w) = 0$ then $\tilde{T}_w \tilde{T}_{w'} = \tilde{T}_{ww'}$ and the result is clear. Assume now that $l(w) \geq 1$. We can find $s \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $l(w) = l(ws) + 1$. Then $\tau(\tilde{T}_w \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda) = \tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_s \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda)$. If $l(sw') = l(w') + 1$ then, by the induction hypothesis,

$\tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_s \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda) = \tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_{sw'} 1_\lambda) = \delta_{wssw',1} = \delta_{ww',1}$,

as required. Assume now that $l(sw') = l(w') - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_s \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda) = \tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_s \tilde{T}_s \tilde{T}_{sw'} 1_\lambda) \\ &= \tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_{sw'} 1_\lambda) + (v - v^{-1}) \sum_{\lambda'; s \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda'}} \tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_s 1_{\lambda'} \tilde{T}_{sw'} 1_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

If $s \notin \mathbf{W}_{sw'\lambda}$ this equals (by the induction hypothesis) $\delta_{wssw',1} = \delta_{ww',1}$, as required; if $s \in \mathbf{W}_{sw'\lambda}$ this equals (by the induction hypothesis)

$\delta_{wssw',1} + (v - v^{-1})\tau(\tilde{T}_{ws} \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda) = \delta_{ww',1} + (v - v^{-1})\delta_{wsw',1}$.

It remains to note that $wsw' \neq 1$ whenever $l(w) = l(ws) + 1$, $l(sw') = l(w') - 1$. This proves (a).

34.14. Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a finite dimensional semisimple split (associative) algebra with 1 over a field K. Let $\{E_u; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a set or representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple $\mathfrak{C}$-modules. Let $\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be an algebra automorphism. For $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $c : e \mapsto \mathfrak{a}(c)e$ defines a $\mathfrak{C}$-module structure on the K-vector space E_u which is isomorphic to $E_{\bar{u}}$ for a unique $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}$. Then $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \{u \in \mathcal{U}; u = \bar{u}\}$. For $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ we can find a K-linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{a}_u : E_u \longrightarrow E_u$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_u(ce) = \mathfrak{a}(c)\mathfrak{a}_u e$ for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}, e \in E_u$. Note that $\mathfrak{a}_u$ is uniquely determined up to multiplication by an element in K^*. We show:

(a) *If* $c, c' \in \mathfrak{C}$, *then the trace of the* K*-linear map* $\mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, $c_1 \mapsto c\mathfrak{a}(c_1)c'$ *equals* $\sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathrm{tr}(c\mathfrak{a}_u, E_u)\mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{a}_u^{-1}c', E_u)$.

Under the algebra isomorphism

(b) $\mathfrak{C}\xrightarrow{\sim}\oplus_{u\in\mathcal{U}}\mathrm{End}_K(E_u)$, $c\mapsto[e\mapsto ce, e\in E_u]$,
the linear map $\mathfrak{C}\to\mathfrak{C}$ in (a) corresponds to an endomorphism of $\oplus_{u\in\mathcal{U}}\mathrm{End}_K(E_u)$ which permutes the summands according to $u\mapsto\bar u$ and whose restriction to a summand with $u=\bar u$ is $\mathrm{End}_K(E_u)\mapsto\mathrm{End}_K(E_u)$, $f\mapsto c\mathfrak{a}_u f\mathfrak{a}_u^{-1}c'$. From this (a) follows easily. (Compare 20.3(b).)

We show:

(c) *If* $y:\mathfrak{C}\to K$ *is* K*-linear and* $y(cc')=y(c'\mathfrak{a}(c))$ *for all* $c,c'\in\mathfrak{C}$ *then there exist* $b_u\in K(u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}})$ *such that* $y(c)=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b_u\mathrm{tr}(c\mathfrak{a}_u,E_u)$ *for all* $c\in\mathfrak{C}$.
Let $\mathfrak{C}_u$ be the inverse image of the summand $\mathrm{End}_K(E_u)$ under (b). Then $\mathfrak{C}=\oplus_u\mathfrak{C}_u$ and $\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{C}_u)=\mathfrak{C}_{\bar u}$ for $u\in\mathcal{U}$. Let $y_u:\mathfrak{C}_u\to K$ be the restriction of y to $\mathfrak{C}_u$. Let $c\in\mathfrak{C}_u$ where $u\ne\bar u$. Let $c'\in\mathfrak{C}_u$ be the projection of $1\in\mathfrak{C}$ onto $\mathfrak{C}_u$. We have $\mathfrak{a}(c)\in\mathfrak{C}_{\bar u}$ hence $c'\mathfrak{a}(c)=0$. Also, $cc'=c\in\mathfrak{C}_u$. Thus, $y_u(c)=y_u(cc')=y(cc')=y(c'\mathfrak{a}(c))=0$. We see that $y_u=0$. We are reduced to the case where $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ consists of a single element u and $\mathfrak{C}=\mathrm{End}_K(E_u)$. We can find $h\in\mathfrak{C}$, invertible, such that $\mathfrak{a}(c)=hch^{-1}$ for all $c\in\mathfrak{C}$. We can assume that $\mathfrak{a}_ue=he$ for all $e\in E_u$. We have $y(cc')=y(c'hch^{-1})$ for all $c,c'\in\mathfrak{C}$. Define $\tilde y:\mathfrak{C}\to K$ by $\tilde y(c)=y(ch^{-1})$. We have $y(cc'h^{-1})=y(c'h^{-1}hch^{-1})=y(c'ch^{-1})$ hence $\tilde y(cc')=\tilde y(c'c)$ for all $y,y'\in\mathfrak{C}$. Thus there exists $b\in K$ such that $\tilde y(c)=b\mathrm{tr}(c,E_u)$. Then $y(c)=b\mathrm{tr}(ch:E_u\to E_u)=b\mathrm{tr}(c\mathfrak{a}_u:E_u\to E_u)$. This proves (c).

Assume now that we are given a K-linear map $z:\mathfrak{C}\to K$ such that $(c,c')=z(cc')=z(c'c)$ is a nondegenerate (symmetric) K-bilinear form $\mathfrak{C}\times\mathfrak{C}\to K$. Let $(c_i)_{i\in I}$ be a K-basis of $\mathfrak{C}$. Define a K-basis $(c'_i)_{i\in I}$ of $\mathfrak{C}$ by $(c_i,c'_j)=\delta_{ij}$.

For $u\in\mathcal{U}$ and $c\in\mathfrak{C}$ invertible, $\sum_{i\in I}\mathrm{tr}(c_ic,E_u)c^{-1}c'_i$ is in the centre of $\mathfrak{C}$; if $u'\in\mathcal{U},u'\ne u$, this sum acts on $E_{u'}$ as 0 and on E_u as f_u times the identity, where $f_u\in K^*$ is independent of $(c_i),(c'_i),c$. (We apply [L12, 19.2] to the dual bases $(c_ic),(c^{-1}c'_i)$: we have $(c_ic,c^{-1}c'_j)=(c_i,cc^{-1}c'_j)=(c_i,c'_j)=\delta_{ij}$.) We see that for $u,u'\in\mathcal{U}$ we have

$$\text{(d)}\qquad \sum_{i\in I}\mathrm{tr}(c_ic,E_u)\mathrm{tr}(c^{-1}c'_i,E_{u'})=\delta_{u,u'}f_u\dim E_u.$$

Now assume that $u,u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$. We can pick $c\in\mathfrak{C}$ invertible such that c acts on E_u as $\mathfrak{a}_u$ and on $E_{u'}$ as $\mathfrak{a}_{u'}$. From (d) we deduce

$$\text{(e)}\qquad \sum_{i\in I}\mathrm{tr}(c_i\mathfrak{a}_u,E_u)\mathrm{tr}(\mathfrak{a}_{u'}^{-1}c'_i,E_{u'})=\delta_{u,u'}f_u\dim E_u.$$

34.15. We write $\mathfrak{a}:H_n\to H_n$ instead of $\mathfrak{a}_D:H_n\to H_n$ (see 31.4); this is the algebra automorphism given by $h\mapsto\tilde T_{\underline{D}}h\tilde T_{\underline{D}^{-1}}$ (product in H_n^D) for $h\in H_n$. The same formula defines an algebra automorphism of H_n^κ or H_n^v denoted again by $\mathfrak{a}$. From the definitions we see that $\mathfrak{a}:H_n\to H_n$ takes $c_{w,\lambda}$ to $c_{\epsilon_D(w),\underline{D}\lambda}$ for $w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. Hence it induces a ring automorphism $H_n^\infty\to H_n^\infty$ denoted again by $\mathfrak{a}$. It also induces algebra automorphisms $H_n^\kappa\to H_n^\kappa,\kappa\in\mathfrak{U}^*$ and $H_n^v\to H_n^v$ denoted again by $\mathfrak{a}$. Now $\Phi^\kappa : H_n^\kappa \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$ for $\kappa \in \mathfrak{U}^*$ and $\Phi^v : H_n^v \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}(v) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$ (see 34.11) are compatible with $\mathfrak{a}$.

Let $\{E_u; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple modules for H_n^1 (a split semisimple $\mathfrak{U}$-algebra, by 31.12(b).) Define $\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}, u \mapsto \bar{u}$ as in 34.14, replacing $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{a})$ by $(H_n^1, \mathfrak{a})$. Let $\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}} = \{u \in \mathcal{U}; u = \bar{u}\}$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Clearly, if E_u extends to an $H_n^{D,1}$-module then $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$. Conversely, we show that, if $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$, then E_u extends to an $H_n^{D,1}$-module. Since $H_n^1, H_n^{D,1}$ are split over $\mathfrak{U}$ it is enough to prove the analogous statement in which $E_u, H_n^1, H_n^{D,1}$ are replaced by $\mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u, \mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} H_n^1, \mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} H_n^{D,1}$ and $\mathfrak{U}'$ is an algebraic closure of $\mathfrak{U}$. Since $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ we can find a $\mathfrak{U}'$-linear isomorphism $X : \mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u$ such that $X(ce) = \mathfrak{a}(c)X(e)$ for all $c \in \mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} H_n^1, e \in \mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u$. Let k be the order of $\underline{D} : \mathbf{T} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}$. By Schur's lemma, X^k is a scalar times identity. Now $\mathfrak{U}'$ contains a k-th root of this scalar. Dividing X by this root we see that we may assume that $X^k = 1$. We can now define a $\mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} H_n^{D,1}$-module structure on the vector space $\mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u$ which extends the $\mathfrak{U}' \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} H_n^1$-module structure and in which $\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$ acts as X.

For any $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ we choose an $H_n^{D,1}$-module structure on E_u extending the H_n^1-module structure.

Let $u \in \mathcal{U}$. We regard E_u as a (simple) $\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$-module E_u^∞ via $\Phi^1 : H_n^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$. (If $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ we also regard E_u as a $\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$-module E_u^∞ via $\Phi^1 : H_n^{D,1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$. This extends the $\mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$-module structure.)

Now $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u^\infty$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$-module and also an H_n-module via the homomorphism $H_n \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty \subset \mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$. This H_n-module is denoted by $E_u(v)$. (If $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ then $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u^\infty$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$-module and also an H_n^D-module via the homomorphism $H_n^D \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty} \subset \mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$. This extends the H_n-module structure on $E_u(v)$.)

Let $E_u^v = \mathfrak{U}(v) \otimes_{\mathfrak{U}} E_u(v)$. From 34.12(c) we see that $\{E_u^v; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple H_n^v-modules. (If $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ then the $H_n^{D,v}$-module structure on E_u^v coming from the H_n^D-module structure on $E_u(v)$ extends the H_n^v-module structure.)

For κ as in 34.12(b) let E_u^κ be the vector space E_u^∞ regarded as an H_u^κ-module via $\Phi^\kappa : H_n^\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^\infty$. From 34.12(b) we see that $\{E_u^\kappa; u \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple H_n^κ-modules. Now E_u^κ can also be obtained from the H_n-module $E_u(v)$ under the specialization $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}, v \mapsto \kappa$. Moreover, we have $E_u^1 = E_u$ as H_n^1-modules. (If $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ we also regard E_u^∞ as an $H_u^{D,\kappa}$-module via $\Phi^\kappa : H_n^{D,\kappa} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{U} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} H_n^{D,\infty}$. This extends the H_u^κ-module structure. This can be also obtained from the H_n^D-module $E_u(v)$ under the specialization $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}, v \mapsto \kappa$. Moreover, $E_u^1 = E_u$ as $H_u^{D,1}$-modules.)

From the definitions we see that the map $\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}, u \mapsto \bar{u}$ defined as in 34.14, replacing $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{a})$ by $(H_n^\kappa, \mathfrak{a})$ (κ as in 34.12(b)) or by $(H_n^v, \mathfrak{a})$ is the same as the map $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ defined in terms of $(H_n^1, \mathfrak{a})$. We show:

(a) *Let* $w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n, u \in \mathcal{U}$. *Then* $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda, E_u^v) \in \mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}]$ *and, for* κ *as in 34.12(b),* $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda, E_u^\kappa) \in \mathfrak{U}$ *is obtained from this element of* $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}]$ *by the specialization* $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}, v \mapsto \kappa$. *If, in addition,* $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ *and* $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ *then* $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^j, E_u^v) \in \mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}]$ *and, for* κ *as in 34.12(b),* $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^j, E_u^\kappa) \in \mathfrak{U}$ *is obtained from this element of* $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}]$ *by the specialization* $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}, v \mapsto \kappa$.
This follows immediately from the fact that $\Phi(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda)$ (resp. $\Phi(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^j)$) is an $\mathcal{A}$-linear combination of the standard basis elements of H_n^∞ (resp.$H_n^{D,\infty}$.)

Combining 34.13(a), 34.14(d) we see that, for $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}$ and for κ as in 34.12(b) we have

$$\sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda, E_u^v) \mathrm{tr}(1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{w^{-1}}, E_{u'}^v) = \delta_{u,u'} f_u^v \dim E_u,$$

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda, E_u^\kappa) \mathrm{tr}(1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{w^{-1}}, E_{u'}^\kappa) = \delta_{u,u'} f_u^\kappa \dim E_u,$$

where $f_u^v \in \mathfrak{U}(v) - \{0\}, f_u^\kappa \in \mathfrak{U} - \{0\}$. Using (a) we see that $f_u^v \in \mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}]$ and f_u^κ is obtained from f_u^v by the specialization $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}, v \mapsto \kappa$. Combining 34.13(a), 34.14(e) we see that, for $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$, we have

$$\text{(c)} \qquad \sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E_u^v) \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1} 1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{w^{-1}}, E_{u'}^v) = \delta_{u,u'} f_u^v \dim E_u.$$

34.16. Let $x \mapsto x^{\spadesuit}$ be the automorphism of the field $\mathfrak{U}$ which sends any root of 1 to its inverse. We extend this to an automorphism of the field $\mathfrak{U}(v)$ (denoted by $\xi \mapsto \xi^{\spadesuit}$) which carries v to itself. For $x' \in \mathfrak{U}$ we say that $x' > 0$ if the image of x' under any imbedding of $\mathfrak{U}$ into the complex numbers is a real number > 0. For example, for $x \in \mathfrak{U} - \{0\}$ we have $x x^{\spadesuit} > 0$. For $\xi' \in \mathfrak{U}(v)$ we say that $\xi' > 0$ if ξ' can be expanded in a power series $\xi' = a_0 v^n + a_1 v^{n+1} + \dots$ where $a_0, a_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $a_0 > 0$.

Lemma 34.17. *Let* $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}, w \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. *We have*

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \mathrm{tr}(1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{w^{-1}}, E_u^v) = \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda, E_u^v)^{\spadesuit}.$$

The antiautomorphism $h \longrightarrow h^\flat$ of H_n (see 32.19) extends to an antiautomorphism $h \longrightarrow h^\flat$ of H_n^D given by $\tilde{T}_{w'} \mapsto \tilde{T}_{w'^{-1}}$ for $w' \in \mathbf{W}^D$, $1_{\lambda'} \mapsto 1_{\lambda'}$ for $\lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. Define a ring involution $h \mapsto h^\diamond$ of $H_n^{D,v}$ by $\sum_{w,\lambda} a_{w,\lambda} \tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \mapsto \sum_{w,\lambda} a_{w,\lambda}^{\spadesuit} (\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda)^\flat$ where $a_{w,\lambda} \in \mathfrak{U}(v)$. Assume that there exists a pairing $\langle , \rangle : E_u^v \times E_u^v \longrightarrow \mathfrak{U}(v)$ such that $\langle , \rangle$ is linear in the second variable, semi-linear (with respect to $\xi \mapsto \xi^{\spadesuit}$) in the first variable, is non-degenerate, satisfies $\langle e, e' \rangle = \langle e', e \rangle^{\spadesuit}$ for $e, e' \in E_u^v$ and

$$\text{(b)} \qquad \langle he, e' \rangle = \langle e, h^\diamond e' \rangle \text{ for } e, e' \in E_u^v, h \in H_n^{D,v}.$$

If $(e_j), (e'_j)$ are bases of E^v_u such that $\langle e_i, e'_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$ then
$\mathrm{tr}(h, E^v_u) = \sum_j \langle e_j, he'_j\rangle = \sum_j \langle h^\diamond e_j, e'_j\rangle = \mathrm{tr}(h^\diamond, E^v_u)^\spadesuit$.
Taking here $h = 1_\lambda \tilde{T}_{w^{-1}}$ we see that (a) would follow. It remains to prove the existence of $\langle,\rangle$ as above.

We can find a pairing $\langle,\rangle' : E^v_u \times E^v_u \to \mathfrak{U}(v)$ which is linear in the second variable, semi-linear (with respect to $\xi \mapsto \xi^\spadesuit$) in the first variable, satisfies $\langle e, e'\rangle' = \langle e', e\rangle'^\spadesuit$ for $e, e' \in E^v_u$ and $\langle e, e\rangle > 0$ for $e \in E^v_u - \{0\}$. (For example, we choose a basis (e_j) of E^v_u and we set $\langle \sum_j a_j e_j, \sum_j a'_j e_j\rangle' = \sum_j a^\spadesuit_j a'_j$ where $a_j, a'_j \in \mathfrak{U}(v)$.) We define a new pairing $\langle,\rangle : E^v_u \times E^v_u \to \mathfrak{U}(v)$ by

$$\langle e, e'\rangle = \sum_{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle'.$$

Note that $\langle,\rangle'$ is linear in the second variable, semi-linear (with respect to $\xi \mapsto \xi^\spadesuit$) in the first variable, satisfies $\langle e, e'\rangle = \langle e', e\rangle'^\spadesuit$ for $e, e' \in E^v_u$ and $\langle e, e\rangle > 0$ for $e \in E^v_u - \{0\}$. In particular, $\langle,\rangle$ is non-degenerate. We show that (b) holds. It is enough to show this when h runs through a set of generators of the algebra $H^{D,v}_n$ that is, for $h = 1_\lambda$ or $h = \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$ or $h = \tilde{T}_s (s \in \mathbf{I})$. Assume first that $h = 1_\lambda, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We must show that
$\sum_{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} 1_\lambda e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle' = \sum_{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} 1_\lambda e'\rangle'$.
Both sides are equal to $\sum_{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_\lambda e'\rangle'$. Assume next that $h = \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$. We must show that

$$\sum_{\substack{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D \\ \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle' = \sum_{\substack{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D \\ \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}^{-1}} e'\rangle'$$

that is, $\sum_{\substack{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D \\ \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'\underline{D}} 1_{\underline{D}^{-1}\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle' = \sum_{\substack{y \in \mathbf{W}^D \\ \lambda'' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}} \langle \tilde{T}_y 1_{\lambda''} e, \tilde{T}_{y\underline{D}^{-1}} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda''} e'\rangle'$,
which is clear. Finally, assume that $h = \tilde{T}_s, s \in \mathbf{I}$. We must show that
$\sum_{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} \tilde{T}_s e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle' = \sum_{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} \tilde{T}_s e'\rangle'$.
Both sides are equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D \\ \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}} \langle \tilde{T}_{w's} 1_{s\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle' + (v - v^{-1}) \sum_{\substack{w' \in \mathbf{W}^D \\ l(w's) = l(w') - 1 \\ \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n, s \in \mathbf{W}_{\lambda'}}} \langle \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e, \tilde{T}_{w'} 1_{\lambda'} e'\rangle'.$$

This proves (b). The lemma is proved.

34.18. Using 34.17(a) we can rewrite 34.15(c) for $u, u' \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ as follows:

(a) $$\sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E^v_u) \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E^v_{u'})^\spadesuit = \delta_{u,u'} f^v_u \dim E_u.$$

Specializing this under $\mathfrak{U}[v, v^{-1}] \to \mathfrak{U}, v \mapsto \kappa$, we obtain

(b) $$\sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E^\kappa_u) \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E^{\kappa^\spadesuit}_{u'})^\spadesuit = \delta_{u,u'} f^\kappa_u \dim E_u.$$

34.19. Let A be a character sheaf on D. Define an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map $\hat{\zeta}:H_n\rightarrow\mathcal{A}$ by $h\mapsto\zeta^A(h[D])$ where $\zeta^A:H_n[D]\rightarrow\mathcal{A}$ is as in 31.7. From 31.8 we see that $\hat{\zeta}(hh')=\hat{\zeta}(h'\mathfrak{a}(h))$ for $h,h'\in H_n$. Applying 34.14(c) to the linear map $\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n\rightarrow\mathfrak{U}(v)$ obtained from $\hat{\zeta}$ by extension of scalars, we see that there exist elements $b^v_{A,u}\in\mathfrak{U}(v)(u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}})$ such that

$$\text{(a)}\qquad \zeta^A(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])=\sum_{u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{A,u'}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'}),$$

for $w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We multiply both sides of (a) by $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}$ (with $u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$) and sum over all w,λ. Using 34.18(a), we obtain

$$\text{(b)}\qquad b^v_{A,u}=\frac{1}{f^v_u\dim E_u}\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}\zeta^A(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}.$$

Using 28.17(a),(b) and the notation there, we see that $\mathfrak{D}({}^pH^j(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D))={}^pH^j(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\check{\mathcal{L}}}_D)$ hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{\mathfrak{D}(A),u}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)=\zeta^{\mathfrak{D}(A)}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])\\&=\sum_j(-v)^jv^{-\dim G}(\mathfrak{D}(A):{}^pH^j(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D))=\sum_j(-v)^jv^{-\dim G}(A:\mathfrak{D}({}^pH^j(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_D))\\&=\sum_j(-v)^jv^{-\dim G}(A:{}^pH^j(\bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\check{\mathcal{L}}}_D))=\zeta^A(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda^{-1}}[D])\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{A,u}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u).$$

Lemma 34.20. *Let* $u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$. *Assume that* E_u *is quasi-rational in the following sense: there exists a function* $\eta:\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n\rightarrow\{\textit{roots of } 1 \textit{ in } \mathfrak{U}\},(w,\lambda)\mapsto\eta_{w,\lambda}$ *such that* η *is constant on any equivalence class for* $\asymp$ *in* $\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ *(see 32.26) and* $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda,E_u)\in\eta_{w,\lambda}\mathbf{Z}$ *for all* $(w,\lambda)\in\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. *Then* $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda,E^v_u)\in\eta_{w,\lambda}\mathcal{A}$ *for all* $(w,\lambda)\in\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$.

From the definitions and 34.7-34.10 we see that, for $w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, the basis elements $c_{w,\lambda}$ of H^D_n (see 34.5) satisfy

(a) $c_{w,\lambda}\in\tilde{T}_{wy}1_\lambda+\sum_{y\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda;wy<w}\mathcal{A}\tilde{T}_{wy}1_\lambda$.

For $w\in\mathbf{W}^D,\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n,x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ we have $\tilde{T}_w1_\lambda\tilde{T}_x\in\sum_{x'\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathcal{A}\tilde{T}_{wx'}1_\lambda$ (in H^D_n). This follows by writing x as product $x=\sigma_1\sigma_2\dots\sigma_m,\sigma_m\in\mathbf{I}_\lambda,m=l_\lambda(x)$ and using repeatedly 34.7(a). Using this and (a) we see that

(b) $c_{w,\lambda}\tilde{T}_x\in\sum_{x'\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathcal{A}\tilde{T}_{wx'}1_\lambda$.

Now let $c_{w',\lambda}$ be a distinguished basis element of H^D_n (see 34.1). By 34.10(e) we have $w'\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ hence $c_{w',\lambda}\in\sum_{x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}1_\lambda\tilde{T}_x$. Hence from (b) we deduce

(c) $c_{w,\lambda}c_{w',\lambda}\in\sum_{x'\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathcal{A}\tilde T_{wx'}1_\lambda$.
From (a) we deduce by inversion:
(d) $\tilde T_w 1_\lambda\in\sum_{y\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda;wy\le w}\mathcal{A}c_{wy,\lambda}$.
Using this for wx' instead of x and using also (c) we deduce
$c_{w,\lambda}c_{w',\lambda}\in\sum_{x''\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathcal{A}c_{wx'',\lambda}$.
Hence, if $\Phi:H_n^D\rightarrow\mathcal{A}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ is as in 34.12, then
(e) $\Phi(c_{w,\lambda})\in\sum_{x''\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathcal{A}t_{wx'',\lambda}$
where $t_{y,\lambda}$ be the basis element of $H_n^{D,\infty}$ corresponing to $c_{y,\lambda}\in H_n^D$ (see 34.1).

Let $c_{w,\lambda;1}$ be the image of $c_{w,\lambda}$ in $H_n^{D,1}$. Let $\Phi':\mathbf{Q}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n^D\rightarrow\mathbf{Q}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ be the homomorphism obtained from Φ under the specialization $\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\mathbf{Q},v\mapsto 1$. This is an isomorphism of algebras since Φ^1 (see 34.12) is an isomorphism. From (e) we see that for any $(y,\lambda)\in\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$, Φ' restricts to a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear map
(f) $\sum_{x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathbf{Q}c_{yx,\lambda;1}\rightarrow\sum_{x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathbf{Q}t_{yx,\lambda}$.
The vector spaces in (f) form direct sum decompositions of $\mathbf{Q}\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}H_n^D,\mathbf{Q}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ hence (f) must be an isomorphism. We deduce that Φ'^{-1} carries $\sum_{x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathbf{Q}t_{yx,\lambda}$ onto $\sum_{x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathbf{Q}c_{yx,\lambda;1}$. We see that $t_{y,\lambda}$ acts on the $\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$-module E_u^∞ as a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear combination of the operators $c_{yx,\lambda;1}:E_u\rightarrow E_u$ where $x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$ hence also as a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear combination of the operators $\tilde T_{yx}1_\lambda:E_u\rightarrow E_u$. (From (a) specialized for $v=1$ we see that $c_{y,\lambda}\in\sum_{x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda}\mathbf{Z}\tilde T_{yx}1_\lambda$.) It follows that $\text{tr}(t_{y,\lambda},E_u^\infty)\in\eta_{y,\lambda}\mathbf{Q}$. Using (e) we see that $c_{y,\lambda}$ acts on E_u^v as an $\mathcal{A}$-linear combination of the operators $1\otimes t_{yx,\lambda}$ on $\mathfrak{U}(v)\otimes_{\mathfrak{U}}E_u^\infty$ where $x\in\mathbf{W}_\lambda$. The same holds for $\tilde T_{y,\lambda}$ (instead of $c_{y,\lambda}$), by (d). It follows that $\text{tr}(\tilde T_y 1_\lambda,E_u^v)\in\eta_{y,\lambda}\mathbf{Q}[v,v^{-1}]$.

Since the algebra $\mathfrak{U}\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}H_n^{D,\infty}$ is of finite dimension (say m), with 1, and its structure constants with respect to the basis $(t_{y,\lambda})$ are integers, we see that any basis element $t_{y,\lambda}$ satisfies an equation of the form $t_{y,\lambda}^m+c_1t_{y,\lambda}^{m-1}+\dots+c_m=0$ where $c_1,c_2,\dots,c_m$ are integers. It follows that $\text{tr}(t_{y,\lambda},E_u^\infty)$ is an algebraic integer (necessarily in $\mathfrak{U}$). Since the definition of Φ involves only coefficients in $\mathcal{A}$ it follows that the coefficients of $\text{tr}(c_{y,w},E_u^v)\in\mathfrak{U}[v,v^{-1}]$ are algebraic integers in $\mathfrak{U}$. The same holds then for the coefficients of $\text{tr}(\tilde T_y 1_\lambda,E_u^v)$. An element of $\eta_{y,\lambda}\mathbf{Q}[v,v^{-1}]$ whose coefficients are algebraic integers in $\mathfrak{U}$ is necessarily in $\eta_{y,\lambda}\mathcal{A}$. We see that $\text{tr}(\tilde T_y 1_\lambda,E_u^v)\in\eta_{y,\lambda}\mathcal{A}$, as required.

Lemma 34.21. *Let u,E_u,η be as in 34.20. Let A be a character sheaf on D. Let $\mathfrak{C}_A\subset\mathbf{W}^D\times\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ be the equivalence class under $\asymp$ attached to A in 32.25(a) (with $J=\mathbf{I}$). Let η_0, a root of 1, be the (constant) value of η on $\mathfrak{C}_A$. We have $b_{A,u}^v\in\eta_0^{-1}\mathbf{Q}(v)$.*

From 34.18(a) we have

$$f_u^v=(\dim E_u)^{-1}\sum_{w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n}\text{tr}(\tilde T_{w\underline{D}}1_\lambda,E_u^v)\text{tr}(\tilde T_{w\underline{D}}1_\lambda,E_u^v)^{\spadesuit}.$$

By 34.20, for any $w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ we have $\text{tr}(\tilde T_{w\underline{D}}1_\lambda,E_u^v)=\eta_{w\underline{D},\lambda}Q_{w,\lambda}$ where

$Q_{w,\lambda} \in \mathcal{A}$; hence $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{w\underline{D}}1_\lambda, E^v_u)\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{w\underline{D}}1_\lambda, E^v_u)^\spadesuit = \eta_{w\underline{D},\lambda}Q_{w,\lambda}\eta^{-1}_{w\underline{D},\lambda}Q_{w,\lambda}$. Thus,

(a) $$f^v_u = (\dim E_u)^{-1} \sum_{w\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n} Q^2_{w,\lambda} \in \mathbf{Q}[v,v^{-1}].$$

Using 32.26(b) we rewrite 34.19 as follows

$$b^v_{A,u} = \frac{1}{f^v_u \dim E_u} \sum_{\substack{x\in\mathbf{W},\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n \\ (x\underline{D},\lambda)\in\mathfrak{C}_A}} \zeta^A(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{x\underline{D}}1_\lambda, E^v_u)^\spadesuit.$$

For each x,λ in the sum, we have $\zeta^A(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]) \in \mathcal{A}$ (by definition) and

$\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{x\underline{D}}1_\lambda, E^v_u)^\spadesuit \in \eta_0^{-1}\mathcal{A}$

(by 34.20). Using this and (a), we see that $b^v_{A,u} \in \eta_0^{-1}\mathbf{Q}(v)$.

35. FUNCTIONS ON G^{0F}/U

35.1. In this section we assume that $\mathbf{k}$ is an algebraic closure of a finite field $\mathbf{F}_q$ of characteristic p and that G has a fixed $\mathbf{F}_q$-rational structure whose Frobenius map induces the identity map on $\mathbf{W}$ and on G/G^0 and the map $t \mapsto t^q$ on $\mathbf{T}$. For any algebraic variety V over $\mathbf{k}$ with a given $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure we denote by $F : V \longrightarrow V$ the corresponding Frobenius map. We fix an integer $n \ge 1$ that divides $q-1$.

35.2. In this section we fix an *épinglage* of G^0 compatible with the $\mathbf{F}_q$-structure. Thus, we fix B^*, T, U^* as in 28.5 such that $F(B^*) = B^*, F(T) = T$ and we fix for each $s \in \mathbf{I}$ an isomorphism $a \mapsto \xi_s(a)$ of $\mathbf{k}$ onto a subgroup of U^* such that $t\xi_s(a)t^{-1} = \xi_s(\alpha_s(t)a)$ for all $t \in T, a \in \mathbf{k}$ and $F(\xi_s(a)) = \xi_s(a^q)$ for all $a \in \mathbf{k}$; here $\alpha_s \in R^+$ and the corresponding coroot $\check{\alpha}_s$ satisfy $t = s(t)\check{\alpha}_s(\alpha_s(t))$ for all $t \in T$. (Clearly, such an épinglage exists and any two such épinglages are conjugate under the action of $(G^0/\mathcal{Z}_{G^0})^F$.) We identify $T = \mathbf{T}$ as in 28.5. For $s \in \mathbf{I}$ let ${}'U^*_s$ be the root subgroup of G^0 corresponding to the root α_s^{-1}. Define $y \in {}'U^*_s - \{1\}$, $\dot{s} \in N_{G^0}T$ by $\dot{s} = \xi_s(1)y\xi_s(1)$; then $\dot{s}$ is a representative of s in $(N_{G^0}T)^F$. For $s \in \mathbf{I} \cup \{1\}$ we define $\check{\alpha}_s : \mathbf{k}^* \longrightarrow T$ as above if $s \in \mathbf{I}$ and to be 1, if $s = 1$.

Following Tits, we can define uniquely for each $w \in \mathbf{W}$ a representative $\dot{w}$ in $N_{G^0}T$ by the requirements:

(i) if $s \in \mathbf{I}$ then $\dot{s}$ is as above;

(ii) if $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}$ and $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$ then $(ww')^{\cdot} = \dot{w}\dot{w}'$;

(iii) $\dot{1} = 1$.

We have $F(\dot{w}) = \dot{w}$ for any $w \in \mathbf{W}$. Let $\check{T}^F = \mathrm{Hom}(T^F, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*)$. If $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}_{q-1}$ (see 31.2) then $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes(q-1)} \cong \mathcal{L}$ hence $F^*\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$ and there is a unique isomorphism $\tau_0 : F^*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$ that induces the identity on the stalk at 1. Hence we can form the characteristic function $\chi_{\mathcal{L},\tau_0} : T^F \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$ (a group homomorphism). Let $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$ be the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$. We set $\theta^\lambda_F = \chi_{\mathcal{L},\tau_0} \in \check{T}^F$. Now $\lambda \mapsto \theta^\lambda_F$ is a bijection $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{T}^F$.

If $\theta \in \check{T}^F, \alpha \in R$ we write $\theta\check{\alpha}$ for the composition $\mathbf{F}_q^* \xrightarrow{\check{\alpha}|_{\mathbf{F}_q^*}} T^F \xrightarrow{\theta} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$. For $\alpha \in R, b \in \mathbf{W}^\bullet$ we write $b\check{\alpha}$ for the coroot $a \mapsto b(\check{\alpha}(a))$.

35.3. In this section we write U instead of U^{*F}. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be as in 34.12. Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be the vector space of all functions $G^{0F} \to \mathfrak{U}$ that are constant on U, U double cosets. Now $\mathfrak{T}$ has a basis $\{k_\nu; \nu \in (N_{G^0}T)^F\}$ where k_ν is 1 on $U\nu U$ and is 0 on $G^{0F} - U\nu U$. We regard $\mathfrak{T}$ as an associative $\mathfrak{U}$-algebra in which the product of h_1, h_2 is given by

$$(h_1h_2)(g) = |U|^{-1} \sum_{g_1,g_2 \in G^{0F}; g_1g_2=g} h_1(g_1)h_2(g_2).$$

This algebra has $1 = k_1$. As in [Y], we have

$$k_{\dot{s}}k_{\dot{s}} = qk_{\check{\alpha}_s(-1)} + \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_q^*} k_{\dot{s}}k_{\check{\alpha}_s(a)},$$

$$k_\nu k_{\nu'} = k_{\nu\nu'},$$

where $s \in \mathbf{I}$ and ν, ν' represent w, w' in $\mathbf{W}$ such that $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$. For any $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$ we set

$$1_\lambda = |T^F|^{-1} \sum_{t \in T^F} \theta_F^\lambda(t)k_t \in \mathfrak{T}.$$

Then $1_\lambda 1_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}1_\lambda$ for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$. Let $\sqrt{-1}$ be a fixed element of $\mathfrak{U}^*$ whose square is -1; we set $\sqrt{1} = 1$. For $s \in \mathbf{I}$ we set

$$T_s = k_{\dot{s}} \sum_{\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}} \sqrt{\theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))}1_\lambda \in \mathfrak{T}.$$

More generally, for $w \in \mathbf{W}$ we set

$$T_w = \sum_{\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+, w^{-1}\alpha \in R^-} \sqrt{\theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}(-1))}k_{\dot{w}}1_\lambda \in \mathfrak{T}.$$

From the definitions we see that $T_wT_{w'} = T_{ww'}$ for $w, w' \in \mathbf{W}$ with $l(ww') = l(w) + l(w')$ and $T_w1_\lambda = 1_{w\lambda}T_w$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_sT_s &= \sum_\lambda \theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))k_{\dot{s}}k_{\dot{s}}1_\lambda \\ &= q\sum_\lambda \theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))k_{\check{\alpha}_s(-1)}1_\lambda + \sum_\lambda \theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1)) \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_q^*} k_{\dot{s}}k_{\check{\alpha}_s(a)}1_\lambda \\ &= q + \sum_\lambda \theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1)) \sum_{a \in \mathbf{F}_q^*} \theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(a))k_{\dot{s}}1_\lambda \\ &= q + (q-1) \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \theta_F^\lambda\check{\alpha}_s=1}} \theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))k_{\dot{s}}1_\lambda = q + (q-1) \sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \theta_F^\lambda\check{\alpha}_s=1}} \sqrt{\theta_F^\lambda(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))}k_{\dot{s}}1_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$T_sT_s = q + (q-1) \sum_{\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}; \theta_F^\lambda\check{\alpha}_s=1} T_s1_\lambda.$$

35.4. We fix a square root $\sqrt{p}$ of p in $\mathfrak{U}$. For any $e \in \mathbf{Z}$ we set $\sqrt{p^e} = (\sqrt{p})^e$. In particular, $\sqrt{q} \in \mathfrak{U}$ is defined. Then $H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}}$ is defined as in 34.12. From 35.3 we see that the elements $T_w, 1_\lambda$ of $\mathfrak{T}$ define a $\mathfrak{U}$-algebra homomorphism $H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}} \to \mathfrak{T}$. (We use the fact that if $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$ and $s \in \mathbf{I}$ then $\theta_F^\lambda \check{\alpha}_s = 1$ if and only if $s \in \mathbf{W}_\lambda$.) This is an isomorphism: from the definitions we see that $\{T_w 1_\lambda; w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}\}$ is a $\mathfrak{U}$-basis of $\mathfrak{T}$; we then use 31.2(a).

35.5. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be the vector space of all functions $f : G^{0F} \to \mathfrak{U}$ such that $f(xu) = f(x)$ for all $x \in G^{0F}, u \in U$. For $g \in G^F$, $g' \in (N_G B^* \cap N_G T)^F$ such that $gG^0 = g'G^0$ we define a linear map $\rho_{g,g'} : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P}$ by $(\rho_{g,g'} f)(x) = f(g^{-1} x g')$. Then $g_0 : f \mapsto \rho_{g_0,1} f$ makes $\mathfrak{P}$ into a G^0F-module.

Any element $c \in \mathfrak{T}$ defines a linear map $\mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P}$:

$$f \mapsto cf, (cf)(x) = |U|^{-1} \sum_{x' \in G^{0F}} c(x') f(xx').$$

Clearly, $c \mapsto [f \mapsto cf]$ is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_{G^{0F}}(\mathfrak{P})$ and a left $\mathfrak{T}$-module structure on $\mathfrak{P}$. For $\nu \in (N_{G^0} T)^F, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}, f \in \mathfrak{P}$ we have

$$(k_\nu f)(x) = |U|^{-1} \sum_{x' \in U \nu U} f(xx'),$$

$$(1_\lambda f)(x) = |T^F|^{-1} \sum_{t \in T^F} \theta_F^\lambda(t) f(xt).$$

In the remainder of this section we fix a connected component D of G and an element $d \in (N_D B^* \cap N_D T)^F$. Let $s \in \mathbf{I} \cup \{1\}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}, f \in \mathfrak{P}$. For $x \in G^{0F}$ we have:

$$\text{(a)} \qquad (T_s 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} f)(x) = \sqrt{\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))} |B^{*F}|^{-1} \sum_{x' \in U \dot{s} U, t \in T^F} \theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(t) f(xx't).$$

Now let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{I} \cup \{1\}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$,

$$T_{\mathbf{s}} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} = T_{s_1} T_{s_2} \dots T_{s_r} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} = T_{s_1} 1_{s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda} T_{s_2} 1_{s_3 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda} \dots T_{s_r} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}.$$

Applying (a) r times gives (for $f \in \mathfrak{P}, x \in G^{0F}, g \in D^F$):

$$(T_{\mathbf{s}} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda} \rho_{g,d} f)(x) = a_{\underline{D}\lambda, F, \mathbf{s}} |B^{*F}|^{-r}$$
$$\times \sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \\ t_1, t_2, \dots t_r \\ g_i \in U \dot{s}_i U \\ t_i \in T^F}} \theta_F^{s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda}(t_1) \theta_F^{s_3 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda}(t_2) \dots \theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(t_r) f(g^{-1} x g_1 t_1 g_2 t_2 \dots g_r t_r d)$$
$$= a_{\underline{D}\lambda, F, \mathbf{s}} |B^{*F}|^{-r}$$

$$\text{(a}'\text{)} \qquad \times \sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r \\ t_1, t_2, \dots t_r \\ g_i \in U \dot{s}_i U \\ t_i \in T^F}} \theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}((s_r \dots s_2 t_1)(s_r \dots s_3 t_2) \dots (t_r)) f(g^{-1} x g_1 t_1 g_2 t_2 \dots g_r t_r d)$$

where

$$a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}$$
$$=\sqrt{\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(s_r\dots s_2(\check\alpha_{s_1}(-1)))}\sqrt{\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(s_r\dots s_3(\check\alpha_{s_2}(-1)))}\dots\sqrt{\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(\check\alpha_{s_r}(-1))}.$$

Let $J\subset\mathbf{I}$ and let $Q\in\mathcal{P}_J$ be such that $F(Q)=Q$. Define a linear map $\mathrm{pr}_Q:\mathfrak{P}\to\mathfrak{P}$ by $(\mathrm{pr}_Qf)(x)=f(x)$ if $x\in G^{0F},xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}=Q$ and $(\mathrm{pr}_Qf)(x)=f(x)$ if $x\in G^{0F},xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}\ne Q$. We compute the trace of the linear map

$$T_{\mathbf{s}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\rho_{g,d}\mathrm{pr}_Q:\mathfrak{P}\to\mathfrak{P}\tag{b}$$

using the $\mathfrak{U}$-basis of $\mathfrak{P}$ consisting of the characteristic functions of the various right U-cosets in G^{0F}. Using (a′) and the definitions we see that this trace equals

$$\frac{a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}}{|B^{*F}|^r|U|}\sum_{\substack{g_1,g_2,\dots,g_r,\\ t_1,t_2,\dots t_r;\\ g_i\in U\dot s_iU,t_i\in T^F,x\in D^F;\\ g^{-1}xg_1t_1g_2t_2\dots g_rt_rd\in xU;\\ xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}=Q}}\theta_F^{\lambda}(d^{-1}(s_r\dots s_2t_1)(s_r\dots s_3t_2)\dots(t_r)d).\tag{c}$$

35.6. Let $\mathcal{L},\lambda,\tau_0:F^*\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{L}$ be as in 35.2. Let $\mathbf{s}=(s_1,s_2,\dots,s_r)$ be a sequence in $\mathbf{I}\cup\{1\}$ such that $s_1s_2\dots s_r\underline{D}\lambda=\lambda$. In 28.7 we have defined a local system $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ on $Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ in terms of d and a representative for $s_1s_2\dots s_r$ in $N_{G^0}T$. We now take as a representative for $s_1s_2\dots s_r$ the element $\dot s_1\dot s_2\dots\dot s_r$ with $\dot s_i$ as in 35.2. We reformulate the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ as follows (see also the proof of 28.10). Define $\gamma:Z'^{\mathbf{s}}\to Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ by the formula 28.10(a). Define $\psi:Z'^{\mathbf{s}}\to T$ by

$$(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_r,g)\mapsto d^{-1}(\dot s_1\dot s_2\dots\dot s_r)^{-1}n_1n_2\dots n_rn_0$$

with $n_i\in N_{G^0}T$ given by $h_{i-1}^{-1}h_i\in U^*n_iU^*$ and $n_0\in N_GB^*\cap N_GT$ given by $h_r^{-1}gh_0\in U^*n_0$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is the local system on $Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ such that $\gamma^*\tilde{\mathcal{L}}=\psi^*\mathcal{L}$. Note that γ,ψ are naturally defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $\tilde\tau:F^*\psi^*\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\psi^*\mathcal{L}$ be the isomorphism induced by τ_0. There is a well defined isomorphism $\tau:F^*\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ such that τ induces via γ the isomorphism $\tilde\tau$.

Let $\pi_{\mathbf{s}}:Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}\to Z_{J,D}$ be as in 28.12(a) and let $K=K^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}=\pi_{\mathbf{s}!}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\in\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$. Now $\pi_{\mathbf{s}}$ is naturally defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Hence $\tau:F^*\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ induces an isomorphism $\omega:F^*K\xrightarrow{\sim}K$ and $\chi_{K,\omega}:Z^F_{J,D}\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is defined. Let $\xi=(Q,Q',gU_Q)\in Z^F_{J,D}$ (we can take $g\in D^F$). Using the definitions and the Grothendieck trace formula we have

$$\chi_{K,\omega}(\xi)=\sum_i(-1)^i\mathrm{tr}(\tau^*,H^i_c(\pi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}(\xi),\tilde{\mathcal{L}}))=\sum_{\eta\in\pi_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1}(\xi)^F}\mathrm{tr}(\tau,\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\eta)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_\eta$ is the stalk of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ at η. Now γ induces a map $Z'^{\mathbf{s}F} \longrightarrow {Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}}^F$ all of whose fibres have cardinal $|B^{*F}|^{r+1}|U^F_{J,B^*}|$. It follows that

$$|B^{*F}|^{r+1}|U^F_{J,B^*}|\chi_{K,\omega}(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\eta}\in Z'^{\mathbf{s}F}\\ \pi_{\mathbf{s}}\gamma(\tilde{\eta})=\xi}} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{\tau}, (\psi^*\mathcal{L})_{\tilde{\eta}}) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\eta}\in Z'^{\mathbf{s}F}\\ \pi_{\mathbf{s}}\gamma(\tilde{\eta})=\xi}} \operatorname{tr}(\tau_0, \mathcal{L}_{\psi(\tilde{\eta})}).$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi = \{(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r) \in (G^{0F})^{r+1}; h_{i-1}^{-1}h_i \in B^*\dot{s}_iB^*(i \in [1, r]),\\ h_r^{-1}gh_0 \in N_GB^*, h_0Q_{J,B^*}h_0^{-1} = Q\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\tilde{\eta} \in Z'^{\mathbf{s}F}; \pi_{\mathbf{s}}\gamma(\tilde{\eta}) = \xi\}$ may be identified with $\Xi \times (gU^F_Q)$. Hence

$$\chi_{K,\omega}(\xi) = |B^{*F}|^{-r-1} \sum_{(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_r)\in\Xi} \chi_{\mathcal{L},\tau_0}(\delta(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r))$$

with $\delta : \Xi \longrightarrow T$ given by $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r) \mapsto d^{-1}(\dot{s}_1\dot{s}_2 \dots \dot{s}_r)^{-1}n_1n_2 \dots n_rn_0$ (n_i, n_0 as above). For any $(h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r) \in \Xi$ we define $g_i \in U\dot{s}_iU$, $t_i \in T^F (i \in [1, r])$ by $h_{i-1}^{-1}h_i = g_it_i$. We also set $h_0 = x$. Then Ξ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\{(x, g_1,g_2, \dots, g_r, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r); x \in G^{0F}, g_i \in U\dot{s}_iU, t_i \in T^F;\\ gx = xg_1t_1g_2t_2 \dots g_rt_rtdu \text{ for some } t \in T^F, u \in U; xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1} = Q\}\end{aligned}$$

and $\delta : \Xi \longrightarrow T$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&(x, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_r) \mapsto d^{-1}(\dot{s}_1\dot{s}_2 \dots \dot{s}_r)^{-1}ds_1t_1\dot{s}_2t_2 \dots \dot{s}_rt_rtd\\ &= d^{-1}(s_r \dots s_2t_1)(s_r \dots s_3t_2) \dots (s_rt_{r-1})(t_rt)d.\end{aligned}$$

We make the change of variable $t_rt \mapsto t_r, t \mapsto t$. Then t no longer appears explicitly; it only introduces a factor $|T^F|$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi_{K,\omega}(\xi)\\ &= \frac{|T^F|}{|B^{*F}|^{r+1}} \sum_{\substack{g_1,g_2,\dots,g_r,\\ t_1,t_2,\dots t_r,\\ g_i\in U\dot{s}_iU,t_i\in T^F,x\in D^F,\\ g^{-1}xg_1t_1g_2t_2\dots g_rt_rd\in xU\\ xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}=Q}} \theta^\lambda_F(d^{-1}(s_r \dots s_2t_1)(s_r \dots s_3t_2) \dots (t_r)d).\end{aligned}$$

This is the same (up to the factor $a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}$) as the expression 35.5(c). Using the equality between 35.5(c) and the trace of 35.5(b), we see that

(a) $$\chi_{K,\omega}(Q, gQg^{-1}, gU_Q) = a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}\operatorname{tr}(T_{\mathbf{s}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\rho_{g,d}\operatorname{pr}_Q, \mathfrak{P}).$$

35.7. In the setup of 35.6, let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}} \subset \mathcal{J}^0 \subset [1,r]$ be as in 28.9. For any $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$ let $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}$ be as in 28.9. We have $\mathbf{s}_{\emptyset} = \mathbf{s}$. Define $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}} : Z'^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}} \longrightarrow Z^{\mathbf{s}_j}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ by the formula 28.10(a). Define $\psi_{\mathcal{J}} : Z'^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}} \longrightarrow T$ as in 28.10 (with $\dot{s}$ as in 35.2). Let $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}}$ be the local system on $Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ such that $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}} = \psi_{\mathcal{J}}^* \mathcal{L}$. Let $\tilde{\tau}^{\mathcal{J}} : F^* \psi_{\mathcal{J}}^* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi_{\mathcal{J}}^* \mathcal{L}$ be the isomorphism induced by τ_0. There is a well defined isomorphism $\tau^{\mathcal{J}} : F^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}}$ such that $\tau^{\mathcal{J}}$ induces via $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}$ the isomorphism $\tilde{\tau}^{\mathcal{J}}$. Note that for $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}}, \tilde{\tau}^{\mathcal{J}}, \tau^{\mathcal{J}}$ reduce to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\tau}, \tau$ of 35.6.

Let $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ be as in 28.9. This is a smooth irreducible variety, naturally defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\emptyset}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ is open dense in $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$. Hence $\bar{\mathcal{L}} = IC(\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\emptyset})$ is defined and the isomorphism $\tau^{\emptyset} : F^* \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\emptyset} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\emptyset}$ of local systems on $Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\emptyset}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ extends canonically to an isomorphism $\tau^{\sharp} : F^* \bar{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\mathcal{L}}$ (of constructible sheaves, see 28.10). Restricting this isomorphism to the subset $Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ of $\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ (with $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$) we obtain an isomorphism $\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}} : F^* \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}}$ where $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}} = \bar{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}}$. From 28.10 we see that $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}}$ is a local system isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}}$.

Lemma 35.8. *The isomorphisms $\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}, \tau^{\mathcal{J}}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{J}}$ on $Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$.*

The proof is a refinement of that of Lemma 28.10. Note that $Z'^{\mathbf{s}_{\emptyset}}$ is an open dense subvariety of the smooth irreducible variety $\tilde{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}$ (as in 28.10). Hence $IC(\tilde{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, \psi_{\emptyset}^* \mathcal{L})$ is defined and the isomorphism $\tilde{\tau}^{\emptyset} : F^* \psi_{\emptyset}^* \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi_{\emptyset}^* \mathcal{L}$ of local systems on $Z'^{\mathbf{s}_{\emptyset}}$ extends canonically to an isomorphism
$\tilde{\tau}^{\sharp} : F^* IC(\tilde{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, \psi_{\emptyset}^* \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} IC(\tilde{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, \psi_{\emptyset}^* \mathcal{L})$
which may be identified with the isomorphism induced by $\tau^{\sharp}$ through the fibration $\tilde{Z}^{\mathbf{s}} \longrightarrow \bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ (see 28.10(a)) whose fibres are smooth and connected. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{J}} = IC(\tilde{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, \psi_{\emptyset}^* \mathcal{L})|_{Z'^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}}$ and let $\tilde{\tau}^{\sharp\mathcal{J}} : F^* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{J}}$ be the isomorphism induced by $\tilde{\tau}^{\sharp}$ by restriction. It suffices to prove the following statement:

The isomorphisms $\tilde{\tau}^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}, \tilde{\tau}^{\mathcal{J}}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi_{\mathcal{J}}^* \mathcal{L}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}' = (\underline{D}^{-1})^* \mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathfrak{s}(T)$. Let $\tau_0' : F^* \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}'$ be the unique isomorphism which induces the identity map on the stalk of $\mathcal{L}'$ at 1. Let $'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, 'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}$ be as in 28.10. Define $'\psi_{\mathcal{J}} : 'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}} \longrightarrow T$ as in 28.10 (with $\dot{s}$ as in 35.2). Then $'\psi_{\mathcal{J}}$ is compatible with the natural $\mathbf{F}_q$-structures on $'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}, T$; hence $\tau_0' : F^* \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}'$ induces an isomorphism of local systems $'\tau^{\mathcal{J}} : F^* {'\psi_{\mathcal{J}}^*} \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} {'\psi_{\mathcal{J}}^*} \mathcal{L}'$. Let

$$'\tau^{\sharp} : F^* IC('\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, {'\psi_{\emptyset}^*} \mathcal{L}') \xrightarrow{\sim} IC('\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, {'\psi_{\emptyset}^*} \mathcal{L}')$$

be the isomorphism induced by $'\tau^{\emptyset} : F^* {'\psi_{\emptyset}^*} \mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} {'\psi_{\emptyset}^*} \mathcal{L}'$. Let

$$'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}} = IC('\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}, {'\psi_{\emptyset}^*} \mathcal{L}')|_{'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}}$$

and let $'\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}} : F^* {'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}}}$ be the isomorphism induced by $'\tau^{\sharp}$ by restriction. As in the proof of 28.10, it suffices to prove the following statement:

(a) *The isomorphisms* ${}'\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}, {}'\tau^{\mathcal{J}}$ *correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems* ${}'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{L}'$.
Assume that (a) is known in the case where $|\mathcal{J}| = 1$. We now consider a general $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$. We prove (a) by induction on $|\mathcal{J}|$. If $\mathcal{J} = \emptyset$, (a) is obvious. Assume that $\mathcal{J} \ne \emptyset$. Let $j \in \mathcal{J}$ be the largest number in $\mathcal{J}$. Let $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J} - \{j\}$. Let ${}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}}$ be the variety analogous to ${}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}$ when $\mathbf{s}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}$ (this is the same as the closure of ${}'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}}$ in ${}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}$). Let

$$''\tau^{\sharp} : F^* IC({}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}}, {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}') \xrightarrow{\sim} IC({}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}}, {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}')$$

be the isomorphism induced by ${}'\tau^{\mathcal{J}'} : F^* {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}'$ and let $''\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}$ be its restriction to ${}'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}$. By the induction hypothesis, the isomorphisms ${}'\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}'}, {}'\tau^{\mathcal{J}'}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems ${}'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}'} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}'$. It follows that the isomorphisms $''\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}, {}'\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems

$$IC({}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}}, {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}')|_{{}'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}}.$$

By our assumption (applied to $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}$ instead of $\mathbf{s}$), the isomophisms $''\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}, {}'\tau^{\mathcal{J}}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems

$$IC({}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}'}}, {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}'}\mathcal{L}')|_{{}'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{L}'.$$

It follows that the isomorphisms ${}'\tau^{\sharp\mathcal{J}}, {}'\tau^{\mathcal{J}}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems ${}'\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{J}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}'\psi^*_{\mathcal{J}}\mathcal{L}'$. Thus, (a) holds for $\mathcal{J}$.

We now consider the remaining case, where $\mathcal{J}$ consists of a single element j. Note that $j \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$ where $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$ is defined in terms of $D, \mathcal{L}$ or equivalently, in terms of $G^0, \mathcal{L}'$. The statement (a) involves only G^0. Hence to prove it, we may assume that $G = G^0$. We write Z', Z'' instead of ${}'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\emptyset}}, {}'Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\{j\}}}$ and we set $Z = Z' \cup Z''$, a subvariety of ${}'\bar{Z}^{\mathbf{s}}$. We write f', f'' instead of ${}'\psi_{\emptyset}, {}'\psi_{\{j\}}$. Let $b = \dot{s}_{j+1}\dot{s}_{j+2}\dots\dot{s}_r$.

We have $\mathcal{L}' = \kappa^*\mathcal{L}_1$ where $\kappa \in \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbf{k}^*)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{k}^*)$. Since $\mathcal{L}'^{\otimes(q-1)} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, we may assume that $\mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes(q-1)} \cong \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. Hence there is a unique isomorphism $\tau_1 : F^*\mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_1$ which induces the identity on the stalk of $\mathcal{L}_1$ at 1. Then $\tau'_0 : F^*\mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}'$ is induced by τ_1, via κ^*.

We continue the proof assuming that G has simply connected derived subgroup. Let $\check{\beta}$ be as in the proof of 28.10. As in that proof, we may assume that $\langle\check{\beta}, \kappa\rangle = 0$. Hence there exists a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\chi : B^*\dot{s}_jB^* \cup B^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}^*$ such that $\chi(t) = \kappa(b^{-1}tb)$ for all $t \in T$. Let $\tilde{f} : Z \longrightarrow \mathbf{k}^*$ be as in 28.10. If $y_j \in B^*\dot{s}_jB^*$, we have $\tilde{f}(y_1, \dots, y_r) = \kappa(f'(y_1, \dots, y_r))$; if $y_j \in B^*$ we have $\tilde{f}(y_1, \dots, y_r) = \kappa(f''(y_1, \dots, y_r))$. (See 28.10.) We show that

$$\chi(F(g)) = \chi(g)^q \text{ for all } g \in B^*\dot{s}_jB^* \cup B^*.$$

We may assume that $g \in T$. Then

$$\chi(F(g)) = \kappa(b^{-1}F(g)b) = \kappa(F(b^{-1}gb)) = \kappa((b^{-1}gb)^q) = \kappa(b^{-1}gb)^q = \chi(g)^q,$$

as required. It follows that for any $(y_1, \dots, y_r) \in Z$ we have

(b) $$\tilde{f}(F(y_1), \dots, F(y_r)) = (\tilde{f}(y_1, \dots, y_r))^q.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{f}^*(\mathcal{L}_1)$. We have canonically $\mathcal{F}_{Z'} = f'^*\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{F}|_{Z''} = f''^*\mathcal{L}'$. From (b) we see that the isomorphism $\tau_1 : F^*\mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_1$ induces via $\tilde{f}^*$ an isomorphism $F^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$ and this gives rise upon restriction to Z', Z'' to the isomorphisms $'\tau^{\emptyset}, '\tau^{\{j\}}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a local system on Z such that $\mathcal{F}|_{Z'} = f'^*\mathcal{L}'$, we have canonically $\mathcal{F} = IC(Z, f'^*\mathcal{L}')$. Hence (a) holds in our case.

We now drop the assumption that G has simply connected derived subgroup. Let $\pi : \hat{G} \rightarrow G$ be a surjective homomorphism of connected reductive groups whose kernel is a central torus in $\hat{G}$ and such that $\hat{G}$ has simply connected derived subgroup. We may assume that $\hat{G}$ and π are defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Then π restricts to a surjective homomorphism $\hat{G}^F \rightarrow G^F$. Since the set of épinglages of $G, \hat{G}$ are in natural bijection, the épinglage of G fixed in 35.2 gives rise to an épinglage of $\hat{G}$ (the associated Borel subgroup and maximal torus are $\hat{B}^* = \pi^{-1}(B^*)$, $\hat{T} = \pi^{-1}(T)$ and the analogue of $\xi_s : \mathbf{k} \rightarrow U^*$ is the obvious one). For $s \in \mathbf{I}$ let $\tilde{s} \in (N_{\hat{G}}\hat{T})^F$ be associate to this épinglage of $\hat{G}$ in the same way as $\dot{s}$ was associated to the épinglage of G. We set $\tilde{1} = 1 \in \tilde{G}$. Define $\hat{Z}, \hat{Z}', \hat{Z}'', \hat{f}' : \hat{Z}' \rightarrow \hat{T}, \hat{f}'' : \hat{Z}'' \rightarrow \hat{T}$ in terms of $\hat{G}, \hat{B}^*, \hat{T}, \tilde{s}_i$ in the same way as $Z, Z', Z'', f' : Z' \rightarrow T, f'' : Z'' \rightarrow T$ are defined in terms of $G, B^*, T, \dot{s}_i$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{L}}' \in \mathfrak{s}(\hat{T})$ be the inverse image of $\mathcal{L}'$ under $\pi : \hat{T} \rightarrow T$. There is a unique isomorphism $F^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}' \cong \hat{\mathcal{L}}'$ which induces the identity map on the stalk of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}'$ at 1. This induces isomorphisms

$$'\hat{\tau}^{\emptyset} : F^*\hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}' \rightarrow \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}', '\hat{\tau}^{\{j\}} : F^*\hat{f}''^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}' \rightarrow \hat{f}''^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}'.$$

Now $'\hat{\tau}^{\emptyset}$ induces an isomorphism $F^*IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}') \xrightarrow{\sim} IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}')$ and this restricts to an isomorphism of local systems

$$'\hat{\tau}^{\sharp\{j\}} : F^*IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}')|_{\hat{Z}''} \xrightarrow{\sim} IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}')|_{\hat{Z}''}.$$

By an earlier part of the proof, the isomorphisms $'\hat{\tau}^{\sharp\{j\}}, '\hat{\tau}^{\{j\}}$ correspond to each other under some/any isomorphism of local systems $IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}')|_{\hat{Z}''} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{f}''^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}'$. Now the map $\hat{Z} \rightarrow Z$ induced by π is a fibration with smooth connected fibres and $IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}')$ is canonically the inverse image under this map of $IC(Z, f'^*\mathcal{L}')$. Hence $IC(\hat{Z}, \hat{f}'^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}')|_{\hat{Z}''}$ is the inverse image under $\hat{Z}'' \rightarrow Z''$ of $IC(Z, f'^*\mathcal{L}')|_{Z''}$. Similarly, $\hat{f}''^*\hat{\mathcal{L}}'$ is the inverse image under $\hat{Z}'' \rightarrow Z''$ of $f''^*\mathcal{L}'$. Also, $'\hat{\tau}^{\sharp\{j\}}, '\hat{\tau}^{\{j\}}$ are obtained from $'\tau^{\sharp\{j\}}, '\tau^{\{j\}}$ by inverse image under $\hat{Z}'' \rightarrow Z''$. Therefore the required statement about $'\tau^{\sharp\{j\}}, '\tau^{\{j\}}$ is a consequence of the analogous, already known statement about $'\hat{\tau}^{\sharp\{j\}}, '\hat{\tau}^{\{j\}}$ (we use the faithfulness of the inverse image functor under the fibration $\hat{Z}'' \rightarrow Z''$ with smooth connected fibres). The lemma is proved.

35.9. We preserve the setup of 35.7. Let $\bar\pi_{\mathbf{s}}:\bar Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}\to Z_{J,D}$ be as in 28.12 and let $\bar K=\bar K^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}=\bar\pi_{\mathbf{s}!}\bar{\mathcal{L}}\in\mathcal{D}(Z_{J,D})$ (see 28.12, 35.7). Now $\bar\pi_{\mathbf{s}}$ is naturally defined over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Hence the isomorphism $\tau^\sharp: F^*\bar{\mathcal{L}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ in 35.7 induces an isomorphism $\bar\omega: F^*\bar K\to\bar K$ and $\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}: Z^F_{J,D}\to\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ is defined.

Proposition 35.10. *Let $(Q,Q',gU_Q)\in Z^F_{J,D}$ (we take $g\in D^F$). Let $C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\in H_{q-1}$ be as in 31.5. We have*

$$\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}(Q,Q',gU_Q)=a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\rho_{g,d}\mathrm{pr}_Q,\mathfrak{P}).$$

Consider the partition $\bar Z^{\mathbf{s}}_{\emptyset,J,D}=\sqcup_{\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}^0}Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}$ (see 28.9). For each $\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}^0$ let $\pi_{\mathcal{J}}: Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}\to Z_{J,D}$ be the restriction of $\bar p_{\mathbf{s}}$, let $K_{\mathcal{J}}=\pi_{\mathcal{J}!}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}|_{Z^{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}_{\emptyset,J,D}})$ and let $\omega_{\mathcal{J}}: F^*K_{\mathcal{J}}\to K_{\mathcal{J}}$ be the isomorphism induced by $\tau^\sharp$. Using the additivity property of characteristic functions, we see that $\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}=\sum_{\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}^0}\chi_{K_{\mathcal{J}},\omega_{\mathcal{J}}}$. By 28.10, we have $K_{\mathcal{J}}=0$ unless $\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$. By Lemma 35.8, if $\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$, $\chi_{K_{\mathcal{J}},\omega_{\mathcal{J}}}$ is just like $\chi_{K,\omega}$ in 35.6, with $\mathbf{s}$ replaced by $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}$. Hence 35.6(a) can be applied and it yields

$$\chi_{K_{\mathcal{J}},\omega_{\mathcal{J}}}(Q,Q',gU_Q)=a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}\mathrm{tr}(T_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\rho_{g,d}\mathrm{pr}_Q,\mathfrak{P}).$$

We will verify below that

(a) $$a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}=a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}\text{ for any }\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}.$$

We see that

$$\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}(Q,gQg^{-1},gU_Q)=a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}\sum_{\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}}\mathrm{tr}(T_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\rho_{g,d}\mathrm{pr}_Q,\mathfrak{P})$$

is as desired. (We have used the identity $C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}=\sum_{\mathcal{J}\subset\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}}T_{\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda}$ which follows easily from the definitions.)

We now verify (a). We may assume that $\mathcal{J}$ has a single element $j\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$ (the general case can then be obtained by iteration). We have $\mathbf{s}_{\mathcal{J}}=(s'_1,s'_2,\dots,s'_r)$ where $s'_i=s_i$ for $i\ne j$ and $s'_j=1$. It suffices to show that, for any $k\in[1,r]$ we have

(b) $$\sqrt{\theta^{\underline{D}\lambda}_F(s_r\dots s_{k+1}\check\alpha_{s_k}(-1))}=\sqrt{\theta^{\underline{D}\lambda}_F(s'_r\dots s'_{k+1}\check\alpha_{s'_k}(-1))}.$$

If $s_k=1$, then $s'_k=1$ and both sides are 1. If $s_k\in\mathbf{I}$ and $k>j$ then $s_{k'}=s'_{k'}$ for $k'\ge k$ and (b) is obvious. If $k=j$ then (b) states that

$\sqrt{\theta^{\underline{D}\lambda}_F(s_r\dots s_{j+1}\check\alpha_{s_j}(-1))}=1;$

this follows from

(c) $$\theta^{\underline{D}\lambda}_F(s_r\dots s_{j+1}\check\alpha_{s_j}(a))=1\text{ for all }a\in\mathbf{F}^*_q$$

which comes from $j \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{s}}$. Assume now that $s_k \in \mathbf{I}$ and $k < j$. Then for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$s_j s_{j-1} \dots s_{k+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_k} = (s_{j-1} \dots s_{k+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_k}) \check{\alpha}_{s_j}^m.$$

Applying $s_r s_{r-1} \dots s_{j+1}$ to both sides gives

$$s_r s_{r-1} \dots s_{k+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_k} = (s_r s_{r-1} \dots s_{j+1} s_{j-1} \dots s_{k+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_k})(s_r s_{r-1} \dots s_{j+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_j})^m.$$

Applying $\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}$ to both sides and using (c) gives

$$\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(s_r s_{r-1} \dots s_{k+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_k}(a)) = \theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(s_r s_{r-1} \dots s_{j+1} s_{j-1} \dots s_{k+1} \check{\alpha}_{s_k}(a))$$

for all $a \in \mathbf{F}_q^*$. We set $a = -1$ and we see that (b) holds for this k. This proves (a). The proposition is proved.

35.11. Let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathfrak{s}_n$. Then $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}' \in \mathfrak{s}_{q-1}$. Let $\tau_0 : F^*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$ be as in 35.2; let $\tau'_0 : F^*\mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}'$ be the analogous isomorphism. Let $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ (resp. $\lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$) be the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}'$). We have $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$, $\lambda' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$. Let $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r)$, $\mathbf{s}' = (s'_1, s'_2, \dots, s'_{r'})$ be sequences in $\mathbf{I}$ such that $s_1 s_2 \dots s_r \underline{D}\lambda = \lambda$, $s'_1 s'_2 \dots s'_{r'} \underline{D}\lambda' = \lambda'$. Let $\bar{K} = \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}_{J,D}, \bar{\omega} : F^*\bar{K} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{K}$ be as in 35.9. Let $\bar{K}' = \bar{K}^{\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}_{J,D}$ and let $\bar{\omega}' : F^*\bar{K}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{K}'$ be the analogue of $\bar{\omega}$ (defined in terms of τ'_0). Then $\chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\omega}} : Z^F_{J,D} \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, $\chi_{\bar{K}',\bar{\omega}'} : Z^F_{J,D} \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ are defined. Let

$$E = \sum_{(Q,Q',gU_Q) \in Z^F_{J,D}} \chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\omega}}(Q,Q',gU_Q) \chi_{\bar{K}',\bar{\omega}'}(Q,Q',gU_Q).$$

Using 35.10 for $\bar{K}$ and for $\bar{K}'$, we see that

$$E = |U^F_{J,B^*}|^{-1} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda',F,\mathbf{s}'} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}^F_J} \sum_{g \in D^F} \mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda} \rho_{g,d} \mathrm{pr}_Q, \mathfrak{P}) \mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'} \rho_{g,d} \mathrm{pr}_Q, \mathfrak{P}).$$

Setting $g = dg_0$ where $g_0 \in G^{0F}$ we have $\rho_{g,d} = \rho_{d,d}\rho_{g_0,1}$. Hence

$$E = |U^F_{J,B^*}|^{-1} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda',F,\mathbf{s}'} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{P}^F_J \\ g_0 \in G^{0F}}} \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{pr}_Q C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda} \rho_{d,d} \rho_{g_0,1}, \mathfrak{P})$$

$$\times \mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{pr}_Q C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'} \rho_{d,d} \rho_{g_0,1}, \mathfrak{P}) = |G^{0F}||U^F_{J,B^*}|^{-1} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda',F,\mathbf{s}'} \mathrm{tr}(XY, \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})$$

where

$$X = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}^F_J} (\mathrm{pr}_Q \otimes \mathrm{pr}_Q)((C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda} \rho_{d,d}) \otimes (C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'} \rho_{d,d})) : \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P},$$

$$Y = |G^{0F}|^{-1} \sum_{g_0 \in G^{0F}} (\rho_{g_0,1} \otimes \rho_{g_0,1}) : \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P}.$$

We have $XY = YX$ and Y is a projection of $\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P}$ onto the subspace $(\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})^{G^{0F}}$ of G^{0F}-invariants for the G^{0F}-action in which g_0 acts as $\rho_{g_0,1} \otimes \rho_{g_0,1}$. Hence X maps $(\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})^{G^{0F}}$ into itself and

$$E = |G^{0F}||U^F_{J,B^*}|^{-1} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda',F,\mathbf{s}'} \mathrm{tr}(X, (\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})^{G^{0F}}).$$

The non-singular symmetric bilinear form

$$(,) : \mathfrak{P} \times \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{U}, (f, f') = \sum_{x \in G^{0F}} f(x) f'(x)$$

gives rise to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{P})$, $f' \otimes f'' \mapsto [f \mapsto (f, f') f'']$. Under this isomorphism, X corresponds to a linear map $X' : \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{P}) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{P})$,

$$\phi \mapsto \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}^F_J} (\mathrm{pr}_Q C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'} \rho_{d,d}) \phi({}^t(\mathrm{pr}_Q C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda} \rho_{d,d}))$$

where ^{t} denotes taking transpose with respect to $(,)$. We have $(\rho_{g_0,1} f, \rho_{g_0,1} f') = (f, f')$ for all $f, f' \in \mathfrak{P}, g_0 \in G^{0F}$. Hence $\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{P})$ restricts to an isomorphism $(\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})^{G^{0F}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_{G^{0F}}(\mathfrak{P})$ under which
$X : (\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})^{G^{0F}} \to (\mathfrak{P} \otimes \mathfrak{P})^{G^{0F}}$
corresponds to the restriction of X' to $\mathrm{End}_{G^{0F}}(\mathfrak{P})$. It follows that

$$E = |G^{0F}||U^F_{J,B^*}|^{-1} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}} a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda',F,\mathbf{s}'} \mathrm{tr}(X', \mathrm{End}_{G^{0F}}(\mathfrak{P})).$$

From the definitions we have

$${}^t\rho_{d,d} = \rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P},$$

$${}^t(\mathrm{pr}_Q) = \mathrm{pr}_Q \text{ for all } Q \in \mathcal{P}_J,$$

$${}^t(k_\nu) = k_{\nu^{-1}} : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P} \text{ for all } \nu \in N_{G^0} T.$$

In particular,

$${}^t k_{\dot{s}} = k_{\dot{s}^{-1}} = k_{\dot{s}} k_{\check{\alpha}_s(-1)} : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P} \text{ for all } s \in \mathbf{I}.$$

We also see that

$${}^t(1_{\lambda_1}) = 1_{\lambda_1^{-1}} : \mathfrak{P} \to \mathfrak{P} \text{ for all } \lambda_1 \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}.$$

For $s \in \mathbf{I}, \lambda_1 \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t(T_s 1_{\lambda_1}) &= \sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))} 1_{\lambda_1^{-1}} k_{\dot{s}} k_{\check{\alpha}_s(-1)} = \sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}_s(-1))} \theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}_s(-1)) 1_{\lambda_1^{-1}} k_{\dot{s}} \\ &= \theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}_s(-1)) T_s 1_{s\lambda_1^{-1}} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}, \end{aligned}$$

hence ${}^t(C^s_{\lambda_1}) = \theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}_s(-1)) C^s_{s\lambda_1^{-1}} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}$. It follows that

$${}^t(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}) = \delta_0 C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_r (\underline{D}\lambda)^{-1}} = \delta_0 C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{s}} = (s_r, \dots, s_2, s_1)$ and

$$\delta_0 = (\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(\check{\alpha}_{s_r}(-1)))(\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(s_r \check{\alpha}_{s_{r-1}}(-1))) \dots (\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda}(s_r \dots s_2 \check{\alpha}_{s_1}(-1))) = a^2_{\underline{D}\lambda, F, \mathbf{s}}.$$

We see that $X'(\phi) = \delta_0 \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_J^F} \mathrm{pr}_Q C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'} \rho_{d,d} \phi \rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}} \mathrm{pr}_Q$ for $\phi \in \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{P})$.

35.12. For $w \in \mathbf{W}$ we set

$$t_{d,w} = (\dot{(\epsilon_D(w))})^{-1} d \dot{w} d^{-1} \in T^F.$$

We show that, for $\lambda_1 \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$, we have

$$\text{(a)} \qquad \rho_{d,d} T_w 1_{\lambda_1} \rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} = \theta_F^{\lambda_1}(d^{-1} t_{d,w} d)^{-1} T_{\epsilon_D(w)} 1_{\underline{D}\lambda_1} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}.$$

(We regard $T_w 1_{\lambda_1}$ as an element of $\mathrm{End}_{G^{0F}} \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{T} = H^{\sqrt{q}}_{q-1}$, see 35.4, 35.5.) We first show that for $\nu \in (N_{G^0} T)^F$ we have

$$\rho_{d,d} k_\nu \rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} = k_{d\nu d^{-1}} : \mathfrak{P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}.$$

Indeed, for $f \in \mathfrak{P}, x \in G^{0F}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\rho_{d,d} k_\nu \rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} f)(x) = (k_\nu \rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} f)(d^{-1} x d) \\ &= |U|^{-1} \sum_{x' \in U\nu U} (\rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}} f)(d^{-1} x d x') = |U|^{-1} \sum_{x' \in U\nu U} f(d d^{-1} x d x' d^{-1}) \\ &= |U|^{-1} \sum_{x'' \in U d\nu d^{-1} U} f(x x'') = (k_{d\nu d^{-1}} f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

as required. Using the equality

$$\begin{aligned} T_w 1_{\lambda_1} &= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+, w^{-1}\alpha \in R^-} \sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}(-1))} k_{\dot{w}} 1_{\lambda_1} \\ &= |T^F|^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+, w^{-1}\alpha \in R^-} \sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check{\alpha}(-1))} \sum_{t \in T^F} \theta_F^{\lambda_1}(t) k_{\dot{w}t}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_{d,d}T_w 1_{\lambda_1}\rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}}\\
&=|T^F|^{-1}\prod_{\alpha\in R^+,w^{-1}\alpha\in R^-}\sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check\alpha(-1))}\sum_{t\in T^F}\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(t)\rho_{d,d}k_{\dot w t}\rho_{d^{-1},d^{-1}}\\
&=|T^F|^{-1}\prod_{\alpha\in R^+,w^{-1}\alpha\in R^-}\sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check\alpha(-1))}\sum_{t\in T^F}\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(t)k_{d\dot w t d^{-1}}\\
&=|T^F|^{-1}\prod_{\alpha\in R^+,w^{-1}\alpha\in R^-}\sqrt{\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(\check\alpha(-1))}\sum_{t\in T^F}\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(t)k_{d\dot w d^{-1}}k_{dtd^{-1}}\\
&=\prod_{\alpha\in R^+,w^{-1}\alpha\in R^-}\sqrt{\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda_1}(\underline{D}\check\alpha(-1))}k_{(\epsilon_D(w))^{\cdot}}k_{t_{d,w}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda_1}\\
&=\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda_1}(t_{d,w}^{-1})\prod_{\alpha'\in R^+,\epsilon_D(w)^{-1}\alpha'\in R^-}\sqrt{\theta_F^{\underline{D}\lambda_1}(\check\alpha'(-1))}k_{(\epsilon_D(w))^{\cdot}}1_{\underline{D}\lambda_1}\\
&=\theta_F^{\lambda_1}(d^{-1}t_{d,w}d)^{-1}T_{\epsilon_D(w)}1_{\underline{D}\lambda_1}
\end{aligned}$$

and (a) is proved.

35.13. We write $\Theta_n^J : H_n \to H_n$ instead of $\Theta^J : H_n \to H_n$, see 32.22. Let $\Theta_{n,q}^J : H_n^{\sqrt{q}} \to H_n^{\sqrt{q}}$ be the linear map defined by Θ_n^J by extension of scalars. Replacing n by $q-1$ we obtain a linear map $\Theta_{q-1,q}^J : H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}} \to H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}}$. We identify $\text{End}_{G^{0F}}\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{T} = H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}}$, see 35.4, 35.5. We show:

(a) $$\Theta_{q-1,q}^J(\phi)=\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{P}_J^F}\text{pr}_Q\phi\text{pr}_Q:\mathfrak{P}\to\mathfrak{P}$$

for any $\phi\in\text{End}_{G^{0F}}\mathfrak{P}$. First we show that for $\nu\in(N_{G^0}T)^F$ we have

(b) $$\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{P}_J^F}\text{pr}_Q k_\nu\text{pr}_Q=k_\nu \text{ if } \nu\in Q_{J,B^*};\ \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{P}_J^F}\text{pr}_Q k_\nu\text{pr}_Q=0 \text{ if } \nu\notin Q_{J,B^*}.$$

Let $f\in\mathfrak{P}, x\in G^{0F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\sum_{Q\in\mathcal{P}_J^F}\text{pr}_Q k_\nu\text{pr}_Q f)(x)=\sum_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{P}^J;\\ xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}=Q}}(k_\nu\text{pr}_Q f)(x)\\
&=|U|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{P}^J;\\ xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}=Q;\\ x'\in U\nu U}}(\text{pr}_Q f)(xx')=|U|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{Q\in\mathcal{P}^J;\\ xQ_{J,B^*}x^{-1}=Q;\\ x'\in U\nu U;xx'Q_{J,B^*}x'^{-1}x^{-1}=Q}}f(xx')\\
&=|U|^{-1}\sum_{\substack{x'\in U\nu U;\\ x'Q_{J,B^*}x'^{-1}=Q_{J,B^*}}}f(xx')=|U|^{-1}\sum_{x'\in U\nu U\cap Q_{J,B^*}}f(xx'),
\end{aligned}$$

and (b) follows.

It suffices to prove (a) for $\phi = T_w 1_\lambda$ where $w \in \mathbf{W}, \lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$. We have

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_J^F} \mathrm{pr}_Q T_w 1_\lambda \mathrm{pr}_Q = |T^F|^{-1} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R^+ \\ w^{-1}\alpha \in R^-}} \sqrt{\theta_F^\lambda(\check\alpha(-1))} \sum_{t \in T^F} \theta_F^\lambda(t) \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_J^F} \mathrm{pr}_Q k_{\dot w t} \mathrm{pr}_Q.$$

By (b) this is zero, unless $\dot w \in Q_{J,B^*}$ that is, $w \in W_J$; assuming that $w \in W_J$ this equals

$$|T^F|^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in R^+, w^{-1}\alpha \in R^-} \sqrt{\theta_F^\lambda(\check\alpha(-1))} \sum_{t \in T^F} \theta_F^\lambda(t) k_{\dot w t} = T_w 1_\lambda;$$

(a) is proved.

35.14. Let m be an integer ≥ 1. Let $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ be the subfield of $\mathbf{k}$ consisting of q^m elements. Then $F^m : G \to G$ is the Frobenius map for an $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$-rational structure on G. The épinglage in 35.2 relative to $\mathbf{F}_q$ can be also regarded as an épinglage relative to $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. In the setup of 35.11, define $\tau_0^{(m)} : F^{m*}\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$, $\tau_0'^{(m)} : F^{m*}\mathcal{L}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}'$ in terms of τ_0, τ_0' as in 33.5. We have $\chi_{\mathcal{L},\tau_0^{(m)}} = \theta_{F^m}^\lambda$, $\chi_{\mathcal{L}',\tau_0'^{(m)}} = \theta_{F^m}^{\lambda'}$ where

$\theta_{F^m}^\lambda(t) = \theta_F^\lambda(t^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}})$, $\theta_{F^m}^{\lambda'}(t) = \theta_F^{\lambda'}(t^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}})$

for all $t \in T^{F^m}$. Now $\bar\omega^{(m)} : F^{m*}\bar K \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar K$ (see 33.5) has the same relation to $\tau_0^{(m)}$ as $\bar\omega : F^*\bar K \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar K$ (see 35.9) to τ_0. Let $\bar\omega'^{(m)} : F^{m*}\bar K' \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar K'$ be the analogous isomorphism defined in terms of $\tau_0'^{(m)}$. Then $\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega^{(m)}} : Z_{J,D}^{F^m} \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$, $\chi_{\bar K',\bar\omega'^{(m)}} : Z_{J,D}^{F^m} \to \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ are well defined.

We choose (as we may) $m_0 \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^*$ such that $(-1)^{m_0} = 1$ (in $\mathbf{k}^*$) and $t_{d,w}^{m_0} = 1$ (in T) for all $w \in \mathbf{W}$.

We show that if $m \in m_0\mathbf{Z}, m \ge 1$, then $a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F^m,\mathbf{s}} = 1$. It suffices to show that $\theta_{F^m}^{\underline{D}\lambda}\check\alpha(-1) = 1$ for any $\alpha \in R$. Since $\underline{D}\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$ we have $\theta_{F^m}^{\underline{D}\lambda}(t) = \theta_F^\lambda(t^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}})$. Hence it suffices to show that $\check\alpha((-1)^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}}) = 1$ for any $\alpha \in R$ or that $(-1)^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}} = 1$ (in $\mathbf{k}^*$). Since $(-1)^q = -1$ (in $\mathbf{k}^*$), it suffices to show that $(-1)^m = 1$. This follows from our assumption on m and m_0.

Similarly, we see that if $m \in m_0\mathbf{Z}, m \ge 1$ then $a_{\underline{D}\lambda',F^m,\mathbf{s}'} = 1$.

We show that, if $\tilde\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n, w \in \mathbf{W}$ and $m \in m_0\mathbf{Z}, m \ge 1$, then $\theta_{F^m}^{\tilde\lambda}(d^{-1}t_{d,w}d) = 1$. Since $\tilde\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$, we have $\theta_{F^m}^{\tilde\lambda}(d^{-1}t_{d,w}d) = \theta_F^{\tilde\lambda}(d^{-1}t_{d,w}d)^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}}$. Hence it suffices to show that $t_{d,w}^{1+q+\dots+q^{m-1}} = 1$. Since $t_{d,w}^q = t_{d,w}$, it suffices to show that $t_{d,w}^m = 1$. This follows from our assumption on m_0, m.

We replace $\mathbf{F}_q$ in 35.1 by $\mathbf{F}_{q^{m_0}}$ which we rename as $\mathbf{F}_q$. The results above can be reformulated as follows.

(a) *If* $m \in \mathbf{Z}, m \ge 1$, *then* $a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F^m,\mathbf{s}} = 1$, $a_{\underline{D}\lambda',F^m,\mathbf{s}'} = 1$.

(b) *If* $\tilde\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n, w \in \mathbf{W}$ *and* $m \in \mathbf{Z}, m \ge 1$ *then* $\theta_{F^m}^{\tilde\lambda}(d^{-1}t_{d,w}d) = 1$.

Proposition 35.15. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_D : H_n \to H_n$ be as in 34.15. Define $\Phi'' : H_n \to H_n$ by $h \mapsto \Theta_n^J(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\mathfrak{a}(h)C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}})$ (an $\mathcal{A}$-linear map) and let $\mu(G^0)$ be as in 32.22. If $m \in \mathbf{Z}, m \geq 1$, then*

$$E_m = \sum_{(Q,Q',gU_Q)\in Z_{J,D}^{F^m}} \chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\omega}^{(m)}}(Q,Q',gU_Q)\chi_{\bar{K}',\bar{\omega}'^{(m)}}(Q,Q',gU_Q)$$

is obtained by substituting $v^2 = q^m$ in $v^{2l(w_J^0)}\mu(G^0)\mathrm{tr}(\Phi'', H_n)$, which is a polynomial in $\mathbf{N}[v^2]$.

By the arguments in 35.11-35.13 applied with F^m instead of F we see that

$$E_m = |G^{0F^m}||U_{J,B^*}^{F^m}|^{-1}a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F^m,\mathbf{s}}a^{-1}_{\underline{D}\lambda',F^m,\mathbf{s}'}\mathrm{tr}(X'_m, H^{\sqrt{q^m}}_{q^m-1})$$

where $X'_m(h) = \Theta^J_{q^m-1,q^m}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\xi'_m(h)C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}})$ for $h \in H^{\sqrt{q^m}}_{q^m-1}$ and $\xi'_m : H^{\sqrt{q^m}}_{q^m-1} \to H^{\sqrt{q^m}}_{q^m-1}$ is the linear map given by

$$T_w 1_{\tilde{\lambda}} \mapsto \theta^{\tilde{\lambda}}_{F^m}(d^{-1}t_{d,w}d)^{-1}T_{\epsilon_D(w)}1_{\underline{D}\tilde{\lambda}}$$

for $w \in \mathbf{W}, \tilde{\lambda} \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q^m-1}$. Clearly, $X'_m(T_w 1_{\tilde{\lambda}}) = 0$ unless $\tilde{\lambda} \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ and, if this condition is satisfied, then $X'_m(T_w 1_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $T_{w'}1_{\tilde{\lambda}'}$ with $\tilde{\lambda}' \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. It follows that

$$\mathrm{tr}(X'_m, H^{\sqrt{q^m}}_{q^m-1}) = \mathrm{tr}(\Phi''_m, H^{\sqrt{q^m}}_n)$$

where $\Phi''_m(h) = \Theta^J_{n,q^m}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\xi''_m(h)C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}})$ and $\xi''_m : H_n^{\sqrt{q^m}} \to H_n^{\sqrt{q^m}}$ is the restriction of ξ'_m. We now use 35.14(a),(b). The proposition follows, except for the assertion "which is a polynomial in $\mathbf{N}[v^2]$". That assertion follows from 32.22(a) and the second equality in 32.23(a).

35.16. We now assume that $J = \mathbf{I}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_n$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of character sheaves contained in $\hat{D}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for some $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{s}_n$. Then $\mathcal{I}_n$ is finite and we can find an integer $m_1 \geq 1$ such that $F^{m_1 *}A \cong A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$. We replace $\mathbf{F}_q$ in 35.14 by $\mathbf{F}_{q^{m_1}}$ which we rename as $\mathbf{F}_q$. We now have $F^*A \cong A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$. For $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$, the Verdier dual $\mathfrak{D}(A)$ is isomorphic to an object in $\mathcal{I}_n$. (See 28.18(a).) For each $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$ we choose isomorphisms $\kappa_A : F^*A \xrightarrow{\sim} A$, $\kappa'_A : F^*\mathfrak{D}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{D}(A)$ so that the following holds: if $\mathcal{O}$ is an open dense F-stable subset of $\mathrm{supp}(A) = \mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ on which $\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A), \mathcal{H}^{-e}(\mathfrak{D}(A))$ are local systems ($e = \dim \mathcal{O}$) then for any $y \in \mathcal{O}$ and any $m \geq 1$ such that $F^m(y) = y$,

(i) $\sqrt{q}^{m(e-\dim D)}\kappa_A^{(m)} : \mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_y \to \mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_y$ is of finite order;

(ii) $\sqrt{q}^{m(e-\dim D)}{\kappa'_A}^{(m)} : \mathcal{H}^{-e}(\mathfrak{D}(A))_y \to \mathcal{H}^{-e}(\mathfrak{D}(A))_y$ is of finite order;

(iii) the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{-e}\mathfrak{D}(A)_{F(y)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{-e}\mathfrak{D}(A)_y$ (that is, $\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)\check{}_{F(y)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)\check{}_y$) induced by κ'_A is $q^{\dim D-e}$ times the transpose inverse of the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_{F(y)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^{-e}(A)_y$ induced by κ_A.

Note that κ'_A is uniquely determined by κ_A and that (ii) follows from (i) and (iii).

Let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}', \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{s}', \bar{K}, \bar{K}', \bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}'$ be as in 35.11. Since $\bar{K}, \bar{K}'$ are semisimple, we have canonically (for $i, i' \in \mathbf{Z}$):

(a) $$ {}^pH^i(\bar{K}) = \oplus_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}(A \otimes V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}),$$

(b) $$ {}^pH^{i'}(\bar{K}') = \oplus_{A'\in\mathcal{I}_n}(\mathfrak{D}(A') \otimes V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'})$$

where $V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}, V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}$ are finite dimensional $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$-vector spaces endowed with endomorphisms

$\psi_A : V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}} \longrightarrow V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}$, $\psi'_{A'} : V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'} \longrightarrow V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}$
such that under (a) (resp. (b)) the map $\oplus_A(\kappa_A \otimes \psi_A)$ (resp. $\oplus_{A'}(\kappa'_{A'} \otimes \psi'_{A'})$) corresponds to the isomorphism $F^*({}^pH^i(\bar{K})) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^pH^i(\bar{K})$ (resp. $F^*({}^pH^{i'}(\bar{K}')) \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^pH^{i'}(\bar{K}')$) induced by $\bar{\omega}$ (resp. $\bar{\omega}'$).

In the remainder of this section we assume that D is clean (see 33.4(b)).

Proposition 35.18. *(a) With each $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$ one can associate* $\mathrm{sgn}_A \in \{1, -1\}$ *with the following property: if A is a direct summand of ${}^pH^i(\bar{K}_D^{\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})$ where $\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{L}$ are as in 35.11, then $(-1)^{i+\dim G} = \mathrm{sgn}_A$.*

(b) With each $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$ one can attach an element $\xi_A \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^*$ such that the following hold: ξ_A is an algebraic number all of whose complex conjugates have absolute value 1; for any $\mathbf{s}, \mathcal{L}$ as in 35.11 and any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, ψ_A is equal to $\xi_A\sqrt{q}^{i-\dim G}$ times a unipotent automorphism of $V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}$; for any $\mathbf{s}', \mathcal{L}'$ as in 35.11 and any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, ψ'_A is equal to $\xi_A^{-1}\sqrt{q}^{i-\dim G}$ times a unipotent automorphism of $V'_{A,i,\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}$.

From the definitions we we see that, in the setup of 35.16, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\bar{\omega}, \mathcal{H}^j_g({}^pH^i(\bar{K}))) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\kappa_A, \mathcal{H}^j_g A)\mathrm{tr}(\psi_A, V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}),$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\bar{\omega}', \mathcal{H}^{j'}_g({}^pH^{i'}(\bar{K}'))) = \sum_{A'\in\mathcal{I}_n} \mathrm{tr}(\kappa'_{A'}, \mathcal{H}^{j'}_g\mathfrak{D}(A'))\mathrm{tr}(\psi'_{A'}, V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}),$$

for all $g \in D^F$ and all i, j, i', j'. Taking alternating sums over i, j or i', j' and using

$$\chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\omega}}(g) = \sum_{i,j}(-1)^{i+j}\mathrm{tr}(\bar{\omega}, \mathcal{H}^j_g({}^pH^i(\bar{K}))),$$

$$\chi_{\bar{K}',\bar{\omega}'}(g) = \sum_{i',j'}(-1)^{i'+j'}\mathrm{tr}(\bar{\omega}', \mathcal{H}^{j'}_g({}^pH^{i'}(\bar{K}'))),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}(g)&=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}\chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\sum_i(-1)^i\mathrm{tr}(\psi_A,V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}),\\ \chi_{\bar K',\bar\omega'}(g)&=\sum_{A'\in\mathcal{I}_n}\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A'),\kappa'_{A'}}(g)\sum_{i'}(-1)^{i'}\mathrm{tr}(\psi'_{A'},V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}).\end{aligned}\tag{c}$$

Since $\bar K$ (resp. $\bar K'$) is pure of weight 0, we see that ${}^pH^i(\bar K)$ (resp. ${}^pH^{i'}(\bar K')$) is pure of weight i (resp. i'). By our choice of κ_A (resp. κ'_A) we see that (A,κ_A) and $(\mathfrak{D}(A),\kappa'_A)$ are pure of weight $\dim G$ for $A\in\mathcal{I}_n$. Using 35.16(a),(b), we deduce that

(d) $(V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}},\psi_A)$ *is pure of weight* $i-\dim G$ *and* $(V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'},\psi'_A)$ *is pure of weight* $i'-\dim G$.

Using (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|G^{0F}|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D^F}\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}(g)\chi_{\bar K',\bar\omega'}(g)=\sum_{A,A'\in\mathcal{I}_n}|G^{0F}|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D^F}\chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A'),\kappa'_{A'}}(g)\\ &\times\sum_{i,i'}(-1)^{i+i'}\mathrm{tr}(\psi_A,V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})\mathrm{tr}(\psi'_{A'},V'_{A',i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}).\end{aligned}\tag{e}$$

Using 24.18 (which is applicable by our cleanness assumption and by Corollary 31.15) we see that for any $A,A'\in\mathcal{I}_n$ we have

$$|G^{0F}|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D^F}\chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A'),\kappa'_{A'}}(g)=\delta_{A,A'}.$$

Hence (e) becomes

$$|G^{0F}|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D^F}\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega}(g)\chi_{\bar K',\bar\omega'}(g)=\sum_{\substack{A\in\mathcal{I}_n\\ i,i'}}(-1)^{i+i'}\mathrm{tr}(\psi_A,V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})\mathrm{tr}(\psi'_A,V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}).$$

This remains true if F is replaced by F^m, where $m\ge 1$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&|G^{0F^m}|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D^{F^m}}\chi_{\bar K,\bar\omega^{(m)}}(g)\chi_{\bar K',\bar\omega'^{(m)}}(g)\\ &=\sum_j(-1)^j\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}\sum_{i,i';i+i'=j}\mathrm{tr}(\psi_A^m,V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})\mathrm{tr}({\psi'_A}^m,V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'})\end{aligned}\tag{f}$$

with $\bar\omega^{(m)},\bar\omega'^{(m)}$ as in 35.14. Using 35.15, we may rewrite the previous equality as follows:

$$\sum_j(-1)^j\sum_f a_{j,f}^m=\Pi(q^m)$$

where $a_{j,f}$ are the eigenvalues of $\psi_A\otimes\psi'_A$ on $\oplus_{i,i';i+i'=j}V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}\otimes V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}$ and Π is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbf{N}$. By (d), each $a_{j,f}$ is an algebraic number all of whose complex conjugates have absolute value squared equal to $q^{j-2\dim G}$. It follows that, for fixed j, the set $\{a_{j,f}\}$ is empty if j is odd and that each $a_{j,f}$ is equal to $q^{j/2-\dim G}$ if j is even. This implies that, for any $A\in\mathcal{I}_n$, $V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}\otimes V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}$ is 0 if $i+i'$ is odd and, if $i+i'$ is even, any eigenvalue of ψ_A on $V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}$ multiplied by any eigenvalue of ψ'_A on $V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}$ gives $q^{(i+i')/2-\dim G}$. Since for $A\in\mathcal{I}_n$ we have $V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}\neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'$ as in 35.11, we see that the parity of i such that $V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}\neq 0$ for some $\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}$ as in 35.11 is an invariant of A and that, for any eigenvalue ξ of ψ_A on $V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}$, the product $\xi\sqrt{q}^{\dim G-i}$ is also an invariant of A. The proposition follows.

35.19. As in 34.15, let $\{E_u;u\in\mathcal{U}\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple modules for H_n^1. Let $m\geq 1$. Using 35.18, we can rewrite 35.18(f) as follows:

$$|G^{0F^m}|^{-1}\sum_{g\in D^{F^m}}\chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\omega}^{(m)}}(g)\chi_{\bar{K}',\bar{\omega}'^{(m)}}(g)$$

(a)

$$=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}\sum_{i,i'}(-1)^{i+i'}\dim V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}\dim V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}q^{m(i-\dim G)/2}q^{m(i'-\dim G)/2}.$$

By 35.15 (with $J=\mathbf{I}$), the left hand side of (a) is $\mathrm{tr}(\Phi'',H_n)|_{v=\sqrt{q^m}}$. We have

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}(\sum_i(-v)^iv^{-\dim G}\dim V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})(\sum_{i'}(-v)^{i'}v^{-\dim G}\dim V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'})$$

$$=\mathrm{tr}(\Phi'',H_n)=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}},E^v_u)$$

$$=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)\mathrm{tr}((C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}})^{\flat}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}$$

$$=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}. \tag{b}$$

(The first equality in (b) comes from the fact that (a) holds for any integer $m\geq 1$. Here $\mathrm{tr}(\Phi'',H_n)$, as in 35.15 with $J=\mathbf{I}$, can be replaced by a sum of products of traces, see 34.14(a). This gives the second equality in (b) where the notation of 34.15 is used. The third equality in (b) comes from 34.17 since $\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}C^{\tilde{\mathbf{s}}}_{\lambda^{-1}}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-linear combination of elements of the form $\tilde{T}_w1_{\lambda^{-1}},w\in\mathbf{W}^D$. The fourth equality in (b) follows from the definitions using $s_r\dots s_2s_1\lambda^{-1}=\underline{D}\lambda^{-1}$.) Using the definitions and 34.19(a),(c), we obtain for $A\in\mathcal{I}_n$:

$$\sum_i(-v)^iv^{-\dim G}\dim V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}=(\sum_i(-v)^iv^{-\dim G}\dim V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}})^{\spadesuit}$$

$$=(\zeta^A(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D]))^{\spadesuit}=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}, \tag{b}$$

$$\sum_{i'}(-v)^{i'}v^{-\dim G}\dim V'_{A,i',\mathbf{s}',\mathcal{L}'}=\zeta^{\mathfrak{D}(A)}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}[D])$$

$$\text{(c)}\qquad =\sum_{u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{\mathfrak{D}(A),u'}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'})=\sum_{u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{A,u'}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'}).$$

Introducing (b),(c) in (a) we obtain

$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}(\sum_{u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{A,u'}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'}))(\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit})$$
$$=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit},$$

that is,

$$\text{(d)}\qquad \sum_{u,u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}b^v_{A,u'}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit}-\delta_{u,u'})\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'})\mathrm{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}=0.$$

Recall that here $\mathbf{s}$ is assumed to satisfy $s_1s_2\dots s_r\underline{D}\lambda=\lambda$. The $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of H_n^D spanned by the elements $C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$ with $\mathbf{s}$ as above (and λ fixed) is just $1_\lambda H_n\tilde{T}_{uD}1_\lambda$ hence in (d) we may replace $C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$ by any element in $1_\lambda H_n\tilde{T}_{uD}1_\lambda$ and the equality remains true. Similarly, we may replace $C^{\mathbf{s}'}_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$ by any element in $1_{\lambda'^{-1}}H_n\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}1_{\lambda'^{-1}}$ and the equality remains true. Thus we have
(e)

$$\sum_{u,u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}b^v_{A,u'}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit}-\delta_{u,u'})\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{x'}1_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'})\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit})=0$$

for any $x,x'\in\mathbf{W}$ such that
(f) $x\underline{D}\lambda=\lambda,x'\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}=\lambda'^{-1}$.
Now (e) remains true even if (f) does not hold. (If for example, $x\underline{D}\lambda=\lambda_1\neq\lambda$ then for any $u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)=\mathrm{tr}(1_{\lambda_1}\tilde{T}_x1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)=\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}1_\lambda1_{\lambda_1},E^v_u)=0,$$

hence the left hand side of (e) is zero.) We now multiply both sides of (e) by
$\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{x'}1_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'_1})^{\spadesuit}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u_1})$
where $u_1,u'_1\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and sum the resulting equalities over all $x,x'\in\mathbf{W}$ and $\lambda,\lambda'\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We obtain

$$\sum_{u,u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}b^v_{A,u'}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit}-\delta_{u,u'})(\sum_{x,\lambda}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u_1})\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}))$$
$$\times(\sum_{x',\lambda'}\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{x'}1_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'})\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_{x'}1_{\underline{D}\lambda'^{-1}}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_{u'_1})^{\spadesuit})=0.$$

Using 34.18(a) we deduce

$$\sum_{u,u'\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} b^v_{A,u'}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit} - \delta_{u,u'})\delta_{u',u'_1} f^v_{u'_1}\dim E_{u'_1}\delta_{u,u_1} f^v_{u_1}\dim E_{u_1} = 0,$$

that is,

$$(\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} b^v_{A,u'_1}(b^v_{A,u_1})^{\spadesuit} - \delta_{u_1,u'_1}) f^v_{u'_1}\dim E_{u'_1} f^v_{u_1}\dim E_{u_1} = 0.$$

Since $f^v_{u'_1}\dim E_{u'_1} f^v_{u_1}\dim E_{u_1} \ne 0$, we deduce

(g)
$$\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} b^v_{A,u'_1}(b^v_{A,u_1})^{\spadesuit} = \delta_{u_1,u'_1}$$

for any $u_1, u'_1 \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$.

35.20. Let $A_0 \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Then $\operatorname{supp}(A_0)$ is the closure of a stratum $Y = Y_{L,S}$ of D. Let $e = \dim Y$. Replacing $\mathbf{F}_q$ by a finite extension, we may assume that the following holds:

(a) *there exists a finite group Γ and a sequence of maps $\Gamma \longrightarrow Y^{F^m}$, $\gamma \mapsto y_{\gamma,m}$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) such that for any $m \ge 1$ and any $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$ we have*

$$q^{-(\dim D-e)m}|\Gamma|^{-1}\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\chi_{A,\kappa_A^{(m)}}(y_{\gamma,m})\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A_0),\kappa'_{A_0}{}^{(m)}}(y_{\gamma,m}) = \delta_{A_0,A'}.$$

(We apply 33.7 with $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_n$.)

35.21. We identify H_n with a subalgebra of H_{q-1} by $\tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda \mapsto \tilde{T}_w 1_\lambda$ for $w \in \mathbf{W}$, $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. (We have $\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n \subset \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}$ since n divides $q-1$.) Similarly, for any $\kappa \in \mathfrak{U}$ we identify H^κ_n with a subalgebra of H^κ_{q-1}.

Let $\{\tilde{E}_u; u \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}\}$ be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of simple modules for H^1_{q-1}. We may assume that $\mathcal{U} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, that for $u \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $\tilde{E}_u = E_u$ as an H^1_n-module with 1_λ acting as 0 for $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1} - \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$ and for $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}} - \mathcal{U}$, H^1_n acts on $\tilde{E}_u$ as zero. For $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, the $H^{\sqrt{q}}_{q-1}$-module $\tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u$ is defined as in 34.15. If $u \in \mathcal{U}$ we have again $\tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u = E^{\sqrt{q}}_u$ as an $H^{\sqrt{q}}_n$-module with 1_λ acting as 0 for $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1} - \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. For $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ we set $V_u = \operatorname{Hom}_{H^{\sqrt{q}}_{q-1}}(\tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u, \mathfrak{P})$ where $\mathfrak{P}$ is regarded as an $H^{\sqrt{q}}_{q-1} = \mathfrak{T}$-module as in 35.4, 35.5. Since $\mathfrak{T} = \operatorname{End}_{G^{0F}}(\mathfrak{P})$ (see 35.5), the G^{0F}-module structure on $\mathfrak{P}$ makes V_u into an irreducible G^{0F}-module and we have an isomorphism

$$\vartheta : \oplus_{u\in\tilde{\mathcal{U}}}(\tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u \otimes V_u) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{P}, e \otimes x \mapsto x(e), e \in \tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u, x \in V_u.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{P} = \oplus_{u\in\tilde{\mathcal{U}}}\mathfrak{P}_u$ where $\mathfrak{P}_u = \vartheta(\tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u \otimes V_u)$. For $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $x \in V_u$ we define $R_{u,x} : \tilde{E}^{\sqrt{q}}_u \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}$ by $R_{u,x}(e) = \rho_{d,d}(x(\tilde{T}^{-1}_{\underline{D}}e))$. We show that

(a) $R_{u,x}(he)=hR_{u,x}(e)$ for $h\in H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}}$, $e\in\tilde{E}_u^{\sqrt{q}}$.
If $h\in H_{q-1}^{\sqrt{q}}1_\lambda$ with $\lambda\in\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_{q-1}-\underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n, w\in\mathbf{W}$ then both sides of (a) are zero (we use 35.12(a)). Hence we may assume that $h\in H_n^{\sqrt{q}}$. Recall that $\rho_{d,d}(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(h))=h\rho_{d,d}:\mathfrak{P}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{P}$ (see 35.12(a) and 35.14(b)). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}R_{u,x}(he)&=\rho_{d,d}(x(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}(he)))=\rho_{d,d}(x((\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(h))(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}e))=\rho_{d,d}(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}(h))(x(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}e))\\&=h\rho_{d,d}(x(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}e))=hR_u(x)(e),\end{aligned}$$

as required. We see that $R_{u,x}\in V_u$. Thus, we have a map $R_u:V_u\longrightarrow V_u$, $x\mapsto R_{u,x}$. From the definitions we have
$\vartheta(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}e\otimes R_{u,x})=\rho_{d,d}\vartheta(e\otimes x)$ for $e\in E_u^{\sqrt{q}},x\in V_u$.
In particular, $\rho_{d,d}:\mathfrak{P}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{P}$ maps $\mathfrak{P}_u$ into itself. On the other hand it maps $\mathfrak{P}_u$ for $u\in\mathcal{U}-\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ into $\mathfrak{P}_{u'}$ for some $u'\in\mathcal{U},u'\neq u$. It also maps $\oplus_{u\in\tilde{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{U}}\mathfrak{P}_u$ into itself. Hence if $h\in H_n^{\sqrt{q}}$ and $g_0\in G^{0F}$ then $h\rho_{d,d}\rho_{g_0,1}$ acts as zero on $\oplus_{u\in\tilde{\mathcal{U}}-\mathcal{U}}\vartheta(E_u^{\sqrt{q}}\otimes V_u)$ and we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(h\rho_{d,d}\rho_{g_0,1},\mathfrak{P})=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\operatorname{tr}(h\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E_u^{\sqrt{q}})\operatorname{tr}(R_ug_0,V_u).$$

Now, from Lemma 35.10 (with $J=\mathbf{I}$) we have $\chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\varpi}}(g)=\operatorname{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\rho_{g,d},\mathfrak{P})$ for any $g\in D^F$. (Recall that $a_{\underline{D}\lambda,F,\mathbf{s}}=1$, see 35.14(a).) Hence

$$\chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\varpi}}(g)=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\operatorname{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E_u^{\sqrt{q}})\operatorname{tr}(R_ud^{-1}g,V_u).\tag{b}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\bar{K},\bar{\varpi}}(g)&=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}\chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\sum_i(-\sqrt{q})^i\sqrt{q}^{-\dim G}\xi_A\dim V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}\\&=\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}\chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q}}\operatorname{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E_u^{\sqrt{q}}).\end{aligned}\tag{c}$$

(The first equality follows from 35.18(b),(c). The second equality is obtained from the identity
$\sum_i(-v)^iv^{-\dim G}\dim V_{A,i,\mathbf{s},\mathcal{L}}=\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}b^v_{A,u}\operatorname{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)$,
see 34.19, under the specialization $v\mapsto\sqrt{q}$. Note that the rational function $b^v_{A,u}$ does not have a pole at $v=\sqrt{q}$; indeed, in 34.19(b), we have $\zeta^A(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}[D])\in\mathcal{A}$, $\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{T}_w1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E^v_u)^{\spadesuit}\in\mathfrak{U}[v,v^{-1}]$ by 34.15(a), while $f^v_u|_{v=\sqrt{q}}=f_u^{\sqrt{q}}\neq 0$ by 34.15(b). Hence $b^v_{A,u}$ can be specialized for $v=\sqrt{q}$ and yields a value $b^{\sqrt{q}}_{A,u}\in\mathfrak{U}$.) From (b),(c) we deduce

$$\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}}\operatorname{tr}(C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}},E_u^{\sqrt{q}})(\operatorname{tr}(R_ud^{-1}g,V_u)-\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n}\chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_Ab^{\sqrt{q}}_{A,u})=0.$$

As in the paragraph preceding 35.19(e) we see that here we may replace $C^{\mathbf{s}}_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}$ by any element in $1_\lambda H_n \tilde{T}_{\underline{D}} 1_\lambda$. Thus we have

$$\text{(d)} \qquad \sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E_u^{\sqrt{q}})(\mathrm{tr}(R_u d^{-1}g, V_u) - \sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q}}) = 0$$

for any $x \in \mathbf{W}$ such that $x\underline{D}\lambda = \lambda$; moreover, if $x\underline{D}\lambda \neq \lambda$ then $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E_u^{\sqrt{q}}) = 0$ so that (d) holds again. We see that (d) holds for any $x \in \mathbf{W}$ and any $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We now multiply both sides of (d) by $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E_{u_1}^{\sqrt{q}})^{\spadesuit} = 0$ where $u_1 \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ and sum the resulting equalities over all $x \in \mathbf{W}$ and $\lambda \in \underline{\mathfrak{s}}_n$. We obtain

$$\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}} (\sum_{x,\lambda} \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E_u^{\sqrt{q}})\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{T}_x 1_{\underline{D}\lambda}\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}, E_{u_1}^{\sqrt{q}})^{\spadesuit})$$
$$\times (\mathrm{tr}(R_u d^{-1}g, V_u) - \sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q}}) = 0.$$

Using 34.18(b) with $\kappa = \sqrt{q} = \sqrt{q}^{\spadesuit}$ we deduce that

$$\sum_{u\in\mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}} \delta_{u,u_1} f_u^{\sqrt{q}} \dim E_u(\mathrm{tr}(R_u d^{-1}g, V_u) - \sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q}}) = 0$$

that is,

$$f_{u_1}^{\sqrt{q}} \dim E_{u_1}(\mathrm{tr}(R_{u_1} d^{-1}g, V_{u_1}) - \sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A b_{A,u_1}^{\sqrt{q}}) = 0.$$

Since $f_{u_1}^{\sqrt{q}} \dim E_{u_1} \neq 0$, we deduce

$$\text{(e)} \qquad \mathrm{tr}(R_{u_1} d^{-1}g, V_{u_1}) = \sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A b_{A,u_1}^{\sqrt{q}} = 0.$$

We show:

(f) $R_u d^{-1}g : V_u \to V_u$ *has finite order.*

For any $t \geq 1$ we have $R_u^t(x) = \rho_{d,d}^t(x(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-t}e))$, hence $R_u^c = 1$ for some $c \geq 1$. For $g_0 \in G^{0F}$ we have

$R_u g_0(x)(e) = \rho_{d,d}\rho_{g_0,1}x(\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}e) = \rho_{dg_0d^{-1},1}\rho_{d,d}x\tilde{T}_{\underline{D}}^{-1}e$

hence $R_u g_0 = (dg_0d^{-1})R_u$. From this we deduce

$(R_u g_0)^t = (dg_0d^{-1})(d^2g_0d^{-2})\dots(d^tg_0d^{-t})R_u^t$

for $t \geq 1$. We have $d^a = 1$ for some $a \geq 1$. Let

$g_1 = (dg_0d^{-1})(d^2g_0d^{-2})\dots(d^ag_0d^{-a})$.

We have $g_1^b = 1$ for some $b \geq 1$. We have $(R_u g_0)^{ab} = g_1^b R_u^{ab} = R_u^{ab}$. Thus $(R_u g_0)^{abc} = R_u^{abc} = 1$. Taking $g_0 = d^{-1}g$ we see that (f) holds.

From (f) we see that $\mathrm{tr}(R_u d^{-1}g, V_u)$ is a cyclotomic integer. Introducing this in (e) we see that

(g) $\sum_{A\in\mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A}(g)\xi_A b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q}}$ *is a cyclotomic integer for any* $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}, g \in D^F$.

Lemma 35.22. *In the setup of 35.20, let $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$. Assume that E_u is quasi-rational (see 34.20). Then $b^v_{A_0,u} \in \eta\mathbf{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$ where η is a root of* 1.

Note that 35.21(g) remains true if $\mathbf{F}_q$ is replaced by $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ where $m \geq 1$. Thus:

(a)
$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A^{(m)}}(g)\xi_A^m b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q^m}}$$

is a cyclotomic integer for any $m \geq 1, g \in D^{F^m}$. We use notation of 35.20. Assume that $g \in Y^{F_m}$. We multiply (a) by $\sqrt{q}^{m(e-\dim D)}\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A_0),\kappa'_{A_0}{}^{(m)}}(g)$ which is a cyclotomic integer by 35.16(ii). We obtain again a cyclotomic integer. Now take $g = y_{\gamma,m}$ (see 35.20) and sum over all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (see 35.20). We see that

(b)
$$\sqrt{q}^{m(e-\dim D)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sum_{A \in \mathcal{I}_n} \chi_{A,\kappa_A^{(m)}}(y_{\gamma,m})\chi_{\mathfrak{D}(A_0),\kappa'_{A_0}{}^{(m)}}(y_{\gamma,m})\xi_A^m b_{A,u}^{\sqrt{q^m}}$$

is a cyclotomic integer for any $m \geq 1$. Using 35.20(a) we see that (b) equals

(c)
$$\sqrt{q}^{m(\dim D-e)}|\Gamma|\xi_{A_0}^m b_{A_0,u}^{\sqrt{q^m}}$$

which is therefore a cyclotomic integer for any $m \geq 1$. By 35.22 we have $b^v_{A_0,u} = \eta Q(v)$ where $\eta \in \mathfrak{U}$ is a root of 1 and $Q(v) \in \mathbf{Q}(v)$. Let K be a subfield of $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ such that K is a finite Galois extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ of degree a which contains η and ξ_{A_0}. Let $N : K \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ be the norm map. Since all complex conjugates of ξ_{A_0} have absolute value 1 we see that $N(\xi_{A_0}) = \pm 1$. We have also $N(\eta) = \pm 1$. Hence applying N to (c) (with $m = 2m'$) we see that $|\Gamma|^a q^{am'(\dim D-e)}Q(q^{m'})^a$ is a cyclotomic integer. This being also a rational number, is an ordinary integer. Let

$R(v) = |\Gamma|^a v^{a(\dim D-e)}Q(v)^a \in \mathbf{Q}(v)$.

We see that $R(q^{m'}) \in \mathbf{Z}$ for any integer $m' \geq 1$. This forces $R(v) \in \mathbf{Q}[v]$. Thus, $(v^{\dim D-e}Q(v))^a \in \mathbf{Q}[v]$. It follows that $v^{\dim D-e}Q(v) \in \mathbf{Q}[v]$ hence $Q(v) \in \mathbf{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 35.23. *Assume that D is clean (see 33.4(b)). Let $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Let $u \in \mathcal{U}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ be such that E_u is quasi-rational (see 34.20). Then $b^v_{A,u} \in \eta\mathbf{Q}$ for some η, a root of* 1.

Using 35.19(g) with $u_1 = u'_1 = u$ we see that $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{I}_n} b^v_{A,u}(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit} = 1$. Using 35.22 we write $b^v_{A,u} = \eta_A Q_A$ for $A \in \mathcal{I}_n$ where η_A is a root of 1 and $Q_A \in \mathbf{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$. Then $(b^v_{A,u})^{\spadesuit} = \eta_A^{-1}Q_A$ so that $\sum_{A \in \mathcal{I}_n} Q_A^2 = 1$. Since $Q_A \in \mathbf{Q}[v, v^{-1}]$, this forces each Q_A to be a constant. The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

[BBD] A.Beilinson, J.Bernstein and P.Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[Gi] V.Ginzburg, *Admissible modules on a symmetric space, in: "Orbites unipotentes et représentations,III"*, Astérisque **173-174** (1989), 199-255.

[KL] D.Kazhdan and G.Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Inv. Math. **53** (1979), 165-184.

[L3] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves,I*, Adv.Math. **56** (1985), 193-237; II **57** (1985), 226-265; III **57** (1985), 266-315; IV **59** (1986), 1-63; V **61** (1986), 103-155.

[L9] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups,I*, Represent.Th. (electronic) **7** (2003), 374-403; II **8** (2004), 72-124; III **8** (2004), 125-144; IV **8** (2004), 145-178; Errata **8** (2004), 179-179; V **8** (2004), 346-376; VI **8** (2004), 377-413.

[L13] G.Lusztig, *Quantum groups at v =infinity*, Functional analysis on the eve of the 21st century: in honor of I.M.Gelfand, vol.I, Progr.in Math. 131, Birkhäuser, 1995, pp. 199-221.

[L12] G.Lusztig, *Hecke algebras with unequal parameters, CRM Monographs Ser.* **18** (2003), Amer.Math.Soc..

[MS] J.G.M.Mars and T.A.Springer, *Character sheaves*, Astérisque **173-174** (1989), 111-198.

[Y] T.Yokonuma, *Sur la structure des anneaux de Hecke d'un groupe de Chevalley fini*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser.A-B **264** (1967), A344-A347.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139